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ABOUT THE NETWORK FOR COLLEGE SUCCESS

The Network for College Success (NCS) envisions high schools that continuously cultivate collaboration, powerful learning, and a culture of high achievement to prepare all students for college and career success.

NCS uses research to design and facilitate professional learning that builds the capacity of school leaders to greatly improve student outcomes. Through cross-school learning communities and job-embedded coaching, we support district leaders, principals, teachers, and counselors to:

• Strengthen school leadership
• Improve teaching and learning
• Support freshmen transitioning into high school
• Prepare students for quality postsecondary options
• Support culture and climate
• Integrate research and data into daily practice

For more information about NCS, please go to [ncs.uchicago.edu](http://nces.uchicago.edu).
NCS Core Values and Beliefs

• Educators have the capacity to solve their own problems when there is actionable data, research-based strategies, collaborative teams, and professional trust.

• School-based leaders drive change in schools. Increasing their capacity as leaders is the essential lever for improvement.

• Students’ intellectual capacity is not static. It grows when challenged and develops when teachers explicitly build academic mindsets and non-cognitive skills.

• Schools improve when educators make their practice public and critically examine their work collaboratively. Trust is essential to people's willingness to engage in this process.

• Data analysis is a powerful tool for school improvement when used to trace causes, seek solutions, and guide change. Data can be destructive when only used to judge and punish.

• Challenging educators to interrupt inequities in schools and districts is vital to improving schools. All students from all backgrounds deserve equitable educational outcomes.
About the Toolkit

The NCS Freshman On-Track Toolkit is a collection of protocols, reports, resources, and artifacts used by our experienced Coaches in their daily work to help schools better support students through the critical first year of high school.

A Capacity-Building Model for School Improvement paper accompanies the Toolkit, capturing the NCS model and history, and situates our work within current research. The Toolkit is organized around four key components:

- UNDERSTANDING RESEARCH & APPLYING DATA
- PREPARING TO LEAD
- SETTING CONDITIONS FOR SUCCESS
- IMPLEMENTING SCHOOL-BASED TEAMS

For each of the components, you will find a collection of Tool Sets, or bundles of tools (including videos), organized to help you achieve your Freshman On-Track goals.

What is On Track?

In Chicago, a student is considered On Track if he or she has accumulated five full credits (ten semester credits) and has no more than one semester F in a core subject (English, math, science, or social science) by the end of freshman year. These represent the criteria to become a sophomore in Chicago Public Schools. Students who are On Track are far more likely to graduate from high school than their off track peers.

On Track may be defined differently in your school or district. For more information about the origins of On Track in Chicago, please refer to the Understanding Research & Applying Data component in this Toolkit.
Toolkit Organization

COMPONENT

UNDERSTANDING RESEARCH & APPLYING DATA

PREPARING TO LEAD

SETTING CONDITIONS FOR SUCCESS

IMPLEMENTING SCHOOL-BASED TEAMS

TOOL SET

TOOL SET A

TOOL SET B

TOOL

REPORT PROTOCOL ARTICLE VIDEO

NCS FRESHMAN ON-TRACK TOOLKIT
Acknowledgements

The Network for College Success would like to acknowledge the dedicated professionals at each of our partner high schools who make their practice public and share their expertise, tools, and insights. Without them, this Toolkit would not be possible.

We would like to thank the leaders at Chicago Public Schools for their collaboration, and their ongoing commitment to the Freshman On-Track work and improving students’ academic attainment.

We would also like to thank our partners at the Urban Education Institute, including the UChicago Consortium for School Research, UChicago Impact, and The To&Through Project.

Finally, we would like to express our appreciation to the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation for their thought partnership and for providing the Network for College Success with the resources to reflect on our work with schools and produce this Toolkit and the accompanying A Capacity-Building Model for School Improvement paper.
A Note about Creative Commons

Many of the tools in the Network for College Success Freshman On-Track Toolkit are original works or adaptations with the permission of the original creator. Because all of the tools have been compiled and presented here in the interest of sharing best practices, original works have been marked with a Creative Commons copyright license.

In contrast to a traditional copyright, where all rights are reserved to the creator, our purpose in using a Creative Commons license is to make it easier for others to share and use the material. We encourage you to openly use and share the original works found in this Toolkit. You may also adapt the tools marked with a Creative Commons license, provided you share those adaptations in the same open, non-commercial manner.
Getting Started: The NCS Freshman Success Framework

In 2009, the Network for College Success developed the Freshman Success Framework to provide our partner schools with guidance on how to establish a high-functioning system of support for students entering high school. The three dimensions of the system – the actions of the team, its leader, and the principal – are vital for helping freshmen successfully transition into high school.

First, take a look at the Conceptual View of the Freshman Success Framework on the next page. It provides a high-level overview of the content, conditions, roles, and functions of freshman success work.

Next, dig into the actual Freshman Success Framework, which is the comprehensive roadmap for leading this work in your school. The Framework details the necessary elements to create and implement a high-functioning freshman success program. Done effectively, this will result in high freshman course performance and ultimately high graduation rates.
Freshman Success Framework: Conceptual View

QUALITY INSTRUCTION
- Clear and explicit standards/learning outcomes
- High academic expectations
- Multiple and varied opportunities to demonstrate learning
- Equitable grading practices
- Specific and frequent feedback
- Rigor and relevance

STUDENT SUPPORTS
- Universal grade-level expectations
- Timely academic and behavioral interventions
- Frequent data review
- Case management approach
- Collaboration and communication

Student Success

Success Team Lead
- Professional Development
  - Acquires tools and strategies

Success Team
- Team Structure
  - Protects meeting time and participation by all members

Principal or Assistant Principal
- Foundational Planning
  - Programs, sets purpose for, and communicates expectations for Success Team work

Implementation Accountability
- Holds Success Team accountable for equitable grading practices and progress toward goals

Team Facilitation
- Establishes a high-functioning Success Team with clear goals and benchmarks

Success Team Duties
- Uses timely student data to create, implement, monitor, adjust, and evaluate supports

Student-Focused Culture
- Meets regularly with Team Lead and works to communicate a culture of success

Communication and Advocacy
- Communicates progress and advocates for resources to support activities

Communicating a Culture of Success
- Establishes common and solutions-oriented language

Classroom-Level Teacher Support Structures
- Communicates and supports teacher development around a clear vision for quality instruction and learning

Classroom-Level Teacher Collaboration
- Provides resources for problem solving and learning around grading and instructional practices

Classroom-Level Student Support Structures
- Utilizes equitable practices to engage students in intellectually challenging and supportive learning
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## Implementation

**Goals of Success Model**
- Improve grade-level On-Track rates
- Improve student course performance as reflected in GPA

**Guiding Beliefs for Student Success**
- Children and adults can learn and achieve
- Relationships are essential to student success
- High and future-minded expectations must be held for all students

**Resulting School Culture**
- Data-informed decision making
- Goals and solution-based orientation
- Capacity development at all levels
- Shared leadership

**Elements Principal Team Lead Success Team**
- Instruction
  - Supports teacher development through proactive observation and constructive feedback around instructional practice
  - Provides learning opportunities on classroom practices that support students’ successful transition to high school
  - Seeks out instructional and intervention resources, readings, and tools to improve teacher and Success Team practice
- Conditions
  - Provides time for 1) data analysis and calendar, with regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, tracking, and evaluation specifically
  - Establishes team meeting conditions conducive to the successful execution of Success Team duties
  - Reviews data to develop early and targeted interventions; and 3) discuss benchmarks to monitor progress
- Setting
  - Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers
  - Identifies team leadership (Team Lead, data technician, social-emotional learning specialist, etc.) and communicates expectations for their engagement with Success Team
  - Seeks out instructional and equitable grading practices

## Communication

- Regularly communicates strategies and progress toward freshman success goals to Success Team, administration, parents, and students
- Advocates for resources to support freshman success efforts

## Instruction

- Communicates a clear vision for instruction
- Supports teacher development through proactive observation and constructive feedback around instructional practice

## Goals of Success Model

**Freshman Success Team Outcomes: Ways of Being**

- Increased responsible behavior by students, and adults
- Positive working relationships amongst staff, students, and families
- Accountability for results while supporting the work
- Data-driven decisions that promote student success
- Engages in regular, calendared Success Team meetings to 1) analyze data; 2) develop, monitor, and adjust interventions; and 3) discuss and implement instructional strategies that promote student success

**Freshman Success Team in Action: Ways of Doing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Team Lead</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting Conditions</td>
<td>Provides timely access to freshman success-related data, such as point-in-time On-Track data</td>
<td>Provides access to data-driven grading practices and strategies around student engagement</td>
<td>Develops effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and clear purpose, building norms and action-oriented agendas, and data-driven decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Reviews and interrogates interim freshman success-related data in light of Success Team goals, and strategizes with team leadership around next steps</td>
<td>Develops action-oriented meeting agendas that consistently address freshman success goals generally and/or administration</td>
<td>Provides and protects team meeting calendar, with regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Provides space for freshman success-related communication on a regular basis</td>
<td>Explicitly supports Success Team efforts to communicate a culture of success for all</td>
<td>With principal and Success Team, sets freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection, and develops benchmarks to monitor progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>Communicates a clear vision for instruction</td>
<td>Supports teacher development through proactive observation and constructive feedback around instructional practice</td>
<td>Develops effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and clear purpose, building norms and action-oriented agendas, and data-driven decisions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goals of Success Model**

- Transition plans assist student acclimation to school culture
- Opportunities for students to demonstrate success are varied and plentiful

**Guiding Beliefs for Student Success**

- Transition plans assist student acclimation to school culture
- Opportunities for students to demonstrate success are varied and plentiful

**Resulting School Culture**

- Data-informed decision making
- Goals and solution-based orientation
- Capacity development at all levels
- Shared leadership
UNDERSTANDING RESEARCH & APPLYING DATA
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Calculating Freshman On-Track

Purpose

The Freshman On-Track indicator provides a clear metric for predicting high school graduation in Chicago and targeting students for intervention. Schools can set goals around this metric and monitor them on an ongoing basis.

Tool Set A contains the essential research and background knowledge on Freshman On-Track so educators can begin this work in their school or district.

How & When to Use

The comprehensive “What Matters” report from the UChicago Consortium explores the foundational research that sparked the On-Track movement in Chicago, resulting in the dramatic increase in graduation rates. The Technical Notes tool illustrates how Freshman On-Track is calculated in Chicago Public Schools. We recognize this is a Chicago-specific example. However, it can be used as a guide for establishing and communicating the On-Track metric in your school or district.
The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting Conditions</td>
<td>• With principal and Team Lead, establishes foundational knowledge, sets purpose, and creates freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>• Reviews actionable student-level data in order to provide appropriate support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

**Team Lead**
- Setting Conditions: Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team
- Implementation: Works with data technician to bring actionable student-level data at regular intervals

**Principal**
- Setting Conditions: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers
- Implementation: Provides professional development and training opportunities on the transition to high school, data strategy, and social-emotional learning
What Matters for Staying On-Track and Graduating in Chicago Public High Schools

Too many students in Chicago Public Schools and nationally fail to graduate from high school. It is a problem that can sometimes feel overwhelming to address because the causes of dropout are myriad and complex. What is often lost in discussions about dropping out is the one factor that is most directly related to graduation—students’ performance in their ninth grade courses. In this research report, UChicago Consortium authors Elaine Allensworth and John Q. Easton look into the elements of freshman course performance that predict whether students will graduate and suggest what educators can do to keep more teens in school.

Chapter 1 of the report is included in this Toolkit. For the entire report, click here >>
What Matters for Staying On-Track and Graduating in Chicago Public High Schools
A Close Look at Course Grades, Failures, and Attendance in the Freshman Year

Elaine M. Allensworth
John Q. Easton
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Introduction

Improving graduation rates and reducing dropout rates are high-priority items on the national agenda for high school reform. There is increasing recognition that a high school diploma is a minimum requirement for success in the workplace and that too few students obtain this minimum standard. Yet, it is a problem that can sometimes feel overwhelming to try to manage. In part, this is because of the magnitude of the problem: nationally, nearly one-third of students do not graduate from high school. Almost half the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) students fail to graduate from high school, and in some CPS high schools more students drop out than graduate. These numbers underscore the urgency of addressing this issue immediately.

The dropout problem is also difficult to manage because its causes are many and complex. Research on dropping out has shown that the decision to persist in or leave school is affected by multiple contextual factors—family, school, neighborhood, peers—interacting in a cumulative way over the life course of a student. This suggests a daunting task for dealing with the problem of dropout—if so many factors are involved in the decision to drop out of school, including experiences outside of school and in early grades, how can any high school effort substantially address the problem?

What is often lost in discussions about dropping out is the one factor that is most directly related to graduation—students’ performance in their courses. In Chicago, we have shown that inadequate credit accumulation in the freshman year, which usually results from course failures, is highly predictive of failing to graduate four years later. Research in New York City has shown very similar connections between inadequate credit accumulation and eventual dropping out, and national data confirms this; almost all students who drop out leave school far behind in course credits. As we
What Matters for Staying On-Track and Graduating in Chicago Public High Schools

Document here in more detail, success in high school coursework is directly tied to eventual graduation. Knowing that graduation is directly tied with course grades suggests two potential strategies for addressing dropout problems. At the very least, we can use freshman course performance to identify students at high risk of dropping out to target with support and intervention. At the most, if schools and teachers can influence the quality of students’ performance in their coursework, then they have a direct lever to affect graduation rates—a lever that should simultaneously improve student achievement.

In this report, we look closely at students’ performance in their coursework during their freshman year, how it is related to eventual graduation, and how personal and school factors contribute to success or failure in freshman-year courses. We show that data on course performance can be used to identify future dropouts and graduates with precision, and we compare performance indicators to discern how they might be used for nuanced targeting of students at-risk of dropping out. We examine the factors that contribute to course performance in the freshman year, showing that success in coursework is affected more by what students do while they are in high school than by their preparation for high school and backgrounds. Finally, we provide evidence that teachers and schools matter for how students perform in their courses, and that efforts to reduce dropout rates are consistent with initiatives to address low achievement.

We focus on the freshman year because our prior work, and work by others, has shown that course performance in the freshman year sets the stage for eventual graduation. This report builds on a report we released June 2005 that described and defined the “freshman on-track indicator.” In that report, we showed the relationship between being on-track at the end of the freshman year and graduating from high school four and five years later. On-track students had at least ten semester credits (five full-year course credits) and no more than one semester F in a core course by the end of their first year in high school. Students who were on-track at the end of their freshman year were nearly four times more likely to graduate from high school than their classmates who were not on-track.6

The original on-track report provided initial evidence that we could use freshman-year course performance to precisely identify future dropouts. While it was a key validation of the on-track indicator, it left a number of unanswered questions: Why is the indicator predictive? Why are students off-track? And what might high schools themselves contribute to students’ course performance? Furthermore, that report only examined whether students were making minimal progress in their freshman year, which meant whether they were earning sufficient credits to be on-track for promotion to the tenth grade. But we want students to graduate from high school ready for college and work, which means we should aim for students doing A and B quality work.7 In this report, we pull apart a variety of indicators of freshman course performance—including students’ failures, absences, and overall grades—to learn what matters for a successful freshman year.

Introduction

1 E.g., Orfield (2004); Barton (2005); National Association of Secondary School Principals (2005).
2 Swanson (2004).
3 Allensworth (2005).
6 Research in Philadelphia has also shown that course performance in the eighth and ninth grades can be used to identify dropouts years before they leave school (see work by Robert Balfanz, Ruth Curran Neild, and Lisa Herzog). For example, using detailed records on students, Neild and Balfanz (2005) used attendance and failure in the eighth and ninth grades to identify dropouts in Philadelphia. As in Chicago, they found that test scores were not as predictive of graduation as students’ performance in their coursework.
7 As documented in the CCSR report, From high school to the future: A first look at CPS graduates’ college enrollment, college preparation, and graduation from four-year colleges, students with a GPA lower than a 2.0 are unlikely to enroll in college, and those with a GPA lower than 3.0 are unlikely to obtain a four-year degree. Grades are also very predictive of future earnings (Miller, 1998).
A Close Look at Course Grades, Failures, and Absences in the Freshman Year

As a measure of minimally adequate performance, the on-track indicator groups together marginally successful students and very successful ones. Knowing that the on- and off-track groups both contain students with widely differing course performances, we decided to explore what aspects of being off-track made students less likely to graduate, and if more nuanced indicators of course performance—such as number of course failures, GPA, or absences—might be better predictors of eventual graduation. We begin this chapter by examining these other indicators of course performance as predictors of graduation. We then use the other indicators to look more closely at what it means to be off-track.

A Number of Freshman-Year Indicators Can Be Used to Predict High School Graduation

The on-track indicator is highly predictive of graduation, but it is a blunt indicator; and the requisite data to construct the indicator are not available until the end of a student’s first year in high school. Schools and districts often ask if there are other indicators that could be used to forecast graduation. In fact, there are several related measures of how well students do during their freshman year that are equally predictive and more readily available, including freshman-year GPA, the number of semester course failures, and freshman-year absences.
This report analyzes several different, but related, indicators of freshman-year performance. Each is defined below, along with summary figures that show the performance of first-time ninth-graders in the 2004–05 school year (24,894 students). We include only students who remained in school through spring of their freshman year.

The 2005 report on the on-track indicator showed that freshman-year course performance has improved over the last decade in CPS; on-track rates improved from 50 percent with the 1994–95 freshman class to 60 percent with the 2003–04 class (excluding first-year dropouts), while freshman-course pass rates improved from 76 to 81 percent over the same period.\(^A\) However, as detailed below, one cannot escape the conclusion that, in general, freshmen in CPS still do very poorly; more than half of freshmen fail a course, the average GPA is below a C, and absence rates are very high—40 percent of freshmen miss more than four weeks of school (including class cutting). The statistics would sound even worse if we included freshmen dropouts in the calculations. For many students, freshman year is like a bottleneck—their performance is so poor that they are unable to recover. These negative experiences in freshman year put students at high risk of not graduating, which later prevents them from participating in the mainstream economy and larger society. We cannot hope to substantially improve graduation rates unless we substantially improve students’ course performance in their freshman year.

**On-Track:** A student is considered on-track if he or she has accumulated five full credits (ten semester credits) and has no more than one semester F in a core subject (English, math, science, or social science) by the end of the first year in high school. This is an indicator of the minimal expected level of performance. Students in CPS need 24 credits to graduate from high school, so a student with only 5 credits at the end of freshman year will need to pass courses at a faster rate in later years. The definition is aligned with the CPS promotion policy for moving from freshman to sophomore year, which only requires five full credits. In the 2004–05 freshman class, 59 percent of first-time high school students were on-track while 41 percent were off-track (excluding students who dropped out before the end of their first year in high school).

**Number of Semester Course Failures:** In this report, we measure failures across all courses by semester. This differs from the on-track indicator, which only incorporates failures in core subjects (reading, math, science, and social science); this report examines overall course performance, not just performance in core courses. A typical student takes 7 courses each semester; thus, a typical student could fail as many as 14 courses in a year. Figure 1 graphs the number of semester courses failed by first-time freshmen in the 2004–05 school year, excluding students who dropped out before the end of their first year in high school. The modal category of failures is 0; however, more than half the CPS freshmen (53 percent) fail at least one course.

![Figure 1](image-url)
Grade Point Average (GPA): CPS students receive a weighted GPA on their report card, which gives extra points for grades in honors and Advanced Placement (AP) courses. In this report, we analyze unweighted GPAs (which use values of 4 points for an A, 3 for a B, 2 for a C, 1 for a D, and 0 for an F) for all credit-bearing classes. We analyze unweighted GPAs rather than weighted GPAs because all students do not have equal access to honors, International Baccalaureate (IB), and AP courses. Figure 2 shows the distribution of GPAs among first-time freshmen in 2004–05, for students who remained in school through spring term. A 2.0 GPA (C average) is typical for CPS freshmen. Very few students—only 3 percent—have A averages their freshman year, while more than 40 percent of freshmen finish the year with a GPA lower than 2.0 (a D+ average or lower). About a quarter of students have a B or higher average at the end of their freshman year.

Course Absences: Absences are counted on a course-by-course basis and then aggregated into total number of days absent. If a student misses one out of seven courses in a day, it counts as one-seventh of a day of absence for that student. Figure 3 shows absence rates for students entering CPS high schools in the 2004–05 school year, excluding students who dropped out before the end of their first year in high school. One-quarter of students missed less than one week of school per semester. Forty percent of students missed more than two weeks of school per semester, which is a month or more of class time per year. There are 90 days in each semester, so these students missed more than 10 percent of the annual instructional time. Students can be counted as truant with 0 unexcused full-day absences.

Sidebar Endnotes
A Allensworth and Easton (2005).
Table 1 shows how well each of the four indicators of freshman-year course performance predicts whether students will graduate from high school within four years. Whether a student is on-track, GPA, and the number of semester course failures all correctly identify graduates and nongraduates 80 percent of the time. GPA is the most accurate for identifying nongraduates. Freshman-year absences are slightly less predictive than the other three indicators because they do not distinguish students who are attending school but performing poorly in their classes from those who are attending and performing well. Although the four indicators of course performance may seem somewhat interchangeable, they each provide somewhat different information, as described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freshman Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Overall Correct Prediction</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Track vs. Off-Track</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semester Course Failures</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall-semester failures</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absences</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall-semester absences</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the earlier report, we showed that students on-track at the end of their freshman year are about four times more likely to graduate than off-track students (see Figure 4). The on-track indicator has advantages over the other indicators in terms of ease of reporting and being easily understood by a broad public. Because it is a categorical variable with only two values—either on- or off-track—it is easy to report trends over time. However, the on-track indicator does not provide information that is precise enough to allow specific students to be targeted for specific interventions. In addition, the indicator does not provide timely information to schools: it cannot be calculated until the summer after students’ first year of high school.

Several researchers have found that high absence rates are strong predictors of dropping out. In CPS, about 15 percent of first-time freshmen have extremely high absence rates, missing one month or more of classes each semester (see Figure 3). These students have largely disengaged from school—they remain enrolled, but have marginal attendance—and they have less than a 10 percent chance of graduating (see Figure 5). However, it is not just extremely low attendance that is problematic. Even moderate levels of absences are a cause for concern. Just one to two weeks of absence per semester, which are typical for CPS freshmen, are associated with a substantially reduced probability of graduating. In the 2000–01 cohort, only 63 percent of students who missed about one week (five to nine days) graduated in four years, compared to 87 percent of those who missed less than one week. This is of great concern, considering that only one-quarter of CPS freshmen miss less than one week of school per semester. Attendance is clearly a vital part of graduating from high school, but beyond this we show evidence later in this report that attendance is the most essential requirement for avoiding course failure.

Information on absences is available early in the school year and might be the most practical indicator for identifying students for early interventions. More than half the nongraduates can be identified by the end of the first semester using either absence or failure rates. By the end of the first term, course grades and failure rates are slightly better predictors of graduation than attendance because they directly indicate whether students are making progress in their courses. These rates also provide more specific information to target programs for struggling students than the on-track indicator. GPA, in particular, provides information about who is likely to struggle in later years and is the best indicator for predicting nongraduates. As shown in Figure 6, students with a 2.5 GPA (C+ average) in their freshman year have a very high likelihood of graduating within four years—86 percent did so in the 2000–01 freshman cohort. As grades fall between 2.0 (C average) and 0.5 (D- average), graduation rates fall dramatically. Just under three-fourths of students with a 2.0 (C average) graduated by 2004 in the 2000–01 cohort, compared to about one-quarter of students with a 1.0 (D average). Virtually no student with an average lower than a D in the freshman year earned a CPS diploma; this is a cause for concern, given that 15
Graduation rates are based on students entering high school in September 2001, followed until September 2005 for Figures 4-7.
percent of CPS students finished their freshman year with lower than a D average (see Figure 2).

On the other hand, students with good grades in their first year are very likely to be successful in their remaining years of high school. In the 2000–01 entering class, almost all students with a B average or higher at the end of their freshman year graduated within four years. Furthermore, almost 80 percent of these students graduated with a final GPA of 3.0 or higher. We know from research that the decision to drop out is affected by myriad factors in students’ lives, many of which exist outside of the school. It is probable that first-year students who earned high grades experienced fewer outside stressors than other students, and fewer personal and home problems undoubtedly made graduating from high school easier for them. However, it is also likely that many of the students who received good grades their freshman year also struggled with problems outside of school sometime during their four years of high school. Remember from Figure 2 that almost a quarter of CPS freshmen have B or higher averages in a district that is about 90 percent low income—thus, most students with B or higher averages are low-income students. Still, 95 percent of the students with B or higher averages graduated within four years. Success in the freshman year may make it easier for students to continue, despite personal and family problems that might develop during the course of high school.

Clearly, GPAs are related to course failures because failures are part of the calculation of students’ GPAs. Course failures are more directly tied to graduation, however, because students need to accumulate a specific number of course credits to receive a diploma, and they must pass their classes to obtain credits. This is contrast, students’ freshman-year GPA and number of Fs explain 39 percent of the variation in graduation rates. Once we know how students performed in their classes in their freshman year, additional information about their backgrounds does little to improve our prediction of whether they will graduate. As we showed in Table 1, ninth-grade Fs or GPA each can be used to predict about 80 percent of graduates; if we include information about students’ background characteristics and prior achievement, we only improve the prediction by about half a percentage point.

### Sidebar Endnotes


B This is the reduction in log-likelihood (pseudo-$R^2$) that is achieved by predicting graduation with students’ eighth-grade test scores, age, race, gender, poverty, and economic status with a logistic regression model.

C The variance explained increases from 12 to 40 percent if indicators of freshman course performance are included in the models described in the previous footnote.

D Background characteristics explain only an additional 1 percent more variation in graduation rates than do freshmen Fs and GPA alone.

E These statistics on variance explained in dropout are similar, albeit slightly smaller, to those reported by Alexander, Entwisle, and Kabbani (2001) in their comprehensive study of factors across the life-course that contribute to graduation/dropout. They reported that ninth-grade performance, behaviors, and attitudes (GPA, grade retention, parent attitudes, pupil behaviors, and pupil attitudes) together explained 44.1 percent of the variation in dropout rates; when they added in background factors, the variation explained increased by just under 6 percent (to 49.8 percent).
reflected in the consistent relationship between the number of courses a student fails and whether that student eventually graduates, as shown in Figure 7. Each additional course failure makes it more difficult to graduate. Once students have failed six semester courses (i.e., three full-year courses), they are so unlikely to graduate that additional failures only modestly decrease the probability of graduating; these students have failed half their courses or more.

Because each indicator has different advantages, an effective monitoring system could be created to take advantage of each indicator at different points in the school year. For example, because absence rates are known early in the school year, schools could address poor course attendance within the first quarter. After students’ first-quarter grades are known, students with failure warnings should receive immediate supports. When semester grades are posted, those students with failures will need a strategy for making up missing credits. At the end of the school year, students’ grades could be used to identify students at high risk of future failure and to identify students performing below their potential (e.g., students with high test scores but low grades). On-track rates for the cohort could be determined in the summer after the school year as a simple indicator to evaluate school programs and policies, and to identify particular groups of students with nonpromotion rates that are especially high.

In general, off-track students are struggling in all of their courses. Figure 8 shows the distribution of GPAs in passed courses by the number of semester course failures. Even on-track students have relatively low GPAs. Among students with no failures, the typical GPA is about 2.5 (C+). Only half (48 percent) has a GPA of a B or higher; 23 percent are C or D+. Among students with only one semester F, who are also on-track by our definition, over 90 percent have a GPA lower than 3.0 (B average) in the courses that they pass. More than three-fourths of students who fail just one full-year course have grades averaging 2.0 or lower (C or lower) in the classes they pass. Almost all off-track students who fail two or more semester courses have GPAs of 2.0 or lower in the classes they pass. It is most typical for off-track students to have a GPA of 1.5 (D+) average in the courses they pass.

Few students experience isolated problems and perform well in other coursework. Failure in even one semester course is generally a sign of trouble in other courses. This suggests that problems or successes in one class may generalize to other classes. For example, a student who skips one class may fail to show up to subsequent classes that day. Likewise, success in one class may lead a student to put forth more effort in other classes. Of course, performance in all courses will be affected by factors such as students’ background and preparation, and by the overall instructional climate of the school.

The strong connection between grades overall and failures in a few classes has implications for how we think about high school reform strategies. Instead of being isolated, problems with course failure tend to indicate broader problems of academic performance. This suggests that strategies that address particular courses (e.g., math remediation or tutoring) might be limited in their ability to affect broader outcomes, compared to more comprehensive strategies (e.g., instructional coordination across classes or schoolwide attendance initiatives). This also suggests problems of course failures, dropping out, and low achievement should be addressed by coordinated strategies. These issues are discussed further as we explore the school factors associated with freshman-year course performance.

Course Failure Is a Sign that Students Are Generally Struggling in School

Students can be off-track just by failing one yearlong course (two semester courses). After writing the last report, we wondered about the extent to which students were thrown off-track by an aberrant course failure. We also wondered if course failure was as detrimental to graduation among students who were generally doing well in their other courses as it was for students who were struggling across all of their courses. To gain a better understanding of the variability in the course performance of on- and off-track students, and what that variability means for graduation, we examine on- and off-track performance by students’ failures and their grades in the courses they passed.
Overall Grades, as Well as Failures, Matter for Graduation

Course failures and overall GPA are strongly related. However, among students with the same number of Fs, higher grades in other courses increase the likelihood of graduation. Figure 9 shows graduation rates classified by the number of Fs and GPA in the courses that students passed. Each column represents students with the same number of freshman-year failures. Among students with the same number of failures, those who had higher grades in the courses they passed were much more likely to graduate. Even students with no failures in their first year of high school were at some risk of not graduating if they had a C average or lower. It is likely that poor grades in the freshman year foreshadow problems with course failure in later years. Students who just barely pass their freshman classes are likely to struggle as they move into their sophomore year.

Course grades predict the likelihood of graduating, but course failures have a direct effect on graduation beyond their relationship with students’ overall grades. Ultimately, students need course credits to
graduate; and failures have a direct effect on the probability of graduating. As shown in Figure 9, the probability of graduating declines quickly with each additional course failure. This can be seen more clearly in Figure 10, which shows graduation rates by freshman GPA for both on- and off-track students. All students with very low freshman GPAs are off-track (see far left of graph), and nearly all students with high GPAs are on-track (see far right of graph). But in the middle range, GPAs from 1.0 to 2.5 (D to C+), students can be either on- or off-track depending on how many Fs they have. For students in this middle range, about 60 percent of students, having failed more than one semester course has a strong impact on the likelihood of graduating. Among students with the same overall GPA, on-track students are about 9 percentage points more likely to graduate than off-track students with the same GPA. This occurs even though off-track students must have had higher grades in their passed courses than students with the same overall GPA who are on-track.

**Intervention Efforts Are Needed for More Than Just the Lowest-Performing Students**

Students with high rates of course failure are extremely unlikely to graduate. Those who fail four or more semester courses (i.e., two courses in each semester), or who hold lower than a D average, probably need very intensive assistance in order to graduate; and schools may be disappointed with the effects of programs that are not sufficiently comprehensive. On the other hand, students with GPAs in the D+ or C- range, or just one failure in the first semester (two semester failures for the year), are about as likely to graduate as not to graduate. Because students in this GPA range constitute a large percentage of students and they have a reasonable chance of graduating, efforts to support these students could have a substantial payoff for school graduation rates. However, because such students are not the lowest performers, these students may not be seen as in great need of support.

To gauge the degree to which graduation rates might be affected by a targeted effort to increase passing

**FIGURE 10**

Percentage of Students Who Graduated in Four Years by Freshman GPA and On-Track Status

Almost all students with GPAs below 1.0 are off-track, while almost all students with GPAs above 2.5 are on-track. Students with GPAs of 1.0 to 2.5 may be either on- or off-track. The gray lines show the difference in graduation rates for students with the same GPAs by on-track status. Students who are off-track must have had higher grades in the courses they passed than on-track students with the same GPA, yet they are about 9 percentage points less likely to graduate.
rates, Figure 11 simulates the maximum improvements in graduation rates that could be expected if schools could find a way to get each student to pass two additional semester courses (one full-year course) in their freshman year. This could be considered a summer school recovery effect, since students can take a full-year course over the summer, or a potential effect of increasing academic supports in the school year. To estimate the effect, we simply assign each student the graduation rate observed among students who had two fewer failures than that student. This is an overestimation of potential graduation rates, because we do not consider other factors that are associated with failure that influence graduation. However, it allows us to gauge the relative effects of improvements in pass rates on different groups of students.

The bottom of Figure 11 shows that about half the students who entered CPS high schools in 2000–01 and failed to graduate four years later received multiple Fs in their freshman year: 2,679 students who failed to graduate had seven or more semester Fs in their freshman year, and an additional 1,347 students who failed to graduate had five or six semester Fs. Thus, this may seem like a reasonable group to target for recovery efforts or tutoring. However, improving pass rates among these students by two semester courses would do little to affect overall graduation rates—their probability of graduating is so small that they would still be unlikely to graduate with an additional two course credits. We might expect as many as 170 additional graduates among students with seven or more semester failures (a 1 percentage point increase in the total graduation rate), and as many as 308 more graduates among students with five or six semester course failures (a 2 percentage point increase in the total graduation rate). Students with many course failures will need more support than tutoring or summer school to have a reasonable chance of graduating—all of these students

**FIGURE 11**

Estimated Improvements in Systemwide Graduation Rates if Each Student Passed Two Additional Semester Courses*

```
Number of Semester Course Failures

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7+

9,344 students 1,403 nongraduates
4,270 students 1,535 nongraduates
2,247 students 1,394 nongraduates
1,664 students 1,347 nongraduates
2,815 students 2,679 nongraduates

85% 85% 85% 70% 55% 42% 33% 25% 13% 11% 5%

894 more graduates
804 more graduates
569 more graduates
308 more graduates
170 more graduates

*Graduation rates for students failing fewer than two courses are estimated as if they failed no courses. This simulation suggests the maximum degree to which graduation rates could be expected to improve if each student failed two fewer courses or recovered two courses immediately after failure. It is an overestimation because it does not take into account factors other than Fs that affect graduation (e.g., grades in passed courses tend to be lower among students with more Fs). However, it can be used to gauge the relative effects of recovery or improvements in pass rates for students with different rates of failure. While students with multiple Fs comprise the majority of nongraduates, small improvements in pass rates or recovery among these students would have a much smaller effect on graduation rates than similar efforts among students who have failed only one or two courses. These figures are based on students in the 2000-01 freshman cohort.
```
The analyses in this report are based on two cohorts of students. The statistics that show freshman course performance without any reference to graduation rates or survey data are based on all freshmen who entered CPS high schools in fall 2004 who did not attend charter schools (24,894 students). Statistics that tie freshman course performance to graduation rates are based on all students who entered CPS high schools in fall 2000 who did not transfer out of CPS before September 2004 and who did not attend a charter school (20,803 students).

Statistics that use survey data only include those students from the 2004–05 cohort who participated in the spring 2005 surveys (14,045 students) described below.

Data on students’ course absences and grades come from semester-by-semester grade files provided by the Chicago Public Schools (CPS). Data on grades and absences are provided separately for each course taken by each student each semester. All CPS schools, except charter schools, provide this information. For this reason, charter school students cannot be included in any of the analyses in this report.

Data on students’ background characteristics and school demographics come from student administrative records and test score files provided by CPS. Gender, race, and age are part of the administrative record files. Mobility, which is calculated from longitudinal administrative records on individual students, is measured as the number of times a student changed schools in the three years prior to high school. Eighth-grade achievement is measured with students’ scores in the reading and math sections of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS).

Students’ socioeconomic status is measured through two variables, which were constructed from the 2000 U.S. census data, regarding the economic conditions in students’ residential block groups. The first, concentration of poverty, is constructed from information on the male unemployment rate and the percentage of families living below the poverty line. The second, social status, is constructed from information about average income and education levels. These indicators allow for much more discrimination in socioeconomic background than the simple indicator of free/reduced lunch, for which about 90 percent of CPS students are eligible.

Measures of school climate come from surveys conducted by the Consortium on Chicago School Research (CCSR) in spring 2005. Nearly 130,000 students, teachers, and principals across the system participated. Our surveys ask about learning climate, teacher-student relationships, leadership, and quality of the school’s instructional program. They also ask about the school’s professional environment, and the nature of the school’s relationships with parents and the community. From these surveys we create measures about features of each school. Students’ perceptions of climate are constructed from responses of ninth- and tenth-grade students. Teachers’ perceptions are constructed from responses of teachers at all grade levels.

Unfortunately, the data do not allow us to discern individual students’ specific experiences on a class-by-class basis. For each measure, students either reported on just one of their courses (English or math) or on the school as a whole. We can aggregate the data from all students to create measures of climate across the school, and classroom climate across English and math classes in the school, but we cannot distinguish different patterns of experience within the school among different students. Still, these measures of the average climate in schools provide some evidence about what matters for course performance, although we would expect to find stronger relationships if we could map out different experiences within schools.

Sidebar Endnotes

A Students who left for involuntary reasons (incarceration, institutionalization, death) are excluded from analyses, along with those who transferred out of CPS.

B For more information on our surveys and on the psychometric properties of our measures, visit the CCSR Web site at ccsr.uchicago.edu.
need to pass at least four additional semester courses to be on-track, and many need much more. Modest efforts to support these students will not be sufficient to have a sizable impact on graduation rates.

On the other hand, summer school and tutoring that is targeted at students with small numbers of course failures could potentially have a sizable effect on graduation rates. If students who failed just one or two semester classes were to pass those classes instead of failing them, we might expect as many as 894 additional graduates. If students who failed just three to four semester classes (up to two full-year classes) were to pass an additional two semester classes (one full-year class), we might expect an additional 569 more graduates. Together, this is a 7 percentage point increase in the overall graduation rate. It is also likely easier to improve pass rates among students with few Fs than among students with multiple failures.

Chapter 1 Endnotes

1 E.g., Balfanz and Neild (2006); Alexander, Entwisle, and Horsey (1997).
2 In fact, 86 percent of nongraduates can be identified with freshman GPA by sacrificing specificity to 68 percent.
4 Ninety-five percent of these students graduated within four years, and only 3 percent dropped out. The remaining 2 percent remained for a fifth year of high school.
5 Besides preventing credit accumulation, failure may also impede graduation through indirect mechanisms. For example, failure may demoralize students and lower their expectations. Failure may also disrupt students’ schedules when they need to repeat a failed class. Often students progress to classes that build on knowledge that should have been learned previously, thus a failure can indicate that a student is unlikely to succeed in a future class. For example, most CPS students who fail algebra in their freshman year take geometry in their sophomore year before passing algebra.
6 Most students take seven courses in their first year of high school.
7 Each additional course failure decreases the probability of graduating by about the same amount as a decrease of half of a grade point across all classes.
Technical Notes for Freshman On-Track

This document was created by Chicago Public Schools to define how Freshman On-Track is calculated and provides considerations for appropriate use of the metric. The Network for College Success has edited this document to remove out-of-date references.
## Freshman On-Track

### What is Freshman On-Track?

Freshman On-Track % is a measure of how many first-time freshmen are, by the end of their first year, “on track” to graduate from high school within four years. The measure is based on two freshman year data points: (i) credit accumulation; (ii) course failures. An eligible first-year freshman is on-track by the end of the year if s/he has: (i) earned at least five course credits; (ii) failed no more than one semester of a core course—otherwise, s/he is off track. CCSR research shows that freshmen who finish their first year of high school on-track are more than three times as likely as those off-track to graduate from high school within four years.

There are a few technical points regarding FOT calculation that anyone using this data should be aware of:

- Eligible freshmen count towards the FOT rate of the school they attend on the 20th day of school, regardless of where they finish, or spend the majority of, the school year.
- Freshman year dropouts are included in the metric, and they are counted as off-track.
- Freshmen attending the following types of schools (as of the 20th day) are not included in the metric:
  - Charter schools
  - Jail schools
  - Alternative schools
  - Special Ed schools
- Students repeating ninth grade are not included in the metric.

While attendance may impact whether a student earns the necessary amount of credits and/or passes courses, attendance does not directly factor in to the calculation of this metric.

### Components of Freshman On Track

- Credits accumulated during first year of HS (>= 5)
- Number of semester core course failures during first year of HS (<= 1)

### How are results reported?

#### Annual

- **School-level** Freshman On-Track data for the years 1997-2009 is currently available on the REA website. To obtain it, visit the REA homepage, then navigate to School and Citywide Reports->All Schools, then look for the Excel file called “Freshman On Track Rates” under the heading On Track Rates, 1997-2009.
- **Student-level** Freshman On-Track data—which is aggregated up to the school, area and district levels for reporting and accountability purposes—is not available directly via website. However, it can be obtained on an as-needed basis by contacting Daisy Garcia (x32367) or Melva Ryan (x32536) of Central Office PM.

#### Point-in-time

A “point in time” Freshman On-Track rate—a rough approximation of end-of-year Freshman On-Track rate—is also available, on a dynamically updated basis, via the Freshman Success Report located on Dashboard. This point-in-time rate may be useful to monitor and manage school and area performance within the school year: be advised, however, that the value of this rate jumps around quite a bit during the school year, is always lower at the end than the beginning of the year, and is based on an approximation of the end-of-year FOT criteria. Any questions regarding Dashboard data should be directed to John DiCello, Dashboard Manager, at x31669.

### What questions can Freshman On-Track data answer?

Freshman On-Track data can be used at the aggregate level—whether district, area or school—to gauge what percentage of students are “on track” to graduate in four years—in turn, we know that students who are on-track after freshman year are over three times as likely as those off-track to graduate in four years. In short, it helps us answer the broad question: “How good of a job is this part of the district doing making sure its freshmen have attained the education they need to graduate on time?”
Freshman On-Track data can also be cut in numerous ways to indicate how successful schools, areas, or the district as a whole have been at intervening with “at risk” students to ensure they are on pace to graduate on time. Interesting analytical questions and possible deep dives include:

- What % of students who were flagged on the beginning-of-year Freshman Watchlist (or any of the quarterly Freshman Success Reports) finished the year “On Track”?
- What kinds of “at risk” students are we most (and least) successful at getting on track—kids with attendance problems? Academic problems? Both?
- How do different racial/demographic groups break down in terms of Freshman On-Track %, and how (if at all) should this information inform school- and/or classroom-level FOT strategies?
- Did tailored, school-level interventions “work”?—How much more likely were “at risk” students who received particular types of intervention (e.g. tutoring, parent conferences, etc.) during their first year of high school to be “on track” than those who did not?
- Among our off-track students, what particular classes or subject areas are causing the most semester failures? Do we have a plan to ensure that proper supports are in place to help reduce failure rates in these areas going forward?
- Among a high school’s freshman student body, are there substantial variations/patterns depending on what elementary feeder school a student attended, and how should this impact our feeder school outreach strategy?
- What percentage of our off-track freshmen graduate within four years?—and do we have effective credit recovery programs in place to get these kids back on track during sophomore/junior/senior year?
- What percentage of our on-track freshmen graduate within four years?—and do we have effective sophomore/junior/senior year transition programs in place to ensure that on-track kids stay on track?

What questions can Freshman On-Track data **NOT** answer?

- Freshman On-Track was designed to be predictive of high school graduation—NOT of either college enrollment or college success. Standardized test scores and GPAs are much better indicators of the latter.
- Freshman On-Track will tell us how many of a given school’s freshmen were on track, but not how close a given student or given student was to the FOT “cutoffs”—i.e. it will NOT tell us:
  - How many more credits/fewer failures off-track students needed to be on-track
  - How far beyond “On Track” baseline cutoffs on-track students were, in terms of credit accumulation
- Without deeper analysis, FOT will not indicate **why** a student was off-track, i.e. whether it was because s/he did not attempt enough credits, or because s/he did not pass enough credits.
- FOT cannot directly answer any questions about student attendance, nor does it incorporate such data.
- FOT will not tell you anything about what happens to students who have been retained, i.e. are second-time freshmen.
- Also, keep in mind that FOT rates are highly dependent on:
  - Ability level and work habits of incoming students
  - Grading policies at individual high schools
How is Freshman On-Track data used within CPS?

Freshman On-Track data is a key self-monitoring tool for schools, which can be used to help gauge the effectiveness of school-specific intervention and transition strategies for 9th graders.

It is also a key district accountability metric, in two ways:

1. First, the metric % of Freshmen On-Track appears on the districtwide annual high school scorecard, which is used to gauge CAO effectiveness and progress towards district and area goals.
2. Second, FOT is a crucial component of the district’s Performance Policy, which dictates whether or not a given school is on probation. Specifically, a high school can earn up to three (3) points for its current FOT level, and up to (3) points for its FOT trend—six (6) points, total. For more specific information on how FOT counts towards probation status, please visit [http://policy.cps.k12.il.us/documents/302.6.pdf](http://policy.cps.k12.il.us/documents/302.6.pdf).

Where can I find more information?

- Several online resources from the REA website explain the methodology behind Freshman On Track and the ways in which CPS uses this data centrally.
- A Freshman On-Track Handbook, which details the experiences of six Freshman On-Track “lab” schools while developing and implementing, is available from Paige Ponder of Graduation Pathways (paponder@cps.k12.il.us; x32078).
- A report from CCSR (Chicago Consortium on School Research) describing the development and predictive validity of this metric is available online at [http://ccsr.uchicago.edu/publications/07%20What%20Matters%20Final.pdf](http://ccsr.uchicago.edu/publications/07%20What%20Matters%20Final.pdf).
- Peter Godard (prgodard@cps.k12.il.us; x32735) and Michael Deuser (xmkdeuser@cps.k12.il.us; x31278) can help explain what the metric measures and how it is calculated.
- Daisy Garcia (dagarcia@cps.k12.il.us; x32367) and Melva Ryan (mryan1@cps.k12.il.us; x32536) can help you with any data requests related to FOT.
Building relationships with students is a critical lever for supporting them in the transition to high school. Structures such as mentoring and Freshman Advisory programs allow students to receive on-time and targeted support through one-on-one, small group, and/or whole group activities. During the initial phases, Success Teams may struggle when deciding what interventions will be the most impactful for their students. Tool Set B provides you with a collection of practical, research-based articles to increase your knowledge of meaningful and targeted student interventions.

How & When to Use

Professional readings provide a common learning experience for your Success Team. Using a text-based protocol with the professional reading allows participants to process new information as well as discuss implications for practice. The Network for College Success finds protocols to be very helpful for structuring an efficient and productive conversation when in teams. Some possible protocols for use with these articles are The Four A’s Text Protocol, The Final Word, and Text-Based Seminar.
The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting Conditions</td>
<td>• With principal and Team Lead, establishes foundational knowledge, sets purpose, and creates freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>• Maintains strengths-based and action-oriented communication to support students</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

**Team Lead**
- Setting Conditions: Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team

**Principal**
- Setting Conditions: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers
- Implementation: Provides professional development and training opportunities on the transition to high school, data strategy, and social-emotional learning
Easing the Transition to High School

An advisory program can be a school’s most powerful tool for building relationships with freshmen. This is a chronicle of one suburban high school’s journey to shift the culture of support for freshmen through the creation of a Freshman Advisory program focused on building connections and providing academic and social survival skills.

Click here to read >>
Relationships Matter

A review of the research on the importance of student-teacher relationships as a lever for student academic achievement. Practical suggestions are also provided.

Click here to read >>
The Magic of Mentoring

An article on the basics of establishing a school-based mentoring program, including how to structure such a program, the role of a mentor, and supporting ongoing mentoring relationships.

Click here to read >>
Mentoring Minority Students

An article describing one high school's approach to increasing minority enrollment in honors courses.

Click here to read >>
The Developmental Relationships Framework

This Framework, produced by the Search Institute, has identified five elements—expressed in 20 specific actions—that make relationships powerful in young people’s lives. Educators must build relationships with freshmen so they can successfully navigate the transition to high school. These relationships not only support students in the classroom, but can help them discover who they are in the world.

For more information on how the Search Institute helps educators discover what students need to succeed, click here >>.
The Developmental Relationships Framework

Young people are more likely to grow up successfully when they experience developmental relationships with important people in their lives. Developmental relationships are close connections through which young people discover who they are, cultivate abilities to shape their own lives, and learn how to engage with and contribute to the world around them. Search Institute has identified five elements—expressed in 20 specific actions—that make relationships powerful in young people’s lives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Express Care</td>
<td>• Be dependable .................. Be someone I can trust.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Listen .................................. Really pay attention when we are together.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Believe in me  ....................... Make me feel known and valued.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Be warm ......................... Show me you enjoy being with me.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Encourage .......................... Praise me for my efforts and achievements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Challenge Growth</td>
<td>• Expect my best ................ Expect me to live up to my potential.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Stretch .............................. Push me to go further.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hold me accountable  ...... Insist I take responsibility for my actions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reflect on failures ........ Help me learn from mistakes and setbacks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Provide Support</td>
<td>• Navigate ............................. Guide me through hard situations and systems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Empower ............................. Build my confidence to take charge of my life.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Advocate ............................. Stand up for me when I need it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Set boundaries ..................... Put in place limits that keep me on track.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Share Power</td>
<td>• Respect me .......................... Take me seriously and treat me fairly.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Include me .......................... Involve me in decisions that affect me.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Collaborate .......................... Work with me to solve problems and reach goals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Let me lead ........................ Create opportunities for me to take action and lead.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Expand Possibilities</td>
<td>• Inspire ............................. Inspire me to see possibilities for my future.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Broaden horizons .................. Expose me to new ideas, experiences, and places.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Connect ............................. Introduce me to people who can help me grow.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Relationships are, by definition, bidirectional, with each person giving and receiving. So each person in a strong relationship both engages in and experiences each of these actions. However, for the purpose of clarity, this framework is expressed from the perspective of one young person.
9th Grade Re-entry to Class Plan: Fostering Relationships across the School Year

This document outlines steps that a classroom instructor can take to support the re-entry of previously absent students into the classroom. The Search Institute’s Developmental Relationships framework informs the guidelines as well.
9th Grade Re-entry to Class Plan: Fostering Relationships across the School Year

Goals
• Plan for successful re-entry of a 9th-grade student into the classroom community
• Collect qualitative data that can inform Freshman Success team efforts across the school year

Introduction
During Q3 of the 2021-22 academic year, NCS partner schools ideated a change idea to address the challenge of welcoming a student who has experienced any number of absences back to the classroom community.

This protocol provides a step-by-step framework to have a supportive, asset-framed conversation with students instead. Given that attendance also heavily influences Freshman On-Track, this protocol can be invaluable to improving FOT in any given school context.

The Search Institute’s Developmental Relationships Framework provides the foundational components that inform specific teacher moves. Additionally, this protocol models how using all five Developmental Relationships components together can intentionally and supportively ease a student’s transition back into the classroom after one or more absences.

Acknowledgment
This classroom tool was created due to the work from NCS’s 2021-22 Freshman Success Improvement Community, particularly the impactful work at Englewood STEM High School.

Potential Next Steps
• If needed, create a follow-up action plan in partnership with the student and student supports
• Communicate progress with Freshman Success Team
• Integrate notes collected into other data collection tools for FS success
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developmental Relationship Component</th>
<th>Teacher Move</th>
<th>Student Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Express Care</strong></td>
<td>1-2 sentences to welcome the student to class.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ex: Great to see you today! You have been missed! Our community is glad to have you.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provide Support</strong></td>
<td>Reference familiar routines and remind student they still have space in our class community.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ex: We are working on the bell ringer right now. Have a seat and I will come by to see how it’s going in a few minutes</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provide Support</strong></td>
<td>Consider how to communicate what assignments to make up. If doing small groups, you can group students based on enrichment, recovery, and chronically absent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ex: During small groups today (existing structure), let’s talk about how you are doing and what assignments you may need to work on.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Relationship Component</td>
<td>Teacher Move</td>
<td>Student Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provide Support</strong></td>
<td><strong>Challenge Growth</strong> Prioritize assignments so that student completes key skills. Keep a document linked with all assignments that are graded in Aspen for easy access.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ex: Let’s take a look at your current grade. How are you feeling about XYZ? I know that you are strong with X...you showed you got Y. Let’s focus on Z and A. How does that sound?</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Express Care</strong></td>
<td><strong>Provide Support</strong> Communicate supportive, realistic deadlines. Also, inform them when you participate in lunch tutoring and/or encourage after-school tutoring.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ex: I know you have some assignments due in your other classes, but we can work on these assignments in class this week. Let’s aim to have them done by XXX. I’ll work with you this week to answer any questions you might have.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provide Support</strong></td>
<td><strong>Share Power</strong> Expand Possibilities Review plan with student. Ask for questions, other supports needed, and how they are feeling.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ex: Ok, to review, you are working on Z and A this week during class and possibly at home if you can. We will check in during the week, but you’ll submit the assignments on XXX. If you have questions, let me know before then. How are you feeling about the plan this week?</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Purpose

One responsibility of the Success Team is to develop and track interventions. Team members should give thoughtful consideration to design to ensure that interventions are meeting the needs of all students, including those who are underperforming and those who are high achieving. The team must also determine the effectiveness of interventions and their impact on student achievement.

How & When to Use

Planning effective student interventions can be a challenging task for both new and established Success Teams. This set of tools provides support for teams that are creating and/or fine-tuning their student intervention systems by encouraging teams to describe, analyze, and reflect on their current practices. Furthermore, these tools provide team members with opportunities to adjust interventions to better serve students.
The Freshman Success Framework is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

### Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting Conditions</strong></td>
<td>• Engages in regular, calendared Success Team meetings to 1) analyze data and 2) develop, monitor, and adjust interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implementation</strong></td>
<td>• Develops, implements, tracks, and evaluates Tier 2 interventions, making adjustments when appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication</strong></td>
<td>• Refers students to appropriate level of intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication</strong></td>
<td>• Engages faculty in frequent communication on student progress and successful strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

#### Team Lead

- Setting Conditions: With principal and Success Team, sets freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection, and develops benchmarks to monitor progress
- Implementation: Develops action-oriented meeting agendas that consistently address freshman success goals generally and intervention development, tracking, and evaluation specifically
- Implementation: Works with data technician to bring actionable student-level data at regular intervals

#### Principal

- Implementation: Reviews and interrogates interim freshman success-related data in light of Success Team goals, and strategizes with team leadership around next steps
Considerations for Planning Tier 2 Interventions

A set of guiding questions to use during the development of an intervention system. Questions are focused on looking at student data, targeting students, and intervention selection, implementation, and effectiveness.
Considerations When Planning Tier 2 Interventions

For information on the tiered systems of student support, please refer to the RTI Action Network.

Data Questions

• To what degree is attendance playing a role in student performance? To whom do you refer Tier 3 students who have serious attendance issues (inside and outside of the school) so that the Success Team can really concentrate on supporting Tier 2 students?
• How does the grade distribution look by teacher? Are there teachers who are failing a disproportionate number of students?
• Do your assessment policies create opportunities for students to demonstrate mastery, or do they cause students to feel overwhelmed and fall off track?

Targeting Students

• How many students have you identified for Success Team intervention? Does this number fall in the 15 – 25% range for Tier 2 supports? Are there students who are really Tier 3 being included into Tier 2 supports?

Intervention Selection

• What issue is the intervention addressing? (academic/social-emotional/behavioral)
• What programs/resources already exist in the building that could possibly address the issue? How closely do these programs/resources align with the identified needs of students? For example, if tutoring is being offered already, is it designed to help students with real-time issues they face in their classes or is it specifically designed for remediation of basic skills?

Intervention Implementation

• Who will implement the intervention?
• Who will coordinate the intervention (logistics)?
• Who will own the tracking of the intervention’s effectiveness?
• What does successful implementation look like?
Considerations When Planning Tier 2 Interventions (cont.)

Tracking Effectiveness

• Does tracking your intervention include the following information: targeted students’ names, participation (such as the number of times targeted students participate within a specified period), grade check dates, and grades in targeted courses?
• Does your tracking tool allow you to aggregate point-in-time data in different ways so that you can accurately monitor targeted student progress?
• What is your timeline for course correction?
Quick Guide to Tracking Interventions

Guidelines for designing an intervention tracking tool.
Quick Guide to Tracking Interventions

Tracking is necessary to determine the efficacy of an intervention so that adjustments can be made in a timely manner. A tracking tool is more effective when it is in a teacher-friendly format that can be disaggregated to pull data for specific subgroups. For example, if your team is using tutoring as an intervention, and the targeted student group requires tutoring in more than one core class, your tracking tool should be able to disaggregate data to ascertain intervention impact by course. Microsoft Excel and Google Sheets can support the tracking of interventions by disaggregating data and creating graphs.

Features of Good Intervention Tracking Tools

- Name of the intervention and what key performance indicator it addresses (attendance, point-in-time On-Track rates, GPA, behavior metric, etc.)
- Names of the targeted students
  - If tracking grades, include each core course’s average expressed as a percentage
- Intervention contacts/implementation evidence
  - Tutoring attendance
  - Mentorship contact dates
  - “Office hours” visits
- Point-in-time progress on the key performance indicator impacted by the intervention
  - Should include at least 2 checkpoints within a 10-week period
  - If tracking grades, provide an average expressed as a percentage for each core course
  - If tracking attendance, provide number of cumulative absences and/or tardies
Quick Guide to Tracking Interventions (cont.)

Example: Henderson College Prep, Quarter 3 Mentorship Program, Key Performance Indicator
Addressed: Point-in-Time On-Track

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Mentor</th>
<th>Abs</th>
<th>Tardies</th>
<th>ENG</th>
<th>MATH</th>
<th>SCI</th>
<th>SOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Isom</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Shields</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Wells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Wells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Pitcher</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Muldrow</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Pitcher</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Martinez</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pitcher</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Isom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Isom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Martinez</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Shields</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Shields</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Intervention Evaluation Flowchart

A flowchart to determine if individual interventions are working for schools and to improve the use of data to successfully implement interventions.
Is our student success intervention working for our students?

YES: Is there a true opportunity for recovery if students participate with fidelity?

NO: Is it an implementation fidelity issue?

- Are there other school programs/initiatives competing with effective implementation?
- Are teachers/owners aware of implementation procedures?
- Are teachers compensated when appropriate?
- Is there sufficient and reasonable time to implement the intervention?
- Is the intervention publicized effectively to appropriate stakeholders?
- Have you implemented the intervention long enough?

YES: Is it a student participation issue?

- How are students held accountable for not participating? By whom?
- Do they see the results of their participation?
- Are students encouraged by multiple adults to participate?
- Does the intervention respect student time and effort?
- Is the intervention viewed as punitive?

NO: Is the issue a mismatch between the intervention and student needs?

- Does the intervention provide supports for students struggling academically?
- Is the intervention frequent enough to be effective?
- How was the intervention selected? Based on identified student need? Adult preference? Feasibility?

Examples:
- Teacher philosophy
- Grading policies
- Chronic truancy
- Chronic suspensions
- Curriculum pacing
## Is our student success intervention working for our students?

### Data Components Key To Successful Implementation

What data structures and practices, if addressed, will increase your team’s efficacy in improving student achievement?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access to timely Gradebook data</th>
<th>Student participation data</th>
<th>Intervention implementation data</th>
<th>Data analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Are grades updated in a timely manner according to the grade pull schedule? | • How are you tracking participation? (intentionally or randomly) | **TUTORING**
  • Are teachers actually tutoring students/providing academic support?  
  • How are students provided with work to complete during tutoring?  
  • If tutoring is administered by external partners, how is communication of student needs and course expectations shared with them?  
| • Who can provide the grade-level, course, and student-level data you need? | • Is your tracking tool useful for highlighting trends in participation and its effect on achievement? | **MENTORING**
  • Do mentoring conversations push students to action around their grades?  
  • What information are mentors provided with to drive their mentoring sessions?  
  • Are mentors able to advocate professionally with their colleagues?  |
| • Can you manipulate data into a teacher-friendly format? | • Do you have or make time to manipulate the data into a teacher-friendly format? | • Is sufficient time allocated for analyzing data specific to your intervention?  
| • Do you have or make time to manipulate the data into a teacher-friendly format? | | • Does your team’s analysis of intervention data lead to action toward increasing student achievement? |
Intervention Evaluation Flowchart (cont.)

Some Considerations for Intervention Planning

• Identifying what students need
• Ensuring intervention is scheduled at accessible times and with a frequency that makes sense
• Matching adult expertise with student needs
• Strategizing how to get targeted students to the intervention
• Connecting what is happening in the intervention to what is happening in the classroom (relational/academic)
The Evidence Process

What gear is getting stuck?

- Essential Questions:
  - What is the data telling us about our interventions?
  - What are the underlying values that influence the quality of our interventions?

- Implementing Interventions (as supported by data)
- Documenting and Reflecting on Process
- OUTSIDE RESOURCES
- Tracking Interventions (gathering evidence)
- Making Data-informed Decisions
- Examining and Discussing Evidence with Colleagues
- OUTSIDE FORCES
- Using Protocols
Developing and Tracking Interventions

Intervention Success Monthly Action Plan (IS-MAP)

A plan to support action planning using results from the Intervention Evaluation Flowchart.
## Intervention Success Monthly Action Plan (IS-MAP)

Based on quarterly student achievement data and your reflection using the Intervention Evaluation Flowchart, what area needs refinement and what is the change you will make? (refer to bolded categories on the Flowchart)

### Area of Refinement:

### Planned Change:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why am I planning to do this?</th>
<th>How will I initiate this change?</th>
<th>What supports do I need to be successful?</th>
<th>How will I know if my team has made progress?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What’s at stake? What do I hope will happen as a result of this change in our team’s practice?</td>
<td>What action do I need to take to bring this change to fruition?</td>
<td>Who can help me and what do I need from them?</td>
<td>What evidence will tell our team we’re on the right track with the intervention?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Item</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
<th>What I Need</th>
<th>Resource Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Adapted from the School Reform Initiative I-MAP protocol
Student Success Intervention Plan

A planning tool for student interventions that includes the identification of baseline data, criteria for success, status checkpoints, and plans for reflection.
# Student Success Intervention Plan: Quarter ___

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>School:</th>
<th>Grade:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attendance</td>
<td>Ds/Fs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attendance</td>
<td>Ds/Fs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target group</td>
<td>Number of Students</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline data used to select target group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention description</td>
<td>What it is: When it takes place (dates/times): Where it takes place: Description of activities involved:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal of intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria for success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner(s) of intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants in intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeline of intervention</td>
<td>Planning and preparation</td>
<td>Introduction to staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status checkpoints</td>
<td>Checkpoint 1</td>
<td>Checkpoint 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of action taken after each checkpoint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection at end of intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Behavior, Attendance, and Grades (BAG) Report

A school-generated tool for educators to interact with students on behavior, attendance, and grades. Ideally, schools will produce these reports every five weeks. BAG Reports use real-time data so students understand where and how they are struggling, and which educators they may need to reach out to for support. They also help students understand their current status in relation to their goals.

Schools can use BAG Reports in different ways, including individual conversations with students or holding “town hall” meetings for all freshmen to review the data and set next steps.
## Behavior, Attendance, and Grades (BAG) Report

**Student:** Keith  **Grade Level:** 9  **8th Period Teacher:** Donson  
The numbers below reflect totals through Semester 1

### Behavior

**In what ways do I contribute to a Safe and Respectful school climate?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Infractions (# of Major Infractions)</th>
<th># of Days of In-School-Suspension (ISS)</th>
<th># of Days of Out-of-School-Suspension (OSS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If I have any questions regarding my misconducts, I should schedule an appointment with the Dean of Discipline.

### Attendance

**Do my actions reflect the real me?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days Enrolled</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days Present</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days Absent</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**My Year-to-Date Attendance Rate is 91%**

If I have any questions regarding my attendance, I should schedule an appointment with the Attendance Dean.

### Grades

**How am I doing academically in my classes? Do my grades represent my true ability?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>Algebra 1</td>
<td>Flint</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>English 1</td>
<td>Lemon</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>World Studies</td>
<td>Moeller</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>PE I-Health</td>
<td>Spann</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>Tyson</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>Photography</td>
<td>McCain</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>Intro to Comp</td>
<td>Penny</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**My Estimated GPA is 2.57**  
(this estimate does NOT include any previous semesters)

If I have any questions regarding my grade in a course, I should schedule an appointment with my Teacher.
Communicating On-Track Research to Staff, Students, and Families

Contents

UChicago Consortium On-Track Briefs for Students and Parents

What Does “On-Track” Mean?

“Am I On Track?”

Purpose

Effectively communicating On-Track research and school-wide goals is important to create the necessary buy-in to improve outcomes for freshmen. Each part of the school community – students, families, faculty, and staff – plays a key role in successfully transitioning students to high school.

How & When to Use

Tool Set D contains examples that can be used as part of your communication strategy. While developing your plan, consider the following:

• What do you want to communicate to the school community and why?
• Who are your audiences?
• What are the key messages for each audience group?
• How will messages about On-Track be shared with each group and who is the best person to deliver them?
• What is the most effective way for sharing information with each group?
• Where can student voice play a role?
The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication</strong></td>
<td>• Engages faculty in frequent communication on student progress and successful strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

**Team Lead**

- Communication: Coordinates freshman success assemblies, parent nights, and celebrations toward On-Track and student connection goals

**Principal**

- Setting Conditions: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers
UChicago Consortium On-Track Briefs for Students and Parents

Created by the UChicago Consortium, these briefs provide a research-based, user-friendly explanation of the Freshman On-Track metric and how the metric relates to high school graduation and postsecondary outcomes.

The Parent Brief is available in English and Spanish.
Freshman Year: The Make-it or Break-it Year

You are about to start the most important year of high school—perhaps the most important year of school so far. This is the year that will set the stage for whether you will graduate and whether you will be ready for college.

How do we know this? Because researchers at the University of Chicago—the Consortium on Chicago School Research—have spent years trying to understand what really matters when it comes to graduating from Chicago Public Schools. Working in cooperation with the district, the Consortium researchers have analyzed years of data—surveys, standardized tests, student grades, attendance records—and uncovered some connections that might surprise you.

It’s not about your 8th grade test scores, or even the ACT test that you will face in the 11th grade, although these tests do matter. It’s not about where you live and how much money your family earns, although those facts get a lot of attention when educators talk about drop-out statistics.

Here’s what really matters far more than anything: GRADES AND ATTENDANCE.

No one wants to be a drop-out statistic. So as you begin this crucial year, consider what research tells us about Chicago freshmen and the factors that influence whether or not they will graduate four years later.

GRADES

- More than 95 percent of students with a B average or better in their freshman year graduate.
- Freshmen who earn a B average or better have an 80-percent chance of finishing high school with at least a 3.0 GPA.
- Freshmen with less than a C average are more likely to drop out than graduate.
ABSENCES

• Nearly 90 percent of freshmen who miss less than a week of school per semester graduate, regardless of their 8th grade test scores.

• Freshmen who miss more than two weeks of school flunk, on average, at least two classes—no matter whether they arrive at high school with top test scores or below-average scores. In fact, freshmen who arrive with high test scores but miss two weeks of school per semester are more likely to fail a course than freshmen with low test scores who miss just one week.

What does all this research mean for students?

Essentially, that the freshman year is an important chance for a fresh start. Strong students can quickly fall off course if they start cutting classes and blowing off homework. And students who struggled in elementary school can turn things around if they come to school every day and aim for a B average. For Chicago students who want to graduate from college—and 78 percent of seniors say they do—that B average in high school is what it’s going to take to succeed in college.

It sounds simple, but there is a lot of room for improvement in these two areas. That’s because:

• More than half of Chicago freshmen fail a course.

• About 40 percent miss more than a month of school in that first year (which includes class cutting).

• The average GPA is lower than a “C.”

But you don’t have to be one of these statistics.

So…what can you do?

✔ Get to school on time and don’t cut classes. You may think it doesn’t matter to blow off your first or last class of the day, but it does. Even occasional skipping leads to dramatically lower grades. And it sends the wrong message to teachers about your desire to succeed.

✔ Ask your teachers for help. Teachers should be helping struggling students, but sometimes it falls on you to ask for help. We know from our research that students do better in their courses when they trust their teachers and count on them for support.

✔ Make academics your top priority. This means trying hard on assignments even when they aren’t interesting and choosing to study instead of socializing when there is a big test. Students with good study habits have higher GPAs and fail fewer courses than classmates who don’t make homework a priority.

For more information, visit the Consortium’s website at ccsr.uchicago.edu
Freshman Year: The Make-it or Break-it Year

Your student is about to start the most important year of high school—perhaps the most important year of school so far. This is the year that will set the stage for whether they will graduate and whether they will be ready for college.

How do we know this? Because researchers at the University of Chicago—the Consortium for Chicago School Research—have spent years trying to understand what really matters when it comes to graduating from Chicago Public Schools. Working in cooperation with the district, the Consortium researchers have analyzed years of data—surveys, standardized tests, student grades, attendance records—and uncovered some connections that might surprise you.

It’s not about their 8th grade test scores, or even the ACT test they will face in the 11th grade, although these tests do matter. It’s not about where you live and how much money your family earns, although those facts get a lot of attention when educators talk about drop-out statistics.

Here’s what really matters far more than anything: GRADES AND ATTENDANCE.

No one wants to be a drop-out statistic. So as you guide your teenager through this crucial year, consider what research tells us about Chicago freshmen and the factors that influence whether or not students will graduate four years later.

GRADES

- More than 95 percent of students with a B average or better in their freshman year graduate.
- Freshmen who earn a B average or better have an 80-percent chance of finishing high school with at least a 3.0 GPA.
- Freshmen with less than a C average are more likely to drop out than graduate.
ABSENCES

- Nearly 90 percent of freshmen who miss less than a week of school per semester graduate, regardless of their 8th grade test scores.

- Freshmen who miss more than two weeks of school flunk, on average, at least two classes—no matter whether they arrive at high school with top test scores or below-average scores. In fact, freshmen who arrive with high test scores but miss two weeks of school per semester are more likely to fail a course than freshmen with low test scores who miss just one week.

What does all this research mean for you and your student?

Essentially, that the freshman year is an important chance for a fresh start. Strong students can quickly fall off course if they start cutting classes and blowing off homework. And students who struggled in elementary school can turn things around if they come to school every day and aim for a B average. For Chicago students who want to graduate from college—and 78 percent of seniors say they do—that B average in high school is what it’s going to take to succeed in college.

So…what can you do?

✔ Reinforce the importance of getting to school on time and not cutting classes. Your teenager may think it doesn’t matter to blow off the first or last class of the day, but it does. Even occasional skipping leads to dramatically lower grades. And it sends the wrong message to teachers about the desire to succeed.

✔ Talk with your student’s teachers about how you can help your teen succeed, especially if you see his or her grades start to fall. Teachers should be helping struggling students, but sometimes it falls on freshmen (and their parents) to ask for that help. We know from our research that students do better in their courses when they trust their teachers and count on them for support. Communication with teachers is important, especially if there are personal issues affecting performance in class.

✔ Make academics your top priority for your freshman. This means trying hard on assignments even when they aren’t interesting and choosing to study instead of socializing when there is a big test. Students with good study habits have higher GPAs and fail fewer courses than classmates who don’t make homework a priority.

For more information, visit the Consortium’s website at ccsr.uchicago.edu
What Does “On-Track” Mean?

A presentation that introduces the On-Track metric to students as well as emphasizing the importance of attendance and grades in freshman year.
What Does “On-Track” Mean?

“On-Track” Series Guidance Curriculum – Academic Domain
Al Raby High School 2009-2010

Who wants to go to college?

- Why?
- What difference does going to college make?
So how do I make sure I graduate?

- Know your destination (college) and keep it in sight
- Have a roadmap (a plan for how to get there)
- Ask for directions when needed
- Stay ON TRACK!
Communicating On-Track Research to Staff, Students, and Families

What does “On-Track” mean?

On-Track means you are performing in a manner that will allow you to graduate in four years

- Must earn at least 5 credits by June of freshman year
- Fail no more than .5 of a core course credit (one semester of a core class)

(Core Courses = Survey Literature, World Studies, Environmental Science, Algebra)

Did you know…

An “On-Track” freshman is 3.5X MORE LIKELY TO GRADUATE IN 4 YEARS than an off track freshman.
How do I stay On Track?

- Pass all classes
- Attend school every day
- Know the requirements for graduation

Graduation Requirements at Al Raby High School

- 24 credits (minimum)
- 4 service learning projects, to be completed through your academic classes each year
- 4 years of student advisory
- Driver’s Education (PE II)
- PSAE (Prairie State Achievement Exam)
- Public Law Exam
- Consumer Education exam
**Communicating On-Track Research to Staff, Students, and Families**

## Al Raby’s Graduation Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Credits</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **English**   | 4 credits| 1. Survey of Literature*  
2. World Literature*  
3. American Literature*  
4. British Literature* |
| **Math**      | 3 credits| 1. Algebra I*  
2. Geometry*  
3. Algebra II/Trig.* |
| **Science**   | 3 credits| 1. Environmental Sci.  
2. Biology*  
3. Chemistry  
4. Physics |
| **Social Science** | 3 credits| 1. World Studies*  
2. US History*  
3. (see electives) |
| **World Language** | 2 credits| 1. Spanish I*  
2. Spanish II* |
| **Fine Arts** | 2 credits| 1. Art*  
2. General Music* |
| **Physical Education** | 2 credits| 1. PE I/Health*  
2. PE II/Driver’s Ed* |
| **Career Education** | 3 credits| AVID I, II, III, IV  
OR any course above and beyond the minimum requirement  
English Senior Project* |
| **Electives** | 2 credits| Beg. Mixed Chorus  
Collins Writing  
AP Psychology  
AP US Government  
AP Studio Art  
AP Environmental Sci.  
Statistics  
Pre-Calculus  
AP Calculus  
AP Statistics  
AP Lang. & Comp.  
AP Literature |
| **Total**     | 24 Credits|                                                                               |

*Required course
Speaking of credits, what are they?

- 1 semester class passed = ½ credit
- So, if you pass every class during the semester, you can earn how many credits?
  - 6 classes x ½ credit each =
    - 3 credits at the semester
    - 6 credits per school year

5 Week Mark: Progress Report
10 Week Mark: Quarter 1 Report Card
15 Week Mark: Progress Report
20 Weeks: Semester 1 Report Card
½ credit for each class passed!
### Is this student On Track?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>1st Semester</th>
<th>2nd Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey Lit.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Science</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Studies</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE/Health</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**YES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>1st Semester</th>
<th>2nd Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey Lit.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Science</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Studies</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE/Health</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art I</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO**
## Is this student On Track?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course</th>
<th>1st Semester</th>
<th>2nd Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey Lit.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Science</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Studies</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE/Health</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art I</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**YES**

---

**Attendance Matters!!!**
Communicating On-Track Research to Staff, Students, and Families

Attendace Matters!!!

Research shows that students who attend school each day:
- Get better grades
- Learn more
- Are more likely to get high school diplomas
- Are less likely to go to prison

Fact:

Nearly 90% of freshmen who miss less than 1 week of school per semester GRADUATE FROM HIGH SCHOOL regardless of their 8th grade test scores.
Attendance Matters!

- List 3 reasons why students are absent and tardy to school
- List solutions for each reason
Attendance Matters!

- What time are students expected to be at school?
- Where is the attendance office located?
- What is an excused absence?
- What is an unexcused absence?
- What are the consequences?

Check Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 14</td>
<td>Progress Report 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 19</td>
<td>Report Card 1 (Pick-up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 16</td>
<td>Progress Report 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 3</td>
<td>Report Card 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 10</td>
<td>Progress Report 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 22</td>
<td>Report Card 3 (Pick-up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 19</td>
<td>Progress Report 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Report Card 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

END OF 1ST SEMESTER

END OF 2ND SEMESTER
Communicating On-Track Research to Staff, Students, and Families

How do I stay On Track?

- Pass all classes
- Attend school every day
- Know the requirements for graduation

What are some possible consequences of falling off track in freshman year?

Remember!
Al Raby’s Goal!

100%  
% of Raby students who aspire to complete a 4-year degree  

100%  
% of Raby students who succeed in completing a 4-year degree

Stay On Track!
“Am I On Track?”

A student-friendly poster that describes the On-Track metric and provides students with clear criteria for evaluating their own On-Track status.
AM I ON TRACK?

“On Track” means you are performing in a manner that will allow you to graduate within four years.

AFTER 1st semester

You are On Track if:
• You failed no more than one core course
  AND
• you earned at least 3 credits

AFTER Freshman year

You are On Track if:
• You failed no more than 0.5 credits total in your core courses
  AND
• you earned at least 5.0 credits

Core courses: Survey Literature, Algebra/Geometry, World Studies, Environmental Science, and Reading in Language Arts.
Purpose

The relationship between freshman course performance and high school graduation has been validated in schools, districts, cities, and states across America. Since the release of the What Matters report, UChicago Consortium researchers have produced a plethora of new evidence on the transition to high school. This body of research is moving the field from mitigating course failure (just staying On-Track) to supporting high levels of course performance for all students. Specifically, this means helping students increase their Grade Point Averages so they are ready for the rigors of college. Tool Set E provides educators with an understanding of how the work has evolved in Chicago since the development of the On-Track indicator.

How & When to Use

These tools can serve as reference guides when your school is ready to work on improving course performance more holistically. The Annotated Bibliography lists and summarizes the key research coming out of the UChicago Consortium on the transition to high school. It shows the scope of the work from 2005, when the relationship between On-Track and high graduation rates was identified, to the latest research in 2016. The Developing the Risk and Opportunity Framework slide deck describes how the research indicators and data analysis on freshman success evolved over time to become a more complex early warning indicator system.
The Freshman Success Framework is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting Conditions</td>
<td>• With principal and Team Lead, establishes foundational knowledge, sets purpose, and creates freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>• Reviews incoming class performance data to develop early and targeted supports for students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>• Engages faculty in frequent communication on student progress and successful strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

**Team Lead**
- Setting Conditions: Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team
- Setting Conditions: With principal and Success Team, sets freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection, and develops benchmarks to monitor progress
- Implementation: Works with data technician to bring actionable student-level data at regular intervals

**Principal**
- Setting Conditions: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers
- Setting Conditions: Sets goals for On-Track and student connection with the Success Team and team leadership
- Implementation: Provides timely access to freshman success-related data, such as point-in-time On-Track data
Annotated Bibliography of UChicago Consortium Research on the Transition to High School

Since the initial research on the On-Track metric, UChicago Consortium researchers have studied the transition to high school again and again to develop a large body of work on the critical nature of freshman year in Chicago Public Schools. This annotated bibliography provides an overview of the most important UChicago Consortium research over the past decade as well as how the findings push practice on the ground.
Annotated Bibliography of the UChicago Consortium Research on the Transition to High School

The On-Track Indicator as a Predictor of High School Graduation
JUNE, 2005
Elaine M. Allensworth and John Q. Easton

This research brief identifies the relationship between ninth grade course performance and high school graduation for the first time in UChicago Consortium research.

What Matters for Staying On-Track and Graduating in Chicago Public High Schools
JULY, 2007
Elaine M. Allensworth and John Q. Easton

This report is the foundational text for On-Track work in Chicago. What Matters deepened researchers’ and practitioners' understanding of the relationship between ninth grade and high school graduation by making connections between Freshman On-Track, absence rates, and the culture and climate of Chicago’s high schools. The report also challenged the idea that students' academic skill level—as measured by achievement tests—was their biggest barrier to success in high school. Instead, the report suggested practitioners focus on boosting student attendance, increasing classroom engagement, and building stronger student-teacher relationships as strategies for increasing freshman course performance. Ultimately, the report hypothesized that supporting freshmen would lead to increased graduation rates.

What Matters for Staying On-Track and Graduating in Chicago Public Schools:
A Focus on Students with Disabilities
DECEMBER, 2009
Julia Gwynne, Joy Lesnick, Holly Hart, and Elaine M. Allensworth

This report examines whether or not On-Track is an effective early warning indicator for students with disabilities in Chicago. The authors found that freshman year course performance—more than background characteristics such as race, gender, socioeconomic status, or prior achievement—predicts which students with disabilities are most at risk for dropping out of high school. This means that, while the work of keeping freshman with disabilities On Track to Graduate may be difficult, it is still the right strategy for increasing graduation rates among this particularly vulnerable sub-group of students in Chicago Public Schools.
What Matters for Staying On-Track and Graduating in Chicago Public Schools: A Focus on English Language Learners

MAY, 2012

Julia Gwynne, Amber Stitziel Pareja, Stacy B. Ehrlich, and Elaine M. Allensworth

This report examines whether or not On-Track was an effective early warning indicator for English Language Learner (ELL) students in Chicago. The authors found that course performance indicators are highly predictive of graduation for ELL students. In fact, course performance is more predictive than other ELL-specific indicators, including English language proficiency level and whether students experienced interruptions in their education. This means that, while the work of keeping ELL students On Track to Graduate may be difficult, it is still the right strategy for increasing graduation rates among this particularly vulnerable sub-group of students in Chicago Public Schools.

Teaching Adolescents to Become Learners: The Role of Noncognitive Factors in Shaping School Performance

JUNE, 2012

Camille A. Farrington, Melissa Roderick, Elaine M. Allensworth, Jenny Nagaoka, Tasha Seneca Keyes, David W. Johnson, and Nicole O. Beechum

This literature review was the beginning of a new line of work at the UChicago Consortium to understand noncognitive factors and how those factors shape students’ success in school. Noncognitive factors can be habits and mindsets like belonging, academic perseverance, study habits, self-regulation, and many others. This work called attention to the idea that skills and habits can be shaped in schools, particularly in the classroom, and pushed educators to explicitly incorporate these factors into the ongoing work of teaching and learning. Noncognitive factors can offer practitioners a new way of seeing the On-Track work from a student’s perspective and shed new light on freshman success. Rather than just focusing on student attendance and work, this review encourages educators to think about shaping students’ mindsets as learners to make a successful transition into high school.
Preventable Failure: Improvements in Long-Term Outcomes When High Schools Focused on the Ninth Grade Year: Research Summary

APRIL, 2014
Melissa Roderick, Thomas Kelley-Kemple, David W. Johnson, and Nicole O. Beechum

What happens to graduation rates as On-Track rates rise? After many years of strong improvement in On-Track rates across Chicago’s schools, UChicago Consortium researchers re-evaluated the relationship between the On-Track indicator and graduation. The authors found that schools that experienced substantial increases in On-Track rates also saw increases in graduation rates. In addition, On-Track increases paid off for all kinds of students in all kinds of schools, with African-American and Latino males—those who had the lowest On-Track rates to begin with—making the greatest gains. This research gave practitioners in Chicago confidence that the focus on ninth grade is the right focus for high school improvement.

Free to Fail or On-Track to College Series

SEPTEMBER, 2014
W. David Stevens, Elaine M. Allensworth, Amber Stitziel Pareja, Marisa de la Torre, Julia A. Gwynne, Todd Rosenkranz, and James Sebastian

This series comprises three short reports:

- An Introduction to the UChicago CCSR Research Series
- Why Grades Drop When Students Enter High School and What Adults Can Do About It
- Setting the Stage for Academic Challenge: Classroom Control and Student Support

The Free to Fail or On-Track to College series uses qualitative data from student interviews—in addition to the UChicago Consortium’s quantitative data archive—to help illustrate new and ongoing challenges students face in the transition to high school. Among other important findings, this work identifies the enormous scope of talent loss between eighth and ninth grade in Chicago Public Schools, even among high-achieving students. These reports draw attention to the decrease in ninth grade course performance generally as well as the more specific problem of students who earn As and Bs in eighth grade but only Cs and Ds in ninth grade. Low course performance pushes students off the path to college readiness in the same way that course failure pushes students off the path to high school graduation.
Looking Forward to High School and College: Middle Grade Indicators of Readiness in Chicago Public Schools

NOVEMBER, 2014
Elaine M. Allensworth, Julia A. Gwynne, Paul Moore, and Marisa de la Torre

When released, this report helped Chicago practitioners make a shift from thinking about On-Track work as a way to mitigate course failure and increase high school graduation to envisioning a more holistic approach to supporting freshman success and ensuring that students are ready to succeed in college. UChicago Consortium researchers re-investigated the foundational relationship between students’ academic performance in the middle grades and their performance in high school, and once again reinforced the importance of ninth grade as an inflection point in students’ academic trajectories. The report also added important pieces of evidence to the body of research, such as the use of eighth grade attendance and GPA as indicators of high school readiness and the surprisingly low rate of academically talented eighth graders going on to earn B averages in high school. This research provides the evidence behind the “Risk and Opportunity” Framework that is now used by the Network for College Success and schools across the city as a robust early warning indicator system in the transition to high school.

Foundations for Young Adult Success: A Developmental Framework

JUNE, 2015
Jenny Nagaoka, Camille A. Farrington, Stacy B. Ehrlich, and Ryan D. Heath; with David W. Johnson, Sarah Dickson, Ashley Cureton Turner, Ashley Mayo, and Kathleen Hayes

Building off of the previously released literature review on noncognitive factors, this report provides a clear and detailed conceptual framework for supporting human development in schools and society-at-large from preschool to college. The Framework provides a developmental lens on how to best support students in the transition to high school. It also challenges high school educators to think about the extent to which we are developing agency and integrated identity in developmentally appropriate ways for adolescents, who have tremendously malleable mindsets and need special attention in the transition to high school.
High School Graduation Rates through Two Decades of District Change: The Influence of Policies, Data Records, and Demographic Shift

JUNE, 2016
Elaine M. Allensworth, Kaleen Healey, Julia A. Gwynne, and René Crespin

This report examines two decades of data on Chicago’s rising graduation rates and parses out different reasons for those improvements. While some increases in high school graduation rates could be attributed to factors like increased student achievement in grades K-8 or changes in student demographics, the authors find that the biggest contribution are increases in ninth grade factors, like On-Track rates. The report also lays to rest concerns that Chicago’s graduation rate may be inflated by artificial changes or factors outside the control of Chicago’s high schools. It makes clear that authentic changes in course performance for Chicago’s freshmen has been the primary driver of the 20 percentage point increase in high school graduation rates over the last decade.

The Network for College Success: A Capacity-Building Model for School Improvement

NOVEMBER, 2016
Mary Ann Pitcher, Sarah J. Duncan, Jenny Nagaoka, Eliza Moeller, Latesha Dickerson, and Nicole O. Beechum

This model-capture paper uses qualitative data and a deep understanding of the Network for College Success approach to describe a comprehensive model for supporting freshman success work in a network of high schools. The paper accompanies the NCS Freshman On-Track Toolkit.
The Predictive Power of Ninth-Grade GPA

SEPTEMBER, 2017

John Q. Easton, Esperanza Johnson, and Lauren Sartain

This research report takes a deeper look at the importance of grades in ninth grade. The authors find that while freshman GPA has risen steadily over the past decade, alarming differences in GPA persist across race and gender as well as across high schools. The report further elaborates that a student’s ninth grade GPA is a strong predictor of a wide range of later outcomes—including high school graduation, college enrollment, and college persistence. Ninth grade GPA predicts these outcomes better than standardized test scores, and the predictive power of GPA holds even when controlling for variables such as student background, prior achievement, and high school choice.

Practice-Driven Data: Lessons from Chicago’s Approach to Research, Data, and Practice in Education

OCTOBER, 2018

Eliza Moeller, Alex Seeskin, and Jenny Nagaoka

This concept paper shares lessons learned about how, when, why, and under what conditions “the use of data has supported real and sustainable improvement in Chicago’s public high schools. The authors identify five lessons that apply to schools, districts, and researchers about how to use data to change practice. Taken together, the lessons form an approach called “practice-driven data” and can inform data work nation-wide.
Hidden Risk: Changes in GPA across the Transition to High School

OCTOBER, 2018
Alex Seeskin, Jenny Nagaoka, and Shelby Mahaffie

This report investigates the changes in GPA that students experience between 8th grade and 9th grade. As researchers previously identified, the decline in GPA between 8th and 9th grade is about a half a grade point for the average student, but these declines are different across schools and across sub-groups of students. The largest GPA decline identified is for Chicago’s highest-achieving Black students, who ended 8th grade with over a 3.5 GPA and declined .73 grade points on average. Additionally, the researchers found that no single subject area was driving the declines in GPA, but that low grades in non-core subject areas, like the arts and physical education, were a hidden risk to students’ overall academic performance in 9th grade.

The Forgotten Year: Applying Lessons from Freshman Success to Sophomore Year

AUGUST, 2020
Alex Seeskin, Shelby Mahaffie, and Alexandra Usher

In light of over a decade of improvement in 9th grade course performance across Chicago’s high schools, this report turns the focus to 10th grade. Researchers first validated that the 9th grade on-track indicator is still just as predictive of high school graduation as it was when it was initially calculated, reinforcing the importance of 9th grade as critical for improving high school graduation. The report further finds that there is a group of students (about 8%) who fall off-track between 9th and 10th grade, and that these students are very unlikely to graduate. Paying extra attention to students who ended the 9th grade year on-track but also had a course failure or below 85% attendance could help strengthen schools’ early warning indicator work as students progress into 10th grade.

High School GPAs and ACT Scores as Predictors of College Completion: Examining Assumptions about Consistency across High Schools

AUGUST, 2019
Elaine M. Allensworth and Kallie Clark

This analysis strengthens our ongoing understanding that high school GPA, rather than standardized test scores, is the best available predictor of college-readiness. The authors find that the relationship between high school GPA and college graduation remains strong and reliable, even across high schools. These findings are summarized in a working paper on the Consortium’s website, and the final article is available in the academic journal Educational Researcher.
Developing the Risk and Opportunity Framework

This slide deck breaks down the data system that Chicago schools now use to monitor freshman success. As the On-Track work evolved, UChicago Consortium researchers and the Network for College Success collaborated to develop a broader data framework to guide improvement efforts. Called the Risk and Opportunity Framework, it now functions as a detailed early warning indicator system for monitoring freshman success in schools throughout Chicago. Adopting this new and more complex data system also helped practitioners move from improving On-Track rates to increasing freshman course performance to higher levels.
Prior to 2014, the UChicago Consortium identified two crucial indicators for freshman success: On-Track and Bs or Better. On-Track is an early indicator of high school graduation. UChicago Consortium research shows that On-Track students are four times more likely to graduate from high school than their off track peers.

Bs or Better (meaning a student has a 3.0 GPA or better) is an important leading indicator of college readiness. In addition, students who earn above a 3.0 GPA in high school are more than 50% likely to graduate from college. Critically, high school GPA, not test scores, is the best predictor of college graduation.
In the summer of 2013, UChicago Consortium researchers began discussing the findings from the report, *Looking Forward to High School and College: Middle Grade Indicators of Readiness in Chicago Public Schools* with Network for College Success staff. This report included several critical pieces of new information around freshman year, including findings about talent loss among high-achieving students between 8th and 9th grade. UChicago Consortium researchers and Network for College Success staff began presenting these research findings and discussing their implications for practice at partner schools.

The “Looking Forward” report included this table for considering the likelihood of Freshman On-Track status (and a similar table for the likelihood of earning a 3.0 GPA), given 8th grade attendance and GPA.

![Table showing the risk of being off-track in ninth grade by eighth-grade core GPA and attendance.](image)
In the fall of 2013, with support from Network for College Success staff under a Federal School Improvement Grant, Hancock High School used this table to group incoming freshmen, pushing staff to support students to achieve “Bs or Better.”

Network for College Success Data Strategists and UChicago Consortium researchers turned the table from the “Looking Forward” report into the Risk and Opportunity Framework, a case management tool that schools could use with their real-time data.
As schools like Hancock began to use the Risk and Opportunity Framework in real time, UChicago Consortium researchers designed reports to show trends within the various schools.

First distributed in the fall of 2014, the new trend reports included not just On-Track and off track status, but a status category for "Bs or Better."

Also in 2014, the Network for College Success began holding quarterly Performance Management sessions on freshman success for partner school leaders.

Network for College Success Data Strategists built tools to be used in schools to manage freshman success data as well as guide practice and interventions between the Performance Management sessions.
In school year 2015-16, the Network for College Success expanded quarterly Performance Management sessions to all 99 high schools in the city, serving an additional 350 educators.
PREPARING TO LEAD

Planning for Your Success Team

Strengthening Success Team Lead Capacity

Planning for a Success Team Meeting
Purpose

Careful planning is vital for a strong Success Team. Administrators must deliberately select team members that not only understand the importance of the transition to high school, but also genuinely care about the achievement of their students. Strong Team Leads can build relationships with students and adults as well as facilitate data-driven conversations that lead to sound decision-making.

How & When to Use

Administrators, along with the Team Lead, should refer to Tool Set A during the initial phases of team planning and development. These tools provide ideas and considerations as you develop the systems and structures for this work in your school. Additionally, the job descriptions support your process for selecting team members. Some school communities have the resources to develop an On-Track Coordinator role who manages the operations of Success Team work.

Once formed, your Success Team can use these tools to support the clear communication of meeting expectations and as a source for providing feedback to Team Leads.
The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Setting Conditions | • Shares Success Team roles and responsibilities conducive to freshman success work  
• With principal and Team Lead, establishes foundational knowledge, sets purpose, and creates freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection  
• Includes General Education and Special Education teachers, counselor(s), data technician, student advocate or dean, and/or administration |

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

**Team Lead**

• Setting Conditions: Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team

• Setting Conditions: With principal and data technician, establishes Success Team meeting calendar that includes regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment

• Setting Conditions: With principal and Success Team, sets freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection, and develops benchmarks to monitor progress

**Principal**

• Setting Conditions: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers

• Setting Conditions: Identifies team leadership (Team Lead, data technician, social-emotional learning specialist, etc.) and communicates expectations for their engagement with Success Team

• Setting Conditions: Sets goals for On-Track and student connection with the Success Team and team leadership

• Setting Conditions: Provides and protects team meeting calendar, with regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment
Sample Job Descriptions for Success Team Leads & Members

This set of sample job descriptions clarifies the roles and responsibilities of Team Leads and members.
Success Team Lead Job Description

Collaborates with: On-Track Coordinator and Data Technician

Position Overview
The Success Team Lead works with the On-Track Coordinator to engage grade-level faculty and staff in the design and implementation of interventions that will increase On-Track rates. These strategies include, but are not limited to:

• utilizing student course performance, attendance, and misconduct data to develop and implement interventions (Tier 2),
• creating a system for tracking the effectiveness of interventions,
• celebrating successes toward On-Track and student connection goals, and
• communicating with the counseling department around academic recovery options for students.

Special Note: The Success Team Lead is often a teacher. This person should be capable of balancing this role with their teaching responsibilities.

Success Team Lead Duties and Responsibilities

• Collaborate with the On-Track Coordinator to facilitate development of highly functional grade-level Success Teams that focus on creating, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating interventions
• Set freshman success goals for On-Track and develop structures for students to connect with the administration and Success Team
• Communicate strategies and progress toward success goals to Success Team
• Support the On-Track Coordinator to execute community activities on On-Track, including assemblies, parent info sessions, and celebrations
• Participate in ongoing professional development around team leadership and On-Track best practices

Preferred Characteristics

• Service-oriented, especially around student advocacy
• Possess strong instructional skills and knowledge
• Experience in building and facilitating effective teams or willingness to learn this skill set
• Proficient use of Microsoft Office Suite, including Word and PowerPoint
• Experience with an early warning system
• Strong organization, communication, and leadership skills
Success Team Teacher Job Description (9th and 10th Grade)

Collaborates with: On-Track Coordinator and/or Team Lead

Position Overview
Success Team teachers are student advocates who participate fully with their grade-level teammates to design and implement On-Track strategies that will increase student achievement. These strategies include, but are not limited to:

• utilizing student course performance, attendance, and misconduct data to develop and implement interventions (Tier 2 supports),
• collectively evaluating the effectiveness of interventions,
• celebrating successes toward On-Track and student connection goals,
• communicating with parents/guardians around academic recovery options for students, and
• applying the tenants of student support – creating multiple opportunities for success, recognition of and willingness to provide non-traditional supports, understanding and considering the cognitive development of students – in the classroom on a daily basis.

Success Team Member Duties and Responsibilities

• Participate as an active member of a highly functional grade-level Success Team that regularly uses course performance, attendance, and misconduct data to create, implement, monitor, and evaluate interventions

• Set success goals for On-Track and student connection with the administration, On-Track Coordinator (where applicable), and Team Lead(s)
• Utilize resources which support student success
• Reflect on one’s own philosophies and practices in an effort to meet the diverse needs of students
• Demonstrate transparency with students and parents on how to achieve success in the course

Preferred Teacher Characteristics

• Teach three or more classes in one grade level or work with students in that grade for a significant amount of time in another way (e.g. grade-level counselor)
• Strong communication and critical thinking skills
• Strong service and support orientation
• Ability to bring projects to closure with minimal supervision
• Willingness to extend work beyond traditional classroom hours
• Maintain a high level of personal responsibility in one’s work
On-Track Coordinator Job Description

Collaborates with: Principal, Team Lead, and/or Data Technician

Position Overview
The On-Track Coordinator engages grade-level faculty and staff – i.e. the Success Team – in the design and implementation of strategies that will increase the school’s On-Track rate. These strategies include, but are not limited to:

• utilizing student course performance, attendance, and misconduct data to develop and implement interventions (Tier 2 supports),
• creating a system for tracking the effectiveness of interventions,
• celebrating successes toward On-Track, attendance, and student connection goals, and
• communicating with the counseling department on academic recovery options for students.

On-Track Coordinator Duties and Responsibilities
• Access and provide timely course performance, attendance, and misconduct data in a teacher-friendly form for analysis
• Facilitate development of highly functional grade-level Success Team(s) that focus on creating, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating interventions
• Set success goals for On-Track and student connection with the administration and Success Team(s)
• Communicate strategies and progress toward goals to Success Team(s), administration, parents, and students

• Advocate for resources to support student success
• Coordinate community activities around On-Track, including assemblies, parent info sessions, and celebrations
• Collaborate with the counseling department on high school transition activities, including, but not limited to: high school fairs and orientation
• Participate in ongoing professional development around team leadership and On-Track best practices

Selection Criteria
• Demonstrated ability to use data to guide planning and evaluation
• Proficient use of Microsoft Office Suite, including Word, Excel, and PowerPoint
• Experience with an early warning system preferred
• High school teaching experience preferred
• Proven experience in building and facilitating effective teams
• Strong communication skills and critical thinking skills
• Experience developing systems and managing change
• Strong service and support orientation
• Ability to bring projects to closure with minimal supervision
Freshman Academy Team Calendar Planning Considerations

This tool illustrates an alternative team structure wherein a Freshman Academy is created within a school to execute the exclusive duties of a freshman success program.
Freshman Academy Team Calendar
Planning Considerations

Regular team meeting time

Possible data sources (including availability and time for team analysis)
• Freshman Success reports
• Attendance
• Grades
• Internal data sources, like Google Docs
• Interim assessment data
• Social-emotional data
• Student work

Meeting topics
• Structure and support
  ° Roles and responsibilities of the team and team members
  ° Setting agreements for team meeting time
  ° Supports needed from administration to do the work
• Interventions
  ° On-Track/off track students
  ° Social-emotional
  ° Attendance incentives/initiatives
• Problem-solving issues, such as interventions
• Student focus groups to inform the team’s practice

• Parent communication/involvement
  ° Parent conferences
  ° Progress reports
  ° Open Houses
  ° Shadow days
• Student “town hall” meetings
  ° Informing students of progress toward goals
  ° Attendance, academic, and community-building celebrations
**Contents**

- Team Lead Orientation
- Stages of Team Development
- Developing Your Upward Management Plan
- Thirteen Tips to Effective Upward Management
- Upward Management Plan Checklist
- Communication Styles
- Reframing Organizations
- Intervention Interview Protocol

**Purpose**

Team Leads and individuals responsible for supporting team leadership should refer to this collection of tools. Regular, job-embedded support of the Success Team Lead is a key component of the freshman success work. Team Leads are often classroom teachers new to leadership and the responsibilities of leading a team of adults. In the Network for College Success model, ongoing support is provided by an external coach or facilitator. However, school-based support is another option, such as from an administrator or veteran teacher-leader.

**How & When to Use**

Tool Set B provides practical activities to support new Team Leads to work effectively with the administration as well as diverse team members. External support organizations, similar to the Network for College Success, can also refer to the to readings, activities, and presentations when planning.
The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

### Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting Conditions</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Develops effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and clear purpose, and building norms and action-oriented agendas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Shares Success Team roles and responsibilities conducive to freshman success work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communication</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Maintains strengths-based and action-oriented communication to support students</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Engages faculty in frequent communication of student progress and successful strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

### Principal

- **Setting Conditions:** Identifies team leadership (Team Lead, data technician, social-emotional learning specialist, etc.) and communicates expectations for their engagement with Success Team
- **Implementation:** Provides professional development and training opportunities on the transition to high school, data strategy, and social-emotional learning

### Team Lead

- **Setting Conditions:** Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team
- **Implementation:** Establishes team meeting conditions conducive to the successful execution of Success Team duties
Team Lead Orientation

A sample orientation presentation that establishes expectations for Team Leads and can be used as a guide for establishing a district and/or school-based program for Team Leads.
Team Lead Orientation

Freshman Success Collaborative
Summer Conference
August 30, 2010

Outcomes for This Orientation

- Increase understanding of expectations around freshman success work
- Distinguish overall and one-on-one supports Success Team Leads will receive
- Partner with a cohort member for personal support
Introductions

- Name and School
- One hope and one concern about your role in the upcoming year

Agenda

- Why focus on transition?
- The charge for Freshman Success Teams
- The mantle of freshman team leadership
- Your professional support system
Focusing on Transition

Freshman On-Track Indicator

- A measure of progress during the first year of high school
- An On-Track student has no more than one semester F in a core subject
  - English, reading, math, science, or social studies
- An On-Track student has accumulated five full course credits (in any subject)
  - The number required to move to 10th grade in Chicago Public Schools
How is the On-Track Indicator Related to Graduation?

**Four-and Five-Year Graduation Rates by Whether On-Track at the End of the Freshman Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graduation Rate</th>
<th>On-track</th>
<th>Off-track</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-year graduation rate</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year graduation rate</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Students who dropped or transferred out of CPS before the end of the school year are not included in these calculations. If students who dropped out during their first year were included, the off-track graduation rates would be 30 percent (4 year) and 25 percent (5 year). The on-track rates would remain the same.

---

**Four-Year Graduation Rates by Freshman Course Failures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester Course Failures</th>
<th>Percentage Not Graduated in Four Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Preparing to Lead**

NCS FRESHMAN ON-TRACK TOOLKIT
How is the On-Track Indicator Related to Graduation?

![Graph showing the relationship between days absent and graduation rates.](image)

How is the On-Track Indicator Related to Graduation?

![Graph showing four-year graduation rates by freshman GPA.](image)
Student-Teacher Relationships Matter!

- Teacher-student trust
- Teacher personal support
- Schoolwide “academic press” for the future
- Importance of high school for the future

The Work of Freshman Success Teams
The Transition Strategy

Freshman Connection:
- Early acclimation to high school
- Pre-identification of and early support for struggling incoming freshmen

Freshman Success Team:
- Yearlong personal and professional support system for students

What is the work for Freshman Success Teams?
- Provide eighth to ninth grade transition support so that increasing numbers of freshmen are on track to graduate from Chicago Public Schools
The Freshman Success Team

- General Education teachers
- Special Education teachers
- Administration
- Student advocate/dean
- Counselor
- Data technician

Freshman Success Team Duties

- Meet regularly with time sufficient for the work
- Contribute toward attaining freshman On-Track, attendance, and behavior/student connection goals
- Develop, implement, and track interventions for targeted students
Data is Our Guide

- Own the data
  - Course performance (Grades, D's and F's)
  - Point-in-time On-Track rates
  - Attendance
  - Discipline reports
- Use data to develop, implement, and track progress of targeted interventions to improve student performance

Community Development

- Communicate universal expectations for students
- Provide multiple and varied opportunities for students to succeed
- Employ solutions-oriented communication
- Conduct timely joint student/parent conferences
- Celebrate successes
The Mantle of Team Leadership

Foci for Team Leadership

- Team development
- Containing the work
- Upward management
- Community development
- Personal-professional resilience
Strengthening Success Team
Lead Capacity

Team Development

- Establish agreements
- Coordinate and utilize team members’ talents toward freshman success goals (On-Track, attendance, course performance, behavior)
- Coordinate resources and training
- Motivate the team

Containing the Work

- Facilitate development of and progress on goals
- Develop meeting agendas focused on freshman success
- Utilize appropriate protocols in facilitating meetings, including those for data analysis
Strengthening Success Team
Lead Capacity

Upward Management

- Regularly communicate team progress
- Advocate for resources

Community Development

- Advocate for students
- Coordinate assemblies and celebrations
Personal-Professional Resilience

- Develop capacity to utilize freshman-specific tools from Chicago Public Schools
- Acquire tools and strategies that increase team effectiveness

Your Professional Support System
Provided by the District and Network for College Success

Freshman Success Collaborative:
- Monthly meetings
- Sharing promising practices
- Problem solving
- Data examination
- Practicing protocols

On-Site Coaching:
- Provided by District or Network for College Success coach
- Support around moving key performance indicators
- Personal-professional goal(s)
- Problem solving
Stages of Team Development

An article that explores the developmental stages of team formation using the authors’ personal experiences and research. The article can support new Team Leads to understand each stage as a required and necessary part of the team formation process.
Stages of Team Development

Lessons from the Struggles of Site-Based Management

Nancy Mohr and Alan Dichter
One major focus of the work of the Annenberg Institute for School Reform is developing and supporting educational leadership with the vision and expertise needed to transform schooling. The Institute’s Leadership initiative seeks to influence, support, and sustain models of shared leadership (teacher, principal, superintendent, community) that help to improve student achievement.

As part of its work in promoting effective leadership practices, the Leadership initiative staff convened a group of practitioners affiliated with the Institute’s programs to reflect on and write about innovative and effective leadership. Ten of the resulting essays were published in a two-part series on Leadership for Learning in the September 2000 and June 2001 issues of Phi Delta Kappan. A shorter version of “Stages of Team Development” appeared in the June 2001 segment.

The Annenberg Institute for School Reform was established at Brown University in 1993. Its mission is to develop, share, and act on knowledge that improves the conditions and outcome of schooling in America, especially in urban communities and in schools serving disadvantaged children. The Institute pursues its mission in four initiative areas: Leadership, Opportunity and Accountability, District Redesign, and Community-Centered Education Reform.

For more information on the work of the Annenberg Institute, visit our Web site at <www.annenberginstitute.org>.

Nancy Mohr was the founding principal of University Heights High School in the Bronx, where she served for ten years. She currently works as an educational consultant with reform projects and groups of educators throughout the United States and in Australia. She also directs the New York Regional Center of the National School Reform Faculty, affiliated with the Horowitz Teacher Development Center at New York University, and is a consultant to the National School Reform Faculty at the Center for Reinventing Education at the University of Washington. She is the author of “Small Schools Are Not Miniature Large Schools,” in William Ayers’s A Simple Justice: The Challenge of Small Schools (Teachers College Press, 2000).

Alan Dichter was principal of Satellite Academy High School, an alternative high school in New York City, for ten years and also Director of the Lower Manhattan Outreach Center, a program for overage students returning to school. He served as Assistant Superintendent for Charter and New School Development at the New York City Board of Education before assuming his current position as Assistant Superintendent for Executive Leadership Development, conducting programs for aspiring principals and for future superintendents and deputy superintendents. Also at the Board of Education, he helped to create and oversees the Executive Facilitators Academy, which helps leaders develop and practice facilitation skills. He is the author of several articles on leadership and professional development and has consulted widely on issues related to urban school reform.

Nancy Mohr and Alan Dichter live in New York City.
We had sensed for some time that something was wrong – site-based management had not been delivering the goods. We formed leadership teams; they met; we shared decision making – but teaching and learning didn’t change. “Perhaps too much had been expected from simply the transfer of power,” suggest Priscilla Wohlstetter and Susan Albers Mohrman, who have written an extensive study looking at the outcomes of sharing decision making in schools. The idea always was to improve education for kids; but instead what seemed to have happened in many places was that there was another meeting to attend and nothing much else was new. “Is the theory flawed? Is the current wave of decentralization just another swing of the pendulum?” ask these authors, whose study of practice looked at thirty schools in nine school districts, each of which had at least four years’ experience with school-based management.

We were asking ourselves the same questions. As principals of alternative high schools in New York City, we each had been deeply involved in school reform for over fifteen years. Each of our schools struggled incessantly with “group management” at Satellite Academy High School and consensus-based decision making at University Heights High School. During this time, our own experiences and observations, combined with those shared in professional development opportunities with colleagues in other New York schools and around the country, helped us learn a number of valuable lessons.

We saw for ourselves the tremendous power that can be generated within a school when the professional staff genuinely experiences a sense of ownership. But we learned that adult empowerment, for its own sake, is too limited a goal. We found that adult ownership, while necessary, does not in and of itself make learning more powerful for students. We learned how to get beyond ownership as a goal and how to develop professional communities of learners, focused on teaching and learning, that are able to take advantage of the multiple perspectives a community can offer.

Our conclusions, based on hands-on experience, are supported and illuminated by research findings in recent literature. Michael Fullan (1993) cites several studies of site-based-management projects, none of which found evidence of a strong connection between shared decision making per se and student learning. “The point is not that participation in decision making is a bad thing,” Fullan cautions, but “that it is not focusing on the right things – the cultural core of curriculum and instruction.”
Participation may be necessary in order to build the habits of collaboration, which are essential, but it is not sufficient for improving student outcomes.

Robert Evans (1996) explores the kinds of shared decision making that do create a link between adult empowerment, student learning, and leader behavior. “Teachers who are empowered to make decisions about their school will structure their classrooms to empower students in the learning process, encouraging students to take greater responsibility for their own education,” he asserts. “A key point … is that empowerment’s true target is not teachers or any other constituency, but the school. … To achieve it requires an authentic leader to take the primary role in both shaping the framework and nurturing the capacity of others to help shape it.”

Fred Newmann and Gary Wehlage (1995) show that higher student achievement has been directly linked to the building of professional communities – groups of educators who regularly meet to discuss each other’s work and to learn from each other about ways to improve teaching and learning. Newmann’s work on authentic learning (1996) points out why some schools in his study had higher student achievement than others. In addition to focusing on student learning, the achieving schools nurtured professional community inside the school and understood that “the promotion of intellectual quality and professional community depended on a complex interaction of cultural and structural conditions.”

The most fundamental conclusion we have drawn is that learning to share decision making in a professional community that focuses on student learning is a developmental process, and each stage of that process offers discrete challenges and opportunities. When teachers form teams in their classrooms, the student groups will go through these stages. When superintendents work with principals, or their own staff, the same lessons apply. Just as it is useful to remember that our children will and must go through the terrible twos, it’s comforting to remember that even our adult communities will and must go through stages in their development and will have to work through some fairly predictable problems in order to emerge in a more mature state.

We now fully appreciate that the driving question underlying this journey is not “When does shared decision making work and when does it not work?” but rather “What do you have to do to develop a professional community to the point where it is promoting rigor in teaching and learning throughout a school?” We also know that leadership is essential to the successful negotiating of this journey. And we don’t mean only principal leadership; teachers, parents, students, and district administrators must all play essential leadership roles.

The observations that follow illustrate the developmental stages that faculties go through en route to becoming learning organizations. Are these stages as clear-cut and neat as we make them sound? Of course not. We’ve lived through these steps, and, like anyone who has worked with groups, we know that little can be predicted and much can go wrong. But these observations represent years of reflection, and we strongly believe that a thorough understanding of such a complex conceptual framework – one which requires endless work and struggle to implement truly and honestly, but which has the potential to genuinely transform what happens in classrooms for kids – is an indispensable tool on this journey. In that spirit, we would like to share our experiences – some joyous, some not – about how that process plays out, what each stage involves, and what is needed to work through each stage and move on to the next.
The Honeymoon Stage

Emerging Community

This is terrific! Before, I was powerless; nobody even asked my opinion. Finally, I’m part of a group that meets with the leader. At last, I feel valued; I am so happy that my voice is going to be heard.

I’m not always comfortable disagreeing with the group, so far, especially when I have to do it publicly, but it is exciting to feel that we will be able to make real change; soon we’ll be making a lot of important decisions.

The eager group may begin by thinking that this is going to be easy. It may forget to build, earlier rather than later, some common goals. Is the intent to give everyone a voice, or is it to improve the intellectual quality of the school? Making decisions without a clear sense of mission or shared vision can create a battleground for personal interests. Now is the time to clarify the method of making decisions: why to make them, how to make them, and which ones are appropriately made by the group. The leader must unapologetically set limits to the scope of the group’s initial work. These limits can be open to discussion, but to pretend that everything is up for grabs creates a lack of security inappropriate for group health.

The leader’s role in this stage is that of designer. Groups are powerful, not in spite of, but because of having multiple points of view. A variety of viewpoints, however, does not necessarily produce the most creative outcomes. When a group is in the early stages of working together, it does not yet have a lot of collective knowledge. It is sometimes useful for the leader to solicit input, envision a design, and then present a plan to the group. The group can digest it, modify it, and then look for agreement. Another strategy is for the group to brainstorm possibilities, with a small group or a leader putting it together into a design or plan.

What does not work is for a leader to come to the group and say, “How do you want to schedule classes? This is your school, so it’s up to you to tell me what you want to do.” There is something a bit hostile in this last approach. A leader had better be self-reflective and should be clear if sharing leadership is, in fact, what she/he wants.

Nancy bought bagels for her staff every Friday. It was to thank them for their hard work, a personal way of appreciating them. When students came into her office early in the morning and asked for bagels, she gave them to them as a reward for being early to school. One Friday a teacher expressed her sense that the bagels should be for the teachers only and “proposed” to the staff, for agreement, that there be no bagels for students. What Nancy had to point out was that these were her bagels, purchased with her money, and she was going to give them to whomever she wanted. The teacher in the glow of the Honeymoon Stage thought that teachers would now make decisions about everything that happened in the school. It was disappointing to her to find out that the Friday bagels were not in her purview.
This is a natural (and valuable) stage for groups – the stage of emerging controversy. And group development theory tells us that not only is this inevitable, but it is essential to developing a healthy group. “In fact, a group without conflict may be in serious difficulty; points of view are being masked and inhibited, and good solutions cannot be worked out” (Miles 1971). Whether it’s a group of two (a marriage) or one hundred (the U.S. Senate), where there are different people, there are different points of view. What really matters is how you learn to deal with those differences. So the very same conflict resolution principles we use for students apply to adults as well: an absolute insistence upon resolving (not hiding) conflicts – combined with a few ground rules for civil discourse – should do nicely for starters.

It is helpful to warn the group that this stage will come — before it happens. Knowing that conflict is inevitable will lessen anxiety. The group would do well to avoid being overly nice — trying to smooth things over, ignoring problems. Dealing with petty dilemmas skillfully will allow the group to venture into the important (and difficult) issues — ones about teaching and learning. Everyone has to learn how to be a negotiator and/or mediator.

The leader’s role in this stage is to help the group manage conflict. First, the leader must make sure that all are committed to working on conflict management. The temptation to avoid dealing with conflict leads to resentment-collection and to the mediocrity that comes of too much compromise. There is also the temptation on the part of the group to revert to being top-down because it’s “easier” or “clearer,” and this must be acknowledged and stopped. The leader should resist the urge to say, with pride, “See, they want me to make all the decisions.”

The leader in this stage is both a mediator and a teacher of mediation and negotiation. “In the schools in which faculty members were direct with one another and had developed processes for airing controversy, the faculty made changes that endured and grew stronger over time. Where faculty members had no capacity to deal with controversy they were unable to move beyond existing practices” (Wasley et al. 1997). Effective leaders have the courage to confront difficult issues of race, gender, class, etc. But they also “move from being the ones who manage conflicts among group members to being the ones who teach group members how to manage their own conflicts” (Schwarz 1994).

However, effective leaders do not allow the group to be used to settle issues that belong in face-to-face, private conversations: “People around here
are late a lot; I think we should do something about it” could be a legitimate topic for a group to take on if it really is about a slippage in group norms. It could also be a cover-up for the speaker’s unwillingness or inability to assertively confront one person who is chronically late.

Leaders must also help groups set norms. Good leaders do this publicly, taking every opportunity to reinforce them with the group. This might take the form of reviewing a written document or of routinely reminding people how certain events were consistent with shared agreements. This reinforcement comes from regularly reflecting on how the group is doing and on whether or not the norms are still the ones we believe are important. Leaders continually remind the group about “how we do things around here,” especially when it has been tough to do the right thing. Norms are different from rules – we know we will sometimes fall back, but there are no recriminations when this happens.

In the early days of building a new school, Nancy found that each semester teachers were changing their teaching teams. At first she felt it was good to let people choose the teachers they wanted to work with and encouraged the staff to make adjustments in order to come up with the best configurations. The problem was that eventually there were some people who couldn’t or wouldn’t work with anyone else. Once she realized what was happening, she knew that it had to stop. The building of community in a school has to be more like marriage than dating. Problems have to be worked out. Issues have to be addressed. And you can’t continually change partners rather than work things through. It became clear that the same thing had been happening in classrooms. Students (and teachers) looked forward to the next semester when they could change groupings, hoping that things would be better next time. The school realized that students and teachers became much stronger and wiser when they learned how to work out their differences and learned to stay together over time – leading to relationships where members had deeper knowledge of one another. When this happened, the teaching and learning could take place on a new level because teachers knew how individual students learned best and students knew that they could work out problems with adults. Adults and students could appreciate each other because of, not in spite of, all their complexity.

Alan’s school, which had four sites, each with its own teacher-director, had had a history of competition among the sites. Resources were either strictly divided or they were allocated through a convoluted reliving of the history: “You got extra funding two years ago.” “Remember that time we let you buy books? Now it’s our turn.” It took an enormous amount of work to redesign the culture of the school to become one in which the greater good could be the deciding factor in how allocations were made. Sites began to see themselves as part of a whole instead of as rival factions. How was this done? The only way changes to a culture take place: over time and through constant reinforcement. Alan had to not only voice the new set of norms and beliefs, but also ensure that they were always being practiced. And he had to do this not as an authoritarian, but as someone whose responsibility it was to regularly remind the group of what it stood for and why it was there. And he had to do it over and over. It took several years; there just was no fast way. They all knew they had “arrived” when the management teams from all four sites readily agreed to a proposal from Alan that one site which was going through a particularly difficult transition be funded for an extra teacher for the entire year simply because they needed it. And rather than resent it, the members of the group spoke about feeling good about their collective ability to get beyond their individual interests.
The Confusion-about-Democracy Stage

What’s the Leader Supposed to Do?

Sure, you say I’m empowered, but as long as we have a leader, he/she still holds all of the chips.

Sometimes decisions are made without me – why should I feel buy-in? Furthermore, who decides who gets to make which decisions? We need specific processes and procedures.

If we’re a democratic group, why does the leader have more influence than I have? If we’re all leaders, why do we need someone in charge? There’s always a hidden agenda.

I may be ready for empowerment, but I’m not so sure about the others. I don’t know if they’re as committed/talented/trustworthy as I am. Maybe it’s better to just forget about it and let the leader do it all – then at least we know who to blame.

“The role of school management – principals and superintendents – has not received much attention in SBM [school-based management] plans,” Wohlstetter and Mohrman (1996) note. “Private sector experience has found that such roles are pivotal in successful decentralization.” Groups come to learn that the roles that leaders play are essential – after all, who is going to push us when we get stuck, do that work we’d rather not do, and remind us of our agreements? In fact, without a strong leader making sure these things happen, our “democratic” process sometimes stalls because one or two people dominate the conversation and we all get disgusted. Evans (1996) calls this kind of leadership “authentic”:

Authentic leaders … want to optimize collective involvement and professional community, but … they will not sacrifice substance for process, clarity and focus for a management modality. They do not abandon traditional authority; they use it judiciously, building involvement as they can in a variety of informal as well as formal ways, but asserting themselves as they must. They provide a binary leadership that is both top-down and bottom-up. In this way they avoid the pitfalls that can turn empowerment and collaboration into quagmires and they help school communities deepen the commitment on which improvement depends.

Leadership can vary and move around, but when it comes down to it, no matter how much decision making is shared, there does have to be someone who is in charge – and we have to know who that is. Otherwise, we all can spend an inordinate amount of time either duplicating each other’s efforts or waiting for someone to be decisive.

This stage can be confusing to everyone. Wohlstetter and Mohrman (1996) state that “studies of effective public schools agree that a strong central leader, like the principal, is key to successful management. An effective leader can set the school’s vision, serve as an instructional leader, coordinate reform efforts and rally support for the school.” Yet, in the same document, under “Why School-Based Management Fails,” the authors caution that

principals who work from their own agenda, not helping to develop a common one … are perceived as too autocratic by their staffs. … [T]his often led to a power struggle between teachers and the princi-
Teachers frequently referred to “the principal’s vision” in schools where the leadership was autocratic.

Making sense of all of this is not impossible, but reconciling concepts which seem to be in opposition to each other is what makes the job of the leader so complex and so far above the more clear-cut management hierarchies of the past.

Leaders at this stage must strive to prevent the group from falling into “process worship,” where following the procedures and processes, designed to make sure that voices are heard, becomes the goal rather than the means to an end. Allowing processes to become a substitute for using judgment can lead to well-executed but terrible decisions. Or even worse, it can lead to stagnation and frustration. It’s the leader’s job to regularly prioritize and reprioritize and help the group to keep straight what’s important.

The leader needs to make sure that the changes that are taking place are systemic, not cosmetic. “Schools struggled with SBM when they simply layered SBM on top of what they were already doing” (Wohlstetter and Mohrman, 1996). The leader must not be seen as playing favorites and must keep the process honest. The leader must teach all of the players to develop the habit of consulting one another regularly and must facilitate that consultation, making sure that it happens. And then the leader has to help the group see that it has a responsibility to not only trust each other but to trust the leader as well, just as the leader has trusted them. The leader both models and teaches inclusion. It is not good enough to say, “You had the opportunity to object, participate, etc.” Opportunities not only have to be presented, but promoted. Involvement and involving others are not options. And the leader has to be comfortable being a leader.

One responsibility that must be assumed by everyone involved in an organization where shared decision making is taking place is to avoid the “in-crowd/out-crowd syndrome.” Groups that work effectively within larger organizations understand that they must spend a lot of time communicating with those outside the group—and those outside the group have an equal responsibility for being willing to believe that the group’s purpose is to help the whole and that being a good group member means not wasting one another’s time. This means not whining, not forgetting the real reason you are all there.

Alan’s school was confronted with a problem at one of the sites. There was a staff member who was not an effective teacher, and the staff wanted the teacher-director to deal with the problem. So he did ... and asked the teacher to leave the school. Then the staff was upset, saying it was their right to make decisions and that they had wanted the director to deal with the problem, but not make a decision on his own. They were told that the decision would stand, but that there would have to be an immediate plan for an intervention process so that in the future whenever there was a personnel issue, it would be clear how it would be handled and the process would be known to everyone. There was resistance to making this plan. The crisis was over and they wanted to “move on.” The leader had to insist. This is one example of a changing leadership role. While it was no longer appropriate for the leader to make unilateral decisions, it was essential to take the lead in making sure that there were procedures in place, ones which ensured democratic outcomes and which did not rely on peer pressure alone for accountability.
The Messy Stage

Now Things Are Even Less Clear

This team's work is sloppy; I need more clarity and control.

If this is supposed to make me feel “bought-in,” it’s not working. I’m working harder now and getting less done.

It’s fun to be collegial, but where is it getting us?

I’m still not always comfortable with all of our decisions. Sometimes I don’t even remember why I agreed to something. And when we have to include different perspectives – kids, parents, etc. – that really slows us down.

There’s no time to do anything right, let alone get to the important issues.

Learning to love risk-taking and ambiguity is a tall order, but it has to happen. It’s hard to celebrate mistakes and avoid the safe route. To help it happen, there must be systems in place to maximize communications among all of the members of the group. Instead of a clear line of authority that is very neat but not very effective, there can and should be multiple forms of communicating – a sort of circulatory system for the organism, one which keeps the blood moving.

The organization needs multiple groups with varied tasks and foci. This way the power is truly dispersed throughout the school and is not simply vested in one group instead of the principal. So the next time someone says, “What, another meeting?” there has to be a reminder that meetings, when well run, are truly valuable. The alternative would be to go back to a clear line of authority with meetings that are used only to transmit information, top-down. Meetings can themselves be learning experiences if run effectively, but that means planning and organization. Wohlstetter and Mohrman (1996) “found that school-based management required a redesign of the whole school organization that goes far beyond a change in school governance.”

Another source of messiness is the need to include all stakeholders.

Involving stakeholders … isn’t enough to ensure all voices are heard. … Decisions that emerge from integrating multiple perspectives are bound to be better than decisions made by a single person or from a single perspective. Yet it takes time and skill to integrate multiple perspectives, especially when there are power differences among the diverse groups. This is a challenge worth meeting if school teams are to think creatively and in new ways to better serve all their students. (Hergert 1997)

The leader’s role in this stage is to help the group be comfortable with messiness, pointing out that it’s OK and is part of real life. “Comfortable” doesn’t always mean relaxed and happy. When members of the group say, “I’m not comfortable with that,” they can be gently encouraged to understand that their comfort is not the major goal of the school and that maybe their discomfort is a sign that there is learning taking place. The goal is to feel safe enough to indulge in risk-taking. The leader resists being “Father/Mother Knows Best” and continues to help the group appreciate that it can find a good
route, and that there is no one right answer. The leader cannot and should not try to prevent mistakes from happening. Mistakes should be welcomed, examined, and understood as natural phenomena—a necessary part of learning.

On the other hand, leaders must strive to develop those systems and communications that will eventually bring order out of chaos and follow up, follow up, follow up. Solutions have to be real. Miles (1990) distinguishes between traditional coping (e.g., using normal routines or working harder as the way to solve the problem) and “deep coping,” which is doing whatever has to be done to solve the problem (e.g., change the schedule, provide time, make sure it happens). “Serious reform … is changing the culture and structure of the school,” says Michael Fullan (1991). “As long as we have schools and principals, if the principal does not lead changes in the culture of the school, or if he or she leaves it to others, it normally will not get done.”

The leader must also lead professional development. Leaders foster professional practice by putting in place processes and structures that promote teacher collaboration and collective responsibility (Lieberman et al. 1988, McLaughlin and Yee 1988). The leader plays a key role in fostering a sense of collective responsibility among the faculty such that problems of teachers’ performance are viewed not as individual failure but as the concern of the whole faculty (McLaughlin and Yee 1988).

It is important for both the leader and the group to begin to see their work as engaging in problem solving and learning, rather than “problem hiding” (McLaughlin and Yee 1988). When the group focuses on learning, it finds that it is making better decisions and that its process becomes more and more seamless (and more efficient). As the group sees itself learning together through professional dialogue, through seeking out information and evidence, through self-reflection and a feedback process, then they are moving to becoming a professional community. The group and the leader are able to now use the skills they were developing in earlier stages. “Learning and improvement of performance will occur only from serious peer and group assessments of how well their own judgments are working” (Louis and Miles 1990).

There is a particular problem of messiness for the leader, who is expected to simultaneously strengthen cross-fertilization and collaboration; maintain calm, order, and the sense that someone is in control; promote strong cultural norms, values, and beliefs; and include everyone’s voice in setting the agenda. Making sense of these seemingly disparate goals is the hard but critical work of the leader in this stage.

Nancy came to understand that her role as the professional development leader of the school meant that she not only had the responsibility to design and run professional development activities at staff meetings (where announcements were banned), but she also spent her entire day in a variety of meetings—leadership team, curriculum planners, office staff, long-term planners, etc. Each of these meetings was a part of the professional development web in the school. But the realization grew that it was simple enough to spend meeting time perseverating about details. So, the rule became that every meeting would have as half of its agenda a professional topic, and that the topic would come first, not after the business (when it frequently didn’t happen at all). This became a school community habit and each team that met understood that its purpose, first and foremost, was to learn together, and this included reading articles and building on prior knowledge. For Nancy, as the principal, it meant doing all of those other principal’s chores early in the morning and late in the day. She felt it was worth it to keep these multiple conversations going.
I know I said I wanted to be a part of a professional community, but maybe “they” do know better than we. Actually, I sometimes hope so, because I feel less and less sure about what should happen.

Whose fault is it if something goes wrong? Suddenly I don’t feel so powerful, I just feel more of a heavy responsibility.

Where’s the validation; what are the rules?

I’m just not sure I want to be responsible for talking about what’s going on in other people’s classrooms, about what standards should be, about what we should teach. After all, if we open that up, then I have to be willing to hear stuff about my own work, and that is truly scary.

Participation in making decisions does not in any way ensure that the group automatically takes on real responsibility for what happens; in fact, it can sometimes get the urge to back off and look around for someone or something to blame. Evans (1996) remarks that “few teachers, it seems, want to be fully empowered and collegial.”

It is important that the group build an accountability system that ensures its work is based on substantive information and data and not solely on the opinions and preferences of its members. Accountability is built on the lateral flow of information sharing and on the group’s ability to critique itself. It is in this stage that the group begins to see itself as a professional learning community rather than merely a decision-making group. It really is moving into genuinely shared leadership. Once this happens, the group sees that what makes a true professional community is a systemic approach to a “collective rather than individual accounting for school outcomes” (McLaughlin and Yee 1988). Now the group is shifting to an instructional focus and aligning its teaching practice with those values and beliefs by using reflective practice and dialogue.

What can be really scary is when there is no improvement in student performance after the group has been working so hard. Remember the findings: higher student achievement has been directly linked to the building of professional community (Newmann and Wehlage 1995). So the group has to make sure it is not only working hard, but working together in productive ways. Wasley, Hampel, and Clark (1997) describe some of the key conditions that foster teacher learning (see sidebar).

By now, the group will have a history of successfully dealing with challenges. The leader’s role at this stage is to move the group from its initial successes toward the next stage: public accountability. The leader reminds the group of what has been learned and cites specific examples of the group exceeding its own expectations. The leader reminds the group that it has already been accountable in many ways and that institutionalizing a collective accountability is the last challenge. Having built in the habit of reflection, the leader will now find the group ready to be more publicly accountable. This will not, however, be an instinctive next step. The courageous leader starts by being self-reflective and then helps the group to hold a mirror up to itself.
KEYS TO FOSTERING TEACHER LEARNING

- **Time:** “Few faculty or central office staff or state departments have yet created adequate conditions for adult learning in their schools.”

- **Collegiality:** “Despite the fact that we have understood the importance of collegiality for a number of years, most schools maintain a strong culture of individuality and isolation.”

- **Analytical capacity:** “Reflective activity needs to be more critically analytical. … [Teachers] need to ask themselves why they are attempting new techniques; … then they need to examine whether the changes they are attempting are getting what they hoped for. … To be more critically analytical, teachers need to develop the skills of giving and receiving regular feedback on their work in classrooms.”

- **Expertise:** “Teachers need a readily available support system of experts who are knowledgeable. … A common practice is to suggest that a teacher who has been out to a workshop function as the resident expert for the school. Unfortunately, sophisticated understanding takes a great deal more time and effort.”

(from Wasley et al. 1997)

Peer assessment and accountability in Alan’s school had, over the years, come to exist more in theory than in practice. People met in “peer groups,” having found many reasons not to visit one another’s classes; or, if they did visit, by all accounts they gave each other very superficial and very positive feedback. There was a growing concern that a number of teachers who were in need of substantial support and help were, in fact, not getting the kind of “critical friendship” they needed. In order to revitalize this theoretically existing procedure, Alan kept bringing the question to the table: What are we doing about this? Let’s share examples. Let’s be a problem-solving group. Why are we resisting? What is so difficult about giving and getting critical feedback? How can we stop letting ourselves off the hook? He would hear from staff members privately that they were concerned, but they were reluctant to say it out loud. His goal was to make that voice public. His job was not “enforcer” but “relentless advocate” for the group to grow and collectively look at the problem, to make sure that the environment was safe, that there was respect, and that there was a reduced tolerance for collective denial.
Finally, we’re proactive and make our own agendas rather than reacting to those of others. We also have learned to be inclusive and are avoiding “us” and “them” scenarios.

We have learned to focus on learning as a group rather than making decisions before we have enough knowledge. In fact, we have realized that the point is to make high-quality decisions – ones that are better because they include more points of view.

We realize that we have to give up some of our own preferences in order to see the bigger picture and to work on the common good. We can agree to delegate more often, and while we seek critical feedback, we don’t waste each other’s time in micro-management.

Our meetings are themselves now professional development opportunities instead of battlegrounds for issues.

Now, finally, we’re talking about teaching and learning and about raising standards, not merely “setting” them. And we’re all taking responsibility for making sure that happens; we’ve stopped pointing the mental finger at one another.

The leader’s role in this stage is to keep the group from becoming complacent, making it clear that “we’ll probably never be ‘there,’” and that there is always a next step in the cycle of assessment and reforming. But, at the same time, the leader helps the group appreciate the habits they have institutionalized and the cultural norms that support the progress that has been made.

In Alan’s school, annual reports, a synthesis of teacher reflections, were written by each of the four sites. In order to maintain this valued but burdensome expectation, he instituted a process that improved its chances of being valued by the school community. Not only were copies shared with everyone (an accountability strategy) but the leaders of the sites spent two hours critiquing the overall report. Routinely, these reports were introduced by a reminder of the number of years the school had done this, and a ten-year timeline was developed tracing the critical growth of the school directly through these documents. And while everyone still found the process burdensome, no one would consider finishing out the year without an annual report. And everyone made sure their reflections were included.

The concept of the “church year” helped Nancy understand what she had to do in her school. Having grown up a minister’s daughter, she was very familiar with the cycle but never quite understood its value. Every October there was an appreciation of the harvest. There were the same lessons, the same hymns, even the same colors used. In her school, it became clear that October had a different meaning – it was the “conflict month.” After the “honeymoon” of September, there were inevitably squabbles among students and even among staff. It helped enormously to anticipate this and say, “October is coming.” This reminded the school community to have conversations in family groups about handling conflict and to have staff meetings where there were reviews of the procedures needed and the ways to prevent conflict from becoming combat. Not only were new members of the community introduced to the habits and the culture of the school, but older members were honored for their roles in the school’s history and at the same time had their memories jogged.
A Transforming Experience

In our years of evolving understanding about leadership, empowerment, and professional community, we learned, as principals, to be better learners and teachers ourselves. It was simply not good enough to hope learning would happen because we set up structures, brought in outside experts, and/or sent teachers to workshops. Authentic learning required an authentic learning community, one that learned from research, from its own experience, and from its own analysis of that experience. And all of that required that we do the same thing.

Forming a learning community was like planning for a class – and we learned that just as a good teacher would not dream of teaching in a rigid, arbitrary manner, neither would she/he initially turn it over to the students. Good teachers know it is their job to teach the students how to be good learners, how to take on responsibility, and how to value one another’s voices. And good teachers do not leave it to chance. It’s no different for good leaders.

We found that developing and participating in a genuine learning community, with shared decision making focused on student learning, is more than a task; it is a changed way of being. For a group to learn to see professional development as a collective rather than as an individual responsibility, it must challenge deeply ingrained ways of doing things. John Goodlad (1994) comments on this same realization in a broader forum:

It is difficult for many and impossible for some groups and enterprises to align their self-interests with the public good, and that is what an educative role in the positive sense invariably requires. It is equally difficult for a public which was educated much more for individual development and competition than for personal responsibility and community welfare to sort out the degree to which adversaries are indeed locked in struggles that affect us all when one side claims to be for the common good. … Such matters are not part of the human conversation for most of us.

We also learned that a genuine learning community must never forget that building consensus and focusing on adult learning are not ends in themselves but only a starting place, a structure that works no miracles unless it is used wisely and well. These efforts are only really useful if student achievement is the overarching goal. Focusing on adult learning requires, paradoxically, that we not focus on ourselves, our needs, and our comfort level. Rather, our learning has to be about what works for kids, whatever it takes. Whenever we lose sight of that, we squander precious time and energy.

None of this happens overnight. By being prepared for the problems that adult groups will encounter as they struggle with how to work together effectively to increase student learning, educators can mindfully evolve, stage by stage, into true learning communities. They will learn to view power differently, to make learning more meaningful for kids, and maybe even to model a just and democratic mini-society.
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Developing Your Upward Management Plan

A presentation that provides a sample plan for Team Leads to better understand upward management as a key lever for leadership. The presentation describes upward management and offers strategies for supporting Team Leads to establish regular communication with principals.
Developing Your Upward Management Plan
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Three Realms Of Upward Management

- Communication protocols
- Scheduled encounters
- Professional credibility
Strengthening Success Team
Lead Capacity

Communication Protocols

What is your principal or administrator liaison’s:

- Communication style? (see Communication Styles Matrix in Tool Set B)
- Communication preference? (email, face-to-face interaction, phone, hard copy memo)

Who is CC’d on meeting agendas, minutes, memos, etc.?

Some Rules of Thumb:

- Agendas sent to principal or administrator liaison 48 hours prior to team meetings
- Other items requiring approval are delivered to principal or administrator liaison in a timely manner
- Turn around meeting minutes within 48 hours
Scheduled Encounters

- Sacred, regular meeting time
- Identify standing agenda items
  - Examples: team successes and obstacles toward goals; action items; and specific needs impacting the work
- Archive of documented dialogue
  - Examples: meeting minutes; and formal/informal conversations with team members

Professional Credibility

- Objectivity
- Preparedness
- Quick admission of mistakes
- Documenting and archiving dialogue
Next Steps

- Take a moment to digest what you’ve heard
- What are your immediate next steps?
Thirteen Tips to Effective Upward Management

An article that provides practical strategies for upward management, tips for common personality types for upward managers, and how to avoid missteps.
So let's get right into this....

Have you ever known a manager who held great respect of his or her team but was not respected by his or her management? Or maybe you've had a manager that just couldn't get things done effectively because he or she just didn't know how to "work the system"? Or even still, are you are a manager who is continually frustrated because you can't get your manager to do what you need him or her to do? If any of these sound familiar to you, welcome to the world of ineffective upward management.

Upward management is one of those skills that some do very well, many never seem to master, and virtually all learn only through on-the-job lessons-learned. When done well, both the manager and employee work as a team to ensure each other is informed, address problems before they spin out of control, and be more effective at managing. When done poorly, both manager and employee are not only ineffective at getting the job done but are chronically frustrated due to mis-steps and surprises.

Through the years I’ve come to categorize most poor upward managers into four personality types:

- **The Brown-Noser** - This is the employee who treats his boss as some kind of rock star and constantly searches for what his boss wants to hear. Rather than upwardly managing, the brown-noser upwardly affirms whatever it is the boss is thinking.

- **The Rebellious Teenager** - This is the employee who consciously conceals information from her boss because she wants to demonstrate that she can get things done without help from her boss. Rather than upwardly managing, the rebellious teenager keeps her manager in the dark by withholding information.

- **The Cowardly Lion** - This is the employee who simply is afraid to share information with his boss because he fears his boss' reactions. Rather than upwardly managing, the cowardly lion avoids sharing information unless completely painted into a corner.
• **The Erupting Volcano** - This is the employee who subscribes to the "more is better" school of information management and will tell her manager every gory detail of every single event every single day. Rather than upwardly managing, the erupting volcano spews data like hot lava and forces her manager to pick out the important facts.

So how do you avoid miss-steps in managing upward? Give this baker's dozen a look and see if one or two of these nuggets can help you be a better upward manager:

• **#1 - Understand your boss** - Think about how your boss likes to communicate; does she prefer written emails or verbal discussion? Does she like structured one-on-one meetings or informal chats? Get a clear understanding of how your boss likes to engage and adapt your style to her style.

• **#2 - Stick to objective facts** - When presenting information avoid emotionally-biased assessments. Sure, you may have put your heart and soul into a project but if the project no longer makes business sense to do then it's your responsibility to put personal feelings aside and do the right business thing.

• **#3 - Don't dump problems on his or her doorstep that you should be solving yourself** - Yes, your manager has greater responsibility than you, probably gets paid more than you, and most likely has more organizational influence than you. That doesn't mean you get to delegate things you should be solving yourself. Handle the problems that you're paid to handle and enlist your boss for the stuff that requires his influence in the organization.

• **#4 - Be specific about what you need** - Whether it be money, resources, or some other form of assistance, be very specific about what you need, why you need it, and what will happen if you don't get what you need. Credible objectivity is crucial here: if it looks as if you are "stacking the deck" by exaggerating consequences or embellishing benefits you're likely to not get what you need. Also, subsequent asks are going to be viewed with greater skepticism.

• **#5 - Don't ever give reason for your boss to question your credibility** - Simply put, if you get caught stretching the truth on even the smallest of facts, you've now given your boss reason to question not only the little things but
also the big things. You’ve got to stay pure with your boss and protect your integrity by never allowing your credibility to be put to question.

• **#6 - Don't manage upward at the expense of managing downward** - I’ve known one too many managers who did a great job of keeping his boss happy but had a team that wanted to string him up by his thumbs. Look, at some point in time those that manage up at the expense of managing down will get found out and will have to pay the piper. Don't play Russian roulette with your career by keeping your boss comfy while ticking off your team.

• **#7 - Respect your boss' time** - Got a meeting with your boss? Show up on time, come prepared to discuss whatever topics need discussing, and end the meeting on time. Your boss is busy and her time should be utilized as effectively as possible. Don't let your boss see your meetings with her as a waste of time.

• **#8 - Diligently follow through on commitments** - So your boss asks you to complete an assignment by tomorrow. You agree to meet the commitment. The deadline passes and you haven't met the commitment and all you can offer up is some lame excuse. Sheesh. Even if you think an assignment given to you is the dumbest assignment on earth, if you've made a commitment to do it then meet the commitment. Not following through shows a lack of respect for your boss and breeds distrust.

• **#9 - Present options** - In decision making managers like to see alternatives and the consequences associated with each alternative. Some of the best decision making meetings I've been in with my bosses have been where we had meaningful dialogue around two or three viable options to resolving a tough problem. My job in the process was to frame up the options, provide facts to support each option, and provide a recommendation. Sometimes the recommendation was taken, sometimes not; the most important thing was that a good decision was made because there was good informed discussion.

• **#10 - Make your boss look good** - Let's say that your boss is due to make a presentation to his boss and is relying upon you to provide some critical information. You give your boss the information he needs and he presents it to his boss. He then gets fricassaded because the information is wrong. Guess whose office he stops at first on his way back from getting barbecued? Simply put, don't put your boss in a situation where he looks bad in front of his management; you've not only hurt your credibility, you've hurt his credibility.
• **#11 - Don’t suck up** - Telling your boss what she wants to hear can label you as a spineless know-nothing who doesn't have the intestinal fortitude to manage effectively on your own. You'll not only quickly lose the respect of your team, your boss will ultimately see through you and not respect your leadership abilities. Sure, you may get the occasional self-absorbed manager that craves shameless idolatry; but by and large bosses view sucking up as incompetence.

• **#12 - No surprises** - Ever tell your boss that your project is on schedule and on budget then at the last minute spring a huge schedule or budget slip on her? Particularly early in my career I've had this happen more than once. For it to happen more than once is shameful to say the least. Bosses don't like surprises where they are forced to accept a problem without having the option to try to fix it before it got out of control. When you see problems make sure you inform your boss; just make sure you're working diligently to resolve the problem and not just to cover your @$$.  

• **#13 - Admit mistakes...quickly** - Look, screw-ups happen. Heaven knows that I've got more screw-ups to my name than many managers will ever see. The important thing is to own up to your mistakes quickly and outline what you are going to do to rectify the mistake. Being the last one to recognize you've made a mistake just diminishes your credibility, so own up to those gaffes and get to work fixing them.

Upward management: sometimes a real pain, many times a diversion, but always a necessity. Take stock of your upward management skills and see where you might need to tie up some loose ends using some of these nuggets.
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Upward Management Plan Checklist

A Checklist that guides Team Leads to develop an upward management plan focused on communication through the use of protocols and regular meetings between Team Leads and administrators.
Upward Management Plan Checklist

Communication Protocols

☐ Preferred method of communication for principal or administrator liaison:
   ________________________________

☐ Does principal get CC’d on communications with liaison? ____________

☐ I make sure the meeting agenda is sent to my principal or administrator liaison for approval at least 48 hours prior to the team meeting

☐ I make sure items requiring approval are brought before the principal or administrator liaison in a timely manner

Scheduled Encounters

☐ I am scheduled to meet with my principal or administrator liaison weekly/semi-monthly on matters related to freshman success

☐ Our agreed upon standing agenda items include:
   ☐ ________________________________
   ☐ ________________________________
   ☐ ________________________________
   ☐ ________________________________

☐ I make sure my principal or administrator liaison receives the meeting minutes within 48 hours, including:
   ☐ Summary of discussion
   ☐ Action items, including owner and due date

☐ I keep an electronic/hard copy archive of the meeting minutes
Communication Styles Matrix

An overview of four common communication styles that can be found on any team and how Team Leads can make the appropriate responses for each style.
## Communication Styles Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACTORS</th>
<th>EXPRESSER</th>
<th>DRIVER</th>
<th>RELATER</th>
<th>ANALYTICAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How to Recognize</td>
<td>They get excited.</td>
<td>They like their own way: decisive &amp; strong viewpoints.</td>
<td>They like positive attention, to be helpful, &amp; to be regarded warmly.</td>
<td>They seek a lot of data, ask many questions, behave methodically &amp; systematically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tends to Ask</td>
<td>Who? (the personal dominant question)</td>
<td>What? (the results-oriented question)</td>
<td>Why? (the personal non-goal question)</td>
<td>How? (the technical analytical question)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What They Dislike</td>
<td>Boring explanations &amp; wasting time with too many facts.</td>
<td>Someone wasting their time trying to decide for them.</td>
<td>Rejection, treated impersonally, uncaring &amp; unfeeling attitudes.</td>
<td>Making an error, being unprepared, &amp; spontaneity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reacts to Pressure and Tension by</td>
<td>“Selling” their ideas or being argumentative.</td>
<td>Taking charge or taking more control.</td>
<td>Becoming silent, withdrawing, &amp; being introspective.</td>
<td>Seeking more data &amp; information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Way to Deal with</td>
<td>Get excited with them. Show emotion.</td>
<td>Let them be in charge.</td>
<td>Be supportive; show you care.</td>
<td>Provide lots of data &amp; information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likes to Be Measured by</td>
<td>Applause, feedback, recognition.</td>
<td>Results &amp; goals.</td>
<td>Friends &amp; close relationships.</td>
<td>Activity &amp; business that leads to results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must Be Allowed to</td>
<td>Get ahead quickly. Likes challenges.</td>
<td>Get into a competitive situation. Likes to win.</td>
<td>Relax, feel, care, &amp; know you care.</td>
<td>Make decisions at own pace, not cornered or pressured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will Improve with</td>
<td>Recognition &amp; some structure with which to reach the goal.</td>
<td>A position that requires cooperation with others.</td>
<td>A structure for goals &amp; methods for achieving each goal.</td>
<td>Interpersonal &amp; communication skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likes to Save</td>
<td>Effort. They rely heavily on hunches, intuition, feelings.</td>
<td>Time. They like to be efficient &amp; get things done now.</td>
<td>Relationships. Friendship means a lot to them.</td>
<td>Face. They hate to make an error, be wrong, or get caught without enough info.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Best Results</td>
<td>Inspire them to bigger &amp; better accomplishments.</td>
<td>Allow them freedom to do things their own way.</td>
<td>Care &amp; provide detail, specific plans &amp; activities to be accomplished.</td>
<td>Structure a framework or “track” to follow.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reframing Organizations: Artistry, Choice, and Leadership

An article that summarizes Bolman and Deal's Four Frames organizational theory (structural, political, human resources, and symbolic) by which leaders can view their work.
BOOK REVIEW

Christian T. K.-H. Stadtlander

Reframing Organizations: Artistry, Choice, and Leadership

Lee G. Bolman and Terrence E. Deal


Organizations are complex entities, often difficult to understand. Many factors make organizational life complicated, ambiguous, and unpredictable. The biggest challenge for managers and leaders is to find the right way to frame our organizations in a world that has become more global, competitive, and turbulent. The book by Bolman and Deal is a marvelous work on understanding how organizations function. It also provides practical suggestions for reframing organizations in order to adapt them better to current business realities.

Bolman and Deal focus on both management and leadership. The authors believe that organizations face several dangers. If, for example, an organization is overmanaged but underled, it will eventually lose any kind of sense of purpose and spirit. On the other hand, a poorly managed organization with a strong and charismatic leader may soar briefly only to experience a significant downfall shortly thereafter. Bolman and Deal suggest that we need today more people in managerial roles who can deal with organizational confusion and chaos by establishing order and finding simplicity; we need managers who love their work and organizations, and respect the people whose lives they affect. Leaders should be both artists and analysts, who are flexible and versatile enough to reframe their experience, as well as constantly seek new issues and discover possibilities. The authors also suggest that leaders and managers should view management more as a moral and ethical undertaking and should attempt to combine business realism with a passionate commitment to larger values and purposes.

In Reframing Organizations, Bolman and Deal concentrate mainly on organization theory’s implications for practice. This makes their book not only extremely valuable for managers and future leaders of organizations but also for management consultants who advise managers and leaders on a variety of organizational issues. The authors use a four-frame model (structural, human resource, political, and symbolic), indicating that the same situation can be viewed in at least four different ways. Each of these frames is distinctive, coherent, and powerful, yet when taken together, they help capture a comprehensive picture of an organization’s situation. These frames can be used to identify what is wrong in organizations and what can be done to correct it.

The four frames have their own image of reality. Each frame can be characterized as follows: (1) The Structural Frame (metaphor: Factory or machine) emphasizes goals, specialized roles, and formal relationships; this frame can be used to organize and structure groups and teams to get results and fit an organization’s environment and technology. (2) The Human Resource Frame (metaphor: Family) sees the organization through the lens of human needs, emotions, skills, and relationships; the goal is to align organizational and human needs to build positive interpersonal and group dynamics. (3) The Political Frame (metaphor: Jungle) has to do with power, conflict, competition, and organizational politics; this frame can be used to cope with power and conflict, build suitable coalitions and hone political connections, and deal with both internal and external politics. (4) The Symbolic Frame (metaphors: Carnival, temple, or theater) sees organizations as cultures, propelled more by rituals, ceremonies, stories, heroes, and myths than by rules, policies, and managerial authorities; the goal of this frame is to shape a culture that gives a purpose and meaning to workers, provides organizational drama for internal and external audiences, and build team spirit through ceremony and story.

The theories presented in this book were derived from research conducted with a variety of organizations from different sectors around the globe. Bolman and Deal analyzed organizations such as McDonald’s in India, Citibank, Enron, Kodak, Harley-Davidson, Volvo France, NASA, the U.S. Air Force, Nordstrom, Harvard University, and the U.S. Congress in regard to individual aspects of the organizational frames. This approach makes the four-frame model relevant and applicable to any type of domestic and international organization.

Bolman and Deal believe that multi-frame thinking requires elastic movement that lies beyond narrow and mechanical approaches for understanding
organizations. When managers and leaders apply the holistic model, they view organizations through all four frames (people, power, structure, and symbols); this gives them an array of options and development opportunities. For example, people in organizations will improve on bargaining, become more open to training, learn how to celebrate success, and lose fear of reorganizing and reframing; they suddenly see the need to develop creativity, risk-taking, and playfulness; they will find the right questions and answers, and search for a deeper meaning and faith despite chaos and confusion; this eventually leads to the development of passionate, committed, flexible, and ethical, as well as understanding and responding managers and leaders who move the organization forward in a constantly changing business environment.

The book also provides the reader with information about important articles and books published in this field. A series called “Greatest Hits from Organization Studies” is displayed as sidebars throughout the book and represent valuable summaries of key ideas from the most influential works in the scholarly literature as well as summaries of management best-sellers. For this, the authors developed two lists: (1) The “greatest hits” as rated by scholars and (2) Best-sellers as represented in the list of Business Week. A summary of these lists is provided in the Appendix.

The book concludes with a section on improving leadership practice by applying the four-frame model. More specifically, various issues related to the challenges of leadership are discussed, including integration issues, opportunities and perils, the distinct image of leaders and leadership, creating change, as well as ethics and spirit. Bolman and Deal then illustrate the process, art, and choice of reframing an organization by following a new principal through his first week in an urban high school. This high school is used as an example for an organization in trouble, similar to a corporation in crisis, a struggling hospital, or an embattled public agency. This case study essentially demonstrates how Bolman and Deal’s theories would play out in practice by applying the four-frame model to a real world problem.

All in all, I found this book to be superbly written and well illustrated. It provides the reader with a 19-page list of cited references useful for further in-depth study of management topics related to organizational issues. This book is a useful text for managers and leaders who want to learn more about the functioning of organizations. It is also a superb guide for consultants who advice in organizations junior and senior managers, section leaders, and executives. Finally, this book is an excellent text for graduate students and scholars in business and education schools who are interested in learning how organizations function by studying the basics, cases, and applications of organizational theory and behavior.
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Intervention Interview Protocol

A protocol that focuses on intervention design and implementation through the lens of Boleman & Deal’s Four Frames. Intended to be completed as a small group activity, the protocol helps Team Leads arrive at concrete next steps for revamping an intervention plan.
Intervention Interview Protocol

Overview

This protocol is designed to help Success Team Leads consider the team’s interventions by exploring questions written through the lens of Bolman and Deal’s four leadership “frames” or “orientations.” Participants should take the self-assessment on the following two pages to identify their leadership frame/orientation prior to engaging in the protocol. Doing so will help the Team Lead consider implications for practice and possible next steps.

Time

65–75 minutes if the Leadership Orientations Assessment is not already completed; 55 minutes if already completed

Materials

Copies of each page of the protocol
Watch/timer

Rotating Roles

Interviewer (who also serves as timekeeper), Interviewee (optimally the Team Lead or On-Track Coordinator), Scribe

Process

1. Make sure participants complete the Leadership Orientations Self-Assessment. (10-15 minutes)

2. Once leadership orientations are identified, participants read through each of the roles, noting that all participants will serve in each of the three roles during the protocol (interviewer, interviewee, and scribe). State that the purpose of this protocol is to deepen and broaden the perspective of the interviewee and that the interviewee reserves the right to decline answering questions for which s/he currently holds no answer. (8 minutes)

3. Divide participants into triads and select initial roles. The interviewer begins with contextual openers (on the interviewer’s sheet) and interviews the interviewee for 8 minutes. The scribe follows along and takes notes in the appropriate boxes on the scribe sheet. Within the time allotted, the interviewer may not ask all the questions on the sheet. This is okay. (8 minutes)

4. At the conclusion of the interview round, the scribe reads the notes s/he wrote to the interviewee. The notes are given to the interviewee. (5 minutes)

5. Members of the triad then rotate roles and repeat steps 3 and 4, completing two more rounds of interviews so that every member of the triad has been interviewed, has served as scribe, and has served as interviewer. (13 minutes per round x 2 rounds = 26 minutes)
Intervention Interview Protocol (cont.)

Overview

Debrief Questions (5 minutes)

• Content: What insights arose for you? What next steps are you considering as a result?
• Process: What worked? How might you use this process in your work with the team?
Intervention Interview Protocol
Leadership Orientations Self-Assessment

This questionnaire asks you to describe yourself as a manager and leader. For each item, give the number “4” to the phrase that best describes you, “3” to the item that is next best, and on down to “1” to the item that is least like you.

1. My strongest skills are:
   _____ a. Analytic skills (ST)
   _____ b. Interpersonal skills (HR)
   _____ c. Political skills (PL)
   _____ d. Flair for drama (SY)

2. The best way to describe me is:
   _____ a. Technical expert (ST)
   _____ b. Good listener (HR)
   _____ c. Skilled negotiator (PL)
   _____ d. Inspirational leader (SY)

3. What has helped me the most to be successful is my ability to:
   _____ a. Make good decisions (ST)
   _____ b. Coach and develop people (HR)
   _____ c. Build strong alliances and a power base (PL)
   _____ d. Inspire and excite others (SY)

4. What people are most likely to notice about me is my:
   _____ a. Attention to detail (ST)
   _____ b. Concern for people (HR)
   _____ c. Ability to succeed, in the face of conflict and opposition (PL)
   _____ d. Charisma (SY)

5. My most important leadership trait is:
   _____ a. Clear, logical thinking (ST)
   _____ b. Caring and support for others (HR)
   _____ c. Toughness and aggressiveness (PL)
   _____ d. Imagination and creativity (SY)

6. I am best described as:
   _____ a. An analyst (ST)
   _____ b. A humanist (HR)
   _____ c. A politician (PL)
   _____ d. A visionary (SY)

**COMPUTING SCORES**
Compute your scores as follows:

**ST** = 1a + 2a + 3a + 4a + 5a + 6a
**HR** = 1b + 2b + 3b + 4b + 5b + 6b
**PL** = 1c + 2c + 3c + 4c + 5c + 6c
**SY** = 1d + 2d + 3d + 4d + 5d + 6d
Intervention Interview Protocol
Leadership Orientations Self-Assessment (cont.)

Interpreting Scores

1. **Structural (ST)** leaders emphasize rationality, analysis, logic, facts, and data. They are likely to believe strongly in the importance of clear structure and well-developed management systems. A good leader is someone who thinks clearly, makes the right decisions, has good analytic skills, and can design structures and systems that get the job done.

2. **Human resource (HR)** leaders emphasize the importance of people. They endorse the viewpoint that the central task of management is to develop a good fit between people and organizations. They believe in the importance of coaching, participation, motivation, teamwork, and good interpersonal relations. A good leader is a facilitator and participative manager who supports and empowers others.

3. **Political (PL)** leaders believe that managers and leaders live in a world of conflict and scarce resources. The central task of management is to mobilize the resources needed to advocate and fight for the unit’s or the organization’s goals and objectives. Political leaders emphasize the importance of building a power base: allies, networks, coalitions. A good leader is an advocate and negotiator who understands politics and is comfortable with conflict.

4. **Symbolic (SY)** leaders believe that the essential task of management is to provide vision and inspiration. They rely on personal charisma and a flair for drama to get people excited and committed to the organizational mission. A good leader is a prophet and visionary, who uses symbols, tells stories, and frames experience in ways that give people hope and meaning.

**COMPUTING SCORES**

Compute your scores as follows:

- **ST** = 1a + 2a + 3a + 4a + 5a + 6a
- **HR** = 1b + 2b + 3b + 4b + 5b + 6b
- **PL** = 1c + 2c + 3c + 4c + 5c + 6c
- **SY** = 1d + 2d + 3d + 4d + 5d + 6d
### Intervention Interview Protocol

**Interviewer Instructions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lead/Coordinator (Interviewee):</th>
<th>Interviewer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>Scribe:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Begin with the contextual openers. Responses to the contextual openers may prompt you to ask clarifying questions, and that is fine. After gaining an understanding of the intervention plan, pose questions from each of the four frames below. The goal is to help the interviewee think critically about the content, process, and goals of the intervention by engaging in all four frames. Provide sufficient wait time and respect the respondent’s right to not answer a question.

### Contextual Openers

**What story from the data led your team to develop an intervention?**

**Summarize your intervention plan.**

### Structural Questions

- What systems do you have in place to facilitate productive implementation of your intervention? For example, how does the scheduling of your intervention limit calendar conflicts?
- How will you know if this intervention is effective for the targeted group? Describe your intervention effectiveness tracking system.
- What type of adjustments may you have to make to your intervention if the data indicates it is not effective for your targeted group of students?

### Human Resource Questions

- Why this particular intervention for the targeted group of students?
- Thinking of the targeted students, what staff members do you think will elicit participation and best effort from students?
- What were the criteria for selecting those who will implement the intervention?
- What is the capacity of the implementers to execute the intervention successfully?
- What training will those implementing the intervention need?

### Political Questions

- Who appears most willing to implement the intervention?
- How are you addressing implementers who are showing signs of not being on board with the plan?
- To whom can you go to advocate for the tools and resources you need to successfully implement your intervention?
- What political barriers do you need to address to give your intervention a chance for success?

### Symbolic Questions

- How does your intervention align with your team's mission or purpose statement?
- How is your team messaging the intervention to students? Is it presented as punitive or supportive?
- What celebrations do you have planned for students who are making progress toward your On-Track and student connection goals?
- What tangible appreciation will those implementing the intervention receive for engaging in it?
## Intervention Interview Protocol

### Scribe Instructions

Lead/Coordinator (Interviewee): _______________  Interviewer: ____________________________

Date: ________________________________  Scribe: ________________________________

Record responses to the questions raised. Your goal is to capture the key responses shared by the interviewee. Recording significant quotes and ideas will be instrumental in helping to plan next steps. You will read your notes aloud at the end of the interview and then give them to the interviewee.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Responses to Structural Questions</th>
<th>Key Responses to Human Resource Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Responses to Political Questions</th>
<th>Key Responses to Symbolic Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Intervention Interview Protocol**

**Lead or Coordinator Instructions**

Lead/Coordinator (Interviewee): _____________  Interviewer: ____________________________

Date: ____________________________  Scribe: ____________________________

You will be asked several questions to help you consider your intervention plan through all four frames (structural, human resource, political, and symbolic). This protocol will be most helpful to you if you respond honestly to the questions posed. It is okay to not have an answer for a question. The goal is to broaden your perspective about the forces at work within your school context that can help or hinder the success of your intervention. A description of the types of questions you will be asked are outlined below.

**Structural**

These questions ask you to think about your intervention in terms of the structures and systems necessary to achieve your defined goals.

**Human Resource**

These questions ask you to think about the people participating in your intervention, from recipients to implementers.

**Political**

These questions ask you to think about how you advocate and acquire what you need to implement your intervention.

**Symbolic**

These questions ask you to connect the intervention plan to the vision, mission, and/or purpose of your team's work.
Planning for a Success Team Meeting

Contents

- Success Team Meeting Planning Checklist
- Success Team Meeting Agenda Template

Purpose

Strong meeting facilitation is integral to achieving the goals of any team. This collection of tools is intended to help Team Leads and/or administrators hold effective and productive meetings.

How & When to Use

Successful meetings start with proper preparation. This is followed by intentional facilitation that organizes team members around current and new tasks. The final step is ensuring timely follow-up on agreed-upon tasks and clear communication about subsequent meetings. Teams Leads can use these tools to help them during each step of the process.
The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

### Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting Conditions</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Develops effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and clear purpose, and building norms and action-oriented agendas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Shares Success Team roles and responsibilities conducive to freshman success work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Engages in regular, calendared Success Team meetings to 1) analyze data and 2) develop, monitor, and adjust interventions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Includes General Education and Special Education teachers, counselor(s), data technician, student advocate or dean, and/or administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

#### Team Lead

- Setting Conditions: Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team
- Implementation: Develops action-oriented meeting agendas that consistently address freshman success goals generally and intervention development, tracking, and evaluation specifically
- Implementation: Establishes team meeting conditions conducive to the successful execution of Success Team duties

#### Principal

- Setting Conditions: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers
- Setting Conditions: Provides and protects team meeting calendar, with regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment
Planning for a Success Team Meeting

Success Team Meeting Planning Checklist

This checklist guides the careful and intentional planning of team meetings.
Success Team Meeting Planning Checklist

**Before Event**

- Identify/confirm meeting date, time, and location
- Develop agenda (best to plan at least 5 days in advance)
- Secure team members to serve in meeting roles, including:
  - Recorder (make sure the recorder has an electronic copy of agenda to type minutes directly into the agenda during the meeting)
  - Timekeeper
  - Parking lot attendant (someone who records issues that are important but not directly applicable to the meeting agenda)
  - Process observer
- Email meeting reminder at least 48 hours prior, including: date, time, location, and materials to bring (if appropriate)
- Create and compile all necessary materials, including:
  - Attendance sheet
  - Payroll sign-in sheet (when applicable)
  - Agenda
  - Point-in-time academic and attendance data
  - Point-in-time intervention tracking data
  - Materials for intervention implementation
  - Materials for celebratory activities
- Gather supplies and equipment needed for facilitation, including:
  - Chart paper, markers, highlighters, extra pens
  - Post-its and tape
  - Audio-visual equipment (as appropriate)
- Email agenda at least 24 hours prior to the meeting, including reminder to bring necessary materials
- Arrive early to set up meeting space
  - Seating so that all members can see each other
  - Chart paper posted where all members can see it
  - Attendance, agenda, and payroll sign-in sheets at room entrance (to prevent distraction by latecomers)
  - Extra pens around the seating area

**After Event**

- Collect your supplies for safekeeping for the next meeting!
- Submit sign-in sheets to main office
- Archive a copy of the agenda and minutes
- Email the meeting minutes to team members within 48 hours or sooner if there are action items with immediate due dates
Success Team Meeting Agenda Template

A tool that can serve as a thoughtful road map for accomplishing the goals of the team meeting.
# Success Team Meeting Agenda Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Time:</th>
<th>School:</th>
<th>Grade:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous Meeting:</td>
<td>Time:</td>
<td>School:</td>
<td>Grade:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITATOR:</td>
<td>RECORDER:</td>
<td>PARKING LOT:</td>
<td>PROCESS OBSERVER:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Previous Action Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Action Items</th>
<th>Person(s) Responsible</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
<th>Update/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Topic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revisit previous action items (see above)</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analysis Protocol:</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention development, monitoring, or tracking of student progress</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student-centered speak</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Current Action Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Action Items</th>
<th>Person(s) Responsible</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
<th>Update/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SETTING CONDITIONS FOR SUCCESS

TOOL SET A

Building Community

TOOL SET B

Strengthening Success Team Purpose
Building Community

Contents

- Forming Ground Rules
- Compass Points
- Community Call: Icebreakers and Warm-Ups

Purpose

Success Teams are learning communities organized around the shared purpose of supporting students to successfully transition to high school. As individuals, team members may have differing philosophies and ideas on how to approach this work. Regular community building provides opportunities for team members to connect to a shared purpose as well as each other.

Tool Set A provides Team Leads with ideas for community building within Success Teams.

How & When to Use

Community building is a part of Success Team work and related activities should connect to the goals for the meeting. When creating a team meeting agenda, Team Leads should preserve space and time for these activities. You may be tempted to eliminate community building when short on time or when you feel there are other important things to do. Resist the urge and make sure community building is a regular segment of your team’s meeting.

The protocols and activities in Tool Set A set the stage for this work. For instance, Compass Points is ideal for a new Success Team. The information learned from this activity can help the Success Team determine the strengths and areas for growth of each team member. Once completed, it is not uncommon to hear members reference the findings. You might hear a team member say, “Well, I’m an East, I need to know the big picture,” or “I know she’s a South, so she’s concerned with our comfort right now.”
The Freshman Success Framework is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

### Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting Conditions</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Develops effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and clear purpose, and building norms and action-oriented agendas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• With principal and Team Lead, establishes foundational knowledge, sets purpose, and creates freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

**Team Lead**

- Setting Conditions: Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team
- Implementation: Establishes team meeting conditions conducive to the successful execution of Success Team duties

**Principal**

- Setting Conditions: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers
Forming Ground Rules

A protocol to support Success Teams to develop norms that will shape how they work together. Ground rules help teams establish trust and clarify expectations.
Forming Ground Rules (Creating Norms)

Developed by Marylyn Wentworth.

Gaining agreement around Ground Rules, or Norms, are important for a group that intends to work together on difficult issues, or who will be working together over time. They may be added to, or condensed, as the group progresses. Starting with basic Ground Rules builds trust, clarifies group expectations of one another, and establishes points of “reflection” to see how the group is doing regarding process.

**Time**
Approximately 30 minutes

**Process**

1. Ask everyone to **write down what each person needs in order to work productively in a group**, giving an example of one thing the facilitator needs, i.e. “to have all voices heard,” or “to start and end our meetings when we say we will.” (This is to help people focus on process rather than product.)

2. **Each participant names one thing from her/his written list**, going around in a circle, with no repeats, and as many circuits as necessary to have all the ground rules listed.

3. **Ask for any clarifications** needed. One person may not understand what another person has listed, or may interpret the language differently.

4. **If the list is VERY long — more than 10 Ground Rules — ask the group if some of them can be combined to make the list more manageable.** Sometimes the subtle differences are important to people, so it is more important that everyone feel their needs have been honored than it is to have a short list.

5. **Ask if everyone can abide by the listed Ground Rules.** If anyone dislikes or doesn’t want to comply with one of them, that Ground Rule should be discussed and a decision should be made to keep it on the list with a notation of objection, to remove it, or to try it for a specified amount of time and check it again.

6. **Ask if any one of the Ground Rules might be hard for the group to follow.** If there is one or more, those Ground Rules should be highlighted and given attention. With time it will become clear if it should be dropped, or needs significant work. Sometimes what might appear to be a difficult rule turns out not to be hard at all. “Everyone has a turn to speak,” is sometimes debated for example, with the argument that not everyone likes to talk every time an issue is raised, and others think aloud and only process well if they have the space to do that. Frequently, a system of checking in with everyone, without requiring everyone to speak, becomes a more effective Ground Rule.

7. **While work is in progress, refer to the Ground Rules whenever they would help group process.** If one person is dominating, for example, it is easier to refer to a Ground Rule that says, “take care with how often and how long you speak,” than to ask someone directly to stop dominating the group.

8. **Check in on the Ground Rules when reflection is done on the group work.** Note any that were not followed particularly well for attention in the next work session. Being sure they are followed, refining them, and adding or subtracting Ground Rules is important, as it makes for smoother work and more trust within the group.
Compass Points

This protocol allows team members to consider their own working styles as well as the working styles of others.
Compass Points: North, South, East, and West
An Exercise in Understanding Preferences in Group Work

Developed in the field by educators.

Purpose
Similar to the Myers-Briggs Personality Inventory, this exercise uses a set of preferences which relate not to individual but to group behaviors, helping us to understand how preferences affect our group work.

Note: See the third page, Compass Points Explanations Expanded, for additional descriptions of the 4 preferences.

Process
1. The room is set up with 4 signs on each wall — North, South, East, and West.

2. Participants are invited to go to the direction of their choice. No one is only one direction, but everyone can choose one as their predominant one.

3. Each direction group answers the 5 questions (see next page) on a sheet of newsprint. When complete, they report back to the whole group.

4. Processing can include:
   - Note the distribution among the directions: what might it mean?
   - What is the best combination for a group to have? Does it matter?
   - How can you avoid being driven crazy by another direction?
   - How might you use this exercise with others? Students?

North
Acting — “Let’s do it”;
likes to act, try things, plunge in

West
Paying attention to detail — likes to know the who, what, when, where and why before acting

East
Speculating — likes to look at the big picture and the possibilities before acting

South
Caring — likes to know that everyone’s feelings have been taken into consideration and that their voices have been heard before acting
North, South, East, and West

Decide which of the 4 directions most closely describes your personal style. Then spend 15 minutes answering the following questions as a group.

1. What are the strengths of your style? (4 adjectives)

2. What are the limitations of your style? (4 adjectives)

3. What style do you find most difficult to work with and why?

4. What do people from the other directions or styles need to know about you so you can work together effectively?

5. What do you value about the other 3 styles?
Compass Points Explanation Expanded

Developed by Sue Horan, June, 2007.

**North**
- You take charge.
- You run the daily operation.
- You have lists of things to do and you need to get started and get them done.
- You get assignments in early.
- You don’t have to ask questions to begin your work or assignment.
- You drive the work and get it done.
- You teach our children a complete curriculum.
- You will stitch the mosaic together and do the work.

**East**
- You have the big picture, the frame that needs to be filled in.
- You need to see the final product and will work with the end in mind.
- You believe in working backwards, understanding by design.
- You don’t get a project started until you are clear about the final product.
- You teach our children the big concepts.
- You know what the mosaic looks like in the end.

**West**
- You ask the hard questions.
- You live by inquiry.
- You challenge us to identify the details.
- You don’t start a project until you are clear about the details.
- You make our picture more complete.
- You lead by inquiry and engage in thoughtful discourse.
- You make us think and teach detailed concepts to our children.
- You fill in the details of the mosaic.

**South**
- You take in the information, slow us down, and make sure everyone has voice and is heard.
- You include everyone, and make sure the human side is nurtured.
- You take care of us and bring up our affective domain.
- You make sure the emotional side of our work is heard.
- You make sure we are all included.
- You teach our children with strong relationships and care.
- You add beauty to the mosaic, make sure everyone participates in the creation, and keep us all comfortable.

Protocols are most powerful and effective when used within an ongoing professional learning community and facilitated by a skilled facilitator. To learn more about professional learning communities and seminars for facilitation, please visit the School Reform Initiative website at www.schoolreforminitiative.org.
Community Call: Icebreakers and Warm-Ups

Community Calls are brief activities that take place at the beginning of the meeting. They enable team members to share what makes them stay committed to each other and the work.
Ice Breakers and Warm-Ups

Shared at the June 2000 National Facilitators Meeting

The following exercises designed to help people get to know one another.

1. If you were to write your Autobiography, what would the title be and why.

2. Write on the inside of your tent card (table name card) a fact about yourself that no one would be likely to guess. Read them out loud. Gives people a hook. (i.e., “Faith, who raises sheep.”)

3. Draw a picture that describes who you are -- can be symbols, colors, you doing something...

4. Create a flower. Each person puts one petal on the flower, on which is written something important about them. If we can find something we all have in common we put it in the center.

5. Human Scavenger Hunt, where you find things interesting about each person from a list that might be work related or not. Items like, find someone who has facilitated a protocol, someone who has taught in another country, someone who has created a portfolio that works...People share who they found in the whole group.

6. People at each table find four things they have in common and share with the large group as an introduction. Can't be anything about education. (At one table, all had an Uncle Harry they didn’t like).

7. People post one clue about themselves (with no name) on a bulletin board. Later in the day, add another clue beside the first clue (more if there is time) and people guess identities from the clues at the end of the day. People make assumptions and then they find that it’s very revealing and fun.

8. Post cards from the edge. Bring a collection of wild postcards and hand them out. Each person finds something in the post card that relates to their experience as a teacher or principal and shares that with the group.

9. Give out pennies and look at the dates. Go around the room and share something that occurred for you in the year of the penny. It can be something about your education (as a child, a teachers etc.) or it can be just about life. You’ll need a good collection of pennies with varied dates.

10. Skittles. People grab one, there is a guide by color: Yellow, something you’re doing this summer; green, something about work; red, an adventure you’ve had in education, etc. Whatever you want for categories.
11. North, South, East, West. It establishes strengths: North: do it now (action); West: organizational (structure); East: vision (meaning); South: feelings (caring). See directions in SRI Resource and Protocol Book and SRI website.

12. Gingerbread people. Hand out Gingerbread people, who have a question on each of their body parts: what gives you indigestion (stomach), what drives you crazy (head), what you love (heart), what you bring (one leg), what you want to let go of, (hand) what you want to take away. Each person takes a turn introducing themselves and answering the questions. They can write them in and post them all, with their names on the Gingerbread people.

13. Draw your school - either a picture or a floor plan, show challenges, strengths - personalize school by what you think makes it special. Share pictures.

14. Write down powerful learning experiences from when you were age 10 to 13. Share them.

15. Line up in birth order and share schooling in small groups that break up roughly by generations or clusters of years and share out.

16. Movie titles that describe your school experience and why.

17. Change style indicator and score yourself, validate Conservers, Validators, and Initiators of Change, Pragmatist. (You’ll need the directions to do this)

18. Read Alexander’s Horrible Rotten Day (children’s book) aloud, then ask people to share their Bad morning experiences.

19. Two truths and a lie: you share two things that are true and one lie about yourself (as an educator or a person - decide on one) and the group tries to guess which one is the lie. “What you would like to be true?” is the follow up question.
Purpose

All teams experience difficult situations that result in conflict and decreased productivity. During these times, it is important for members to be self-reflective and clarify the team’s purpose.

Tool Set B can support Team Leads to facilitate difficult conversations that connect team members to each other and the work of improving student outcomes.

How & When to Use

When a Success Team Lead becomes aware of major conflicts and/or a lack of progress due to team dynamics (i.e. conflicting priorities, philosophical differences), there is a need to refocus efforts. We recommend the use of a protocol from Tool Set B to create a safe space for honest and productive conversations.
The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Setting Conditions | • Develops effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and clear purpose, and building norms and action-oriented agendas  
• Shares Success Team roles and responsibilities conducive to freshman success work |

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

**Team Lead**
- Setting Conditions: Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team
- Implementation: Establishes team meeting conditions conducive to the successful execution of Success Team duties

**Principal**
- Setting Conditions: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers
Modified Hopes and Fears Protocol

An activity that acknowledges significant events and related fears in order to move a team forward while providing space for individual processing.
Modified Hopes and Fears Protocol

Purpose
To acknowledge the impact of a significant event and to create space for members to anchor themselves in the hopes they hold for their work together moving forward.

Timing & Grouping
This protocol can vary from 20 to 60 minutes, depending on the size of the group and the range of members’ concerns. If the group is particularly large, the facilitator can ask table groups to work together and then report out.

Supplies
Newsprint/chart paper, sticky notes, writing utensils, markers

Agreements

• **Confidentiality** - Our personal stories stay in. Our shared commitments are socialized.

• **No judgment zone** - Different events impact people in different ways. We agree to listen to one another with a compassionate ear.

• **Solutions-oriented discourse** - While we are sharing our fears, we acknowledge that they can cause stagnation. Therefore, we commit to stepping into our hopes.

Steps

1. **Introduction.** The facilitator names the impactful event and asks members to silently write on a sticky note their greatest fear or concern about it. Then, the facilitator asks them to think about their greatest hope for the work moving forward and to write it on a separate sticky note.

2. **Pair Share.** The facilitator asks members to share their fears and hopes with a partner.

3. **Listing.** Members create a “Fears” column and a “Hopes” column on a sheet of newsprint/chart paper using their sticky notes. All fears and hopes are posted without comment or judgment.
   
   *Note: if the group is particularly large, create smaller groups for this step and assign a scribe.*

4. **Processing.** The facilitator provides time for members to silently read the lists.

5. **Discussion Questions.** What trends or themes do you see in the fears? In the hopes? Based on the trends in our hopes, what 2 or 3 commitments can we focus on to propel our work together?
Modified Hopes and Fears Protocol (cont.)

For groups that have been divided into smaller groups –

6. Each group will discuss the questions in step 5 and report out one core theme around fears, one core theme around hopes, and one commitment. Facilitator scribes the commitments on newsprint/chart paper.

7. The facilitator asks, “Of the commitments listed, which 2 or 3 will we collectively agree to move forward?” Remind the team that the commitments anchor how we interact with one another to realize the hopes we hold for our work.

8. Debrief the process. How was this process helpful? In what ways did it challenge or push you? How might you use this protocol in your practice?

A Few Facilitation Tips

• The facilitator can participate by listing his or her fears and hopes as well.

• Do not skip steps! For some, just writing their fears and hopes is personally cathartic. For others, it is the collective consideration of the impact which will be powerful in setting commitments moving forward.

• Remember, the commitments made serve as an anchor for your work moving forward. In debriefing with the lead(s) of the team or group, explicitly ask how these commitments are embedded in the work.

• Thank members for sharing!
Cleaning the Lens Protocol

This protocol re-establishes individual commitments by reconnecting team members with the work on a personal level.
Cleaning the Lens Protocol

This protocol provides participants with the opportunity to (1) reconnect on a personal level with the foundational beliefs that drew them into the work that is currently presenting a challenge, and (2) prioritize time and energy toward activities that are vital and important to fulfilling the purpose of the work.

**Time**  
30 minutes

**Roles**  
Facilitator and scribe

**Materials**  
Chart paper, markers, “Cleaning the Lens Protocol Worksheet” for participants

**Revisit Your Personal Foundation (3 minutes)**

1. In a sentence or two, articulate the purpose for your work.

2. Why is this work significant to you? What has kept you resilient in the work up to this point?

**Assessing Responsibilities (5 minutes)**

3. List the current work responsibilities vying for your time and energy.

4. What responsibilities do you view as vital to fulfilling the purpose of your work?  
   *These responsibilities address the essence of the work. Without them, the entire work crumbles.*

5. What responsibilities are important to fulfilling the purpose of your work?  
   *These responsibilities enhance the product of your work.*

**Assessing Time Commitment (3 minutes)**

6. To fulfill each vital responsibility you noted, how much time per week is required for you to do each one well?

7. To fulfill each important responsibility you noted, how much additional time per week is required for you to do each one well?
Cleaning the Lens Protocol (cont.)

Refocusing Efforts (5 minutes)
8. What are you currently doing that is misaligned with the purpose of your work?

9. What is at stake, to gain or lose, by continuing to perform these duties in conjunction with the work that you have identified as vital and/or important?

10. How have you contributed to this misalignment?

Moving From Thoughts To Action (3 minutes)
11. What are your next steps to bring your efforts in closer alignment to the purpose of your work? By when?

12. Who can you enlist to hold you accountable for taking your next steps?

Enlisting Help For Follow Through (10 minutes)
13. In dyads, share your responses and enlist your partner to hold you accountable for having the next steps completed by your target date.

14. If time allows, share your next steps with the group. The scribe takes notes so participants can check in on their progress at the next meeting.
IMPLEMENTING SCHOOL-BASED TEAMS

TOOL SET A
Facilitating Effective Adult Collaboration and Conversation

TOOL SET B
Facilitating Data-Driven Conversations

TOOL SET C
Engaging Students in On-Track Conversations

TOOL SET D
Assessing Success Team Progress
Facilitating Effective Adult Collaboration and Conversation

Contents
- How Adults Can Work Together Presentation
- Communities that Undermine Learning
- Conversations in Schools that Improve Student Achievement

Purpose
A focus on effective adult collaboration moves teams toward becoming accountable communities that are able to address issues with a problem-solving approach rather than trying to ignore or minimize them. Accountable communities also self-monitor group and individual functioning and share both urgency and hope (The Skillful Leader by Platt and Tripp, 2000).

How & When to Use
Tool Set A supports Team Leads and members to acquire the necessary background knowledge to become an accountable and professional learning community focused on student achievement and results. Along with a professional reading, and/or the presentation in this Tool Set, your Success Team can assess the ingredients for effective adult collaboration and conversation.
The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Setting Conditions** | • Develops effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and clear purpose, and building norms and action-oriented agendas  
• Shares Success Team roles and responsibilities conducive to success work  
• With principal and Team Lead, establishes foundational knowledge, sets purpose, and creates freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection |

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

**Team Lead**

- Setting Conditions: Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team  
- Implementation: Establishes team meeting conditions conducive to the successful execution of Success Team duties

**Principal**

- Setting Conditions: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers  
- Setting Conditions: Identifies team leadership (Team Lead, data technician, social-emotional learning specialist, etc.) and communicates expectations for their engagement with Success Team  
- Implementation: Provides professional development and training opportunities on the transition to high school, data strategy, and social-emotional learning
Facilitating Effective Adult Collaboration and Conversation

How Adults Can Work Together Presentation

A sample presentation to share with Success Team members focused on working together to improve On-Track rates.
Facilitating Effective Adult Collaboration and Conversation

How Adults Can Work Together Presentation

Created by the NCS Freshman Success Collaborative
November 2009

Adult Attitudes

- Be present
- Be committed
- Be responsible
Facilitating Effective Adult Collaboration and Conversation

System of Communication

- How can we set up a system of communication that will foster the collaboration necessary to meet student needs in a timely manner?
- How do we communicate with students to nurture ownership of their progress?

Make Data Our Friend

- Make the time to analyze data
- Collaboratively develop action plans
- Monitor progress on action plans
- Evaluate action plans with data-based evidence
Solutions-Based Orientation

- Bulk of conversations are focused on strategies for improvement
- Creating a culture of support for struggling teachers

Setting Students Up for Success

- Universal expectations for all ninth grade classes
- Student-friendly objectives
- Relevance to students’ lives/work
- Keep up with grading
- Provide timely and frequent feedback to students on their progress
- Provide multiple opportunities to succeed
- Common assessments
More on Common Assessments

- Developed collaboratively
- Aligned to standards
- Fine tuned to assess what students are expected to know/be able to do
- Have length appropriate for the time allotted for testing
- Have an agreed-upon rubric
Communities that Undermine Learning

An article that describes how three community prototypes - Toxic, Lassiez-Faire, and Congenial - serve as obstacles to team functioning and, as a result, limit improvement efforts.
Period 2 common planning time at River High School: Five minutes after the last bell, Team 9B teachers are amiably catching up on one another’s weekends while waiting for the perpetual stragglers to arrive.

Maria, the team leader, seems to be the only one with a sense of urgency. “People, remember our norm of getting started promptly,” she implores. “Let’s go. We need to spend a few minutes planning April’s field trip. Then we have to talk about how we’re doing with the interdisciplinary writing prompts.”

Before Maria has finished distributing a short agenda, Principal Knox arrives. He’s on his daily walkthrough this period and cannot stay, but he wants to encourage the group with a “little pat on the back.”

Al Knox is proud of his Professional Learning Community initiative at River High School. He has provided his PLCs with common meeting time, stipends for team leader(s), and summer training in norm development and agenda setting. Compared to the fractious group of ninth-grade teachers he saw two years ago, 9B is collaborating pretty well, Al thinks. He is pleased by the congenial tone of the gathering and the team’s shared goal to improve student writing — a school priority. After a quick thanks for their efforts, Al continues his walk and leaves 9B to get on with its business.

If Al had stayed longer, the unfolding interaction might have made him reconsider his assessment. Instead of a few minutes, the field trip discussion took more than half the meeting. A tangent into a student discipline issue chewed up another 15 minutes.

Team 9B got to the main agenda item with 10 minutes left. At that point, two teachers admitted that they were not getting to the writing prompts despite previous promises. John “never could find the time” and Tina complained about “doing English in science.” Colleagues’ comments were dismaying solicitous:

“That’s OK, John. Get to it when you can.”

“Listen, your low group isn’t going to be able to write much anyway. Maybe you could just experiment with one of your good sections.”

No one expressed dismay over how time had been used or the failure to address the

By Alexander D. Platt and Caroline E. Tripp
one agenda item that would have a direct impact on student performance. No one made a passionate plea about the serious gap in writing achievement. No one took a colleague to task for violating the team agreement, thereby granting tacit permission to the notion that individual autonomy takes precedence over responsibility to the group.

If we measure collaboration in terms of impact on teaching and learning, the meeting was a failure, and the group’s performance inadequate.

False hope

Team 9B is one of many learning communities with the worthwhile mission of improving student learning springing up all over California. Some do indeed fulfill the promise of professional learning set forth by DuFour and others. But as Michael Fullan warns us from his research, “[We] have found that professional learning communities are being implemented superficially. They give the educators involved a false hope of progress.”

To fulfill the promise of professional learning communities, skillful leaders need to do more than simply marshal resources and cheer faculty on from the sidelines. We must distinguish between groups that genuinely pool their mental effort to develop organizational intelligence in the service of greater student learning — what we call Accountable Communities — and groups whose interactions block improvement and protect mediocre performance by both students and adults.

Three different prototypes fall into the latter category: the Toxic Community, the Laissez-Faire Community and the Congenial Community. Although they may look different, each group:

- accepts or tolerates low performance, inertia or lack of contribution from its own members;
- expects and accepts low performance from groups of students who have somehow been labeled as less worthy or less capable;
- attributes poor student achievement to external factors like family background, lack of financial support for schools or community conditions;
- derives benefit from, and therefore experts effort to sustain, conditions that favor adult comfort or convenience over student needs;
- has little or no collective experience with, or models for, effective problem-solving skills and strategies.

Real schools are full of such underperforming groups, many of which parade past injuries, such as strikes or destructive bargaining sessions. Toxicity is also fueled by emotional exhaustion from years of “initiative overload” and unsupported effort and continual stirring of a few “ringleaders” who derive gratification and a sense of purpose from being aggrieved.

By nature guarded and suspicious, toxic groups do pay attention to what the organization wants from them and to the ways in which organizational goals or changes in practice might affect their traditional rights and privileges. They often use the union contract to defend the status quo.

Rather than embracing promising ideas on their merits or supporting leaders who want to find ways of trying out new practices within the framework of the contract, Toxic Communities vote for and encourage union leaders who take a tough, protective stance.

Finally, Toxic Communities focus on why things should not be done, cannot work or are a problem for something that already exists. Thus, members most often present themselves as blockers to improvement efforts and as individuals whose job is to sort, select and label both children and other adults.

New teacher induction programs are no match for these lethal culture builders! Challenging these communities requires a balance of listening, acknowledging and direct intervention. These highly negative cultures almost always require some changes in personnel.

Approaches for tackling Toxic Communities

- Identify the past or present causes for the toxicity (previous authoritarian leadership, residue from strikes and contract impasses, a track record of broken promises from the district).
- Build bridges before lighting fires (Lencioni, 2002). Listen to and acknowledge previous conditions and past contributions.

To fulfill the promise of PLCs, skillful leaders need to do more than simply marshal resources and cheer faculty on from the sidelines.
to the current situation before asking for changes.

- Give feedback to individuals when expectations for effective collaboration are not met, but avoid attacking or labeling statements. Instead, focus on the importance of pooling knowledge to better help students and name the consequences for students when adults are unable to collaborate.

- Adopt and consistently use structures that equalize participation in discussion and minimize opportunities for haranguing and bullying.

- Use transparent, data-based processes for identifying student learning problems and setting priorities for action, rather than unstructured decisions by acclaim or assertion.

- Honor contract provisions consistently, but persist with clear non-negotiables and expectations. Do not let grievances distract from your focus.

- Remove the most negative individual or a destructive ringleader from the group.

The *Laissez-Faire* Community

While Toxic Communities are often bonded by their sense of injury or by a common vision of “the other” as enemy, groups we have designated *Laissez-Faire* share little beyond a desire or belief in their right to be left alone to “do their own thing.”

In *Laissez-Faire* Communities, teachers or administrators co-exist pleasantly but are disconnected from institutional goals and from each other’s work and work concerns. Members are largely motivated by personal needs either for comfort and convenience or for instructional autonomy; no shared purpose or vision drives their interaction.

If Toxic Communities snarl and snort in response to requests for collaborative problem solving, *Laissez-Faire* Communities sniff and sigh with martyred resignation. The school’s designated goals do not appear to have immediate relevance or utility. Rather than adversarial, as in Toxic Communities, relationships with leaders are often collusive: “You scratch my back, I’ll scratch yours.”

Laissez-Faire Communities frequently evolve in heavily decentralized districts or schools in the absence of strong leadership. They also develop when leadership defines its role as protection of cooperative members and motivation through favors and deals. These communities tend to support mediocre learning because they see it as an inevitable result of student limitations and because examining and subsequently changing one’s core practice would violate the fundamental value of autonomy.

Approaches for intervening with *Laissez-Faire* Communities

- Identify the practices and forces that are supporting autonomous actions, deal-making, secrecy or low expectations.

- Determine when and how the group interacts well to solve a problem (even if it is low-level) and build on established structures or norms.

- Establish clear problem-solving structures and make problem solving a central part of meeting agendas. Use time efficiently.

- Assess how much time is wasted on unimportant topics; be judicious in identifying the most important problems for the focus of collaborative action.

- Monitor how time is spent during group meetings; collect agendas and minutes.

- Help teams use standards and feedback to define a common learning problem, identify a change goal for itself, and establish how it will monitor its own performance.

- Offer options for initial structuring of joint work. Looking at student work, developing common assessments and examining student test results could all be productive starting points.

- Have much of the work done in course-alike pairs or trios where there is compelling rationale for working together.

The key to improving the collaboration of these autonomous units is to help them see that joint work will help them be more effective in their own classrooms.

The Congenial Community

Congenial Communities are “happy” or “nurturing” places to work. These groups send off the false aura of smoothly func-
tioning teams. Considerable effort goes into building and maintaining adult relationships and comfort, but unlike Toxic or Laissez-Faire Communities, they have no difficulty with requests to collaborate.

Members usually enjoy one another’s company and have positive or neutral relationships with the leaders. Mediocrity is sustained because members do not challenge one another’s ideas and practices in service of better student learning, because getting along comes first.

Problems are quickly reduced to simplistic statements and solutions, and no real effort is made to examine data to get at the core practices that are no longer serving children’s needs. Congenial Communities especially can be by-products of leader shortcomings.

Recognizing that good relationships and trust create effective teams, administrators often overemphasize the role of congeniality and inadvertently send signals that getting along is paramount. Such leaders see themselves as being responsible for keeping peace and harmony, and worry that any attempt to press for genuine changes in practice will “undermine school morale” without producing results.

Thus, everyone understands that naming an ineffective practice goes against established cultural norms, and difficult questions about poor student or adult performance are swept under the rug.

Approaches for intervening with Congenial Communities

- Lead with relationship building and the need for acceptance and affiliation, but use data to reframe focus from adult comfort to students’ losing out.
- Help congenial groups be more accepting of conflict by adopting protocols that assist members in managing conflict (see National School Reform Faculty Web site, www.nsrfrharmony.org).
- Invest in training that helps members to identify their own preferential styles and conflict-aversive behavior, and analyze the consequence of “burying” difficult information or important disagreements.
- Invite community members to examine their own performance against criteria for a

Books Worth Reading

Six Secrets of Change; How Leaders Learn

Reviewed by George Manthey, assistant executive director, ACSA Educational Services

“Give me a good theory over a strategic plan any day of the week,” is the opening sentence of Michael Fullan’s latest book. It is a guide for both business and education leaders who want to make their organizations “survive and thrive.” Six “secrets” are offered as a theory of action, with the caution that leaders be open to “surprises or new data that direct further action.”

The secrets are not likely to surprise you as they deal with the way leaders treat employees, define purpose, build capacity, learn, share information, and create organizations that learn. Fullan cautions that for the secrets to work they must all be nurtured, as none are sufficient in isolation of the others. For me, the six secrets provide a useful filter for examining the efficacy of decisions and actions.


Gordon Donaldson credits Joanne Iskin, a principal in California’s Lennox Unified School District, for insisting that this book get written. In it Donaldson provides a model (Interpersonal-Cognitive-Intrapersonal or I-C-I) that he has found useful for understanding performance and learning. The book provides real examples of how teacher leaders and principals have used the I-C-I model to provide a framework for their own leadership of learning. Donaldson asserts, “Persistent hurdles to leader effectiveness are the result, in part, of gaps of leaders’ interpersonal, intrapersonal, and cognitive knowledge sets.”

One aim of the book is to help leaders understand that their focus can not be their own skill set, but must include increasing their understanding of how what they do affects the “knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and practice” of those they are leading. Donaldson also reminds us that the highest purpose of leadership of schools is to lead in ways that increase student learning.

“How Leaders Learn” (2008), by Gordon A. Donaldson. Published by Teachers College Press.
The vision of excellence

The Accountable Community: The vision of excellence

Accountable Communities are the much desired but rarely achieved ideal for team functioning. They are demanding and sometimes uncomfortable places to work. Labeling a community as “accountable” means its members have moved beyond merely working together well in service of students in general. The team takes direct responsibility for monitoring its own actions and for calling others on behaviors and stances that are not helpful to the mission.

Accountable Communities impact the consistency and quality of members’ classroom instruction more than teams functioning at other levels. Accountable Communities live a “no quarter, no excuses” existence, where every choice a teacher makes is open to examination and revision when there are students who have not yet learned what they need to learn.

Could you describe any of your teams as accountable? Do you have some good teams who could stretch to this level of performance?

These communities are bonded and motivated by the glue of common goals, common agreements, common assessment and/or common students. They do not depend on external authorities to police them; they are able to connect their classroom work to larger organizational goals.

Becoming accountable

W e can’t expect all teams to become accountable overnight, but we do expect leaders to actively confront Fullan’s worry that “professional learning communities are being implemented superficially,” by taking four actions.

1. Be committed to strong measures of accountability and intervention in cases of malfunctioning teams. There will be no spontaneous outbreak of improvement without intervention, feedback and coaching.

2. Give “life and clout” to the California Standards for the Teaching Profession Standard No. 6: Developing as a Professional Educator, especially 6.3 — Working with Communities to Improve Professional Practice (“Inspect what you expect”). Use existing evaluation standards to reward contribution and recommend growth where needed.

3. Develop clear definitions and images for what constitutes a high functioning, “accountable” PLC that impacts student learning. Share these descriptions with teams so they can self assess their performance.

4. Collect data on what is actually happening. If the principal had really observed Team 9B, he would have been able to give growth feedback to the team leader or the entire team.

Accountable Communities are the much desired but rarely achieved ideal for team functioning. They are demanding and sometimes uncomfortable places to work.

Because of the emphasis on problem solving and the constant fine-tuning that goes on in Accountable Communities, the impact of their teaching on student learning is less random. Through their skilled problem solving, they relentlessly address learning gaps (concepts not yet understood and skills not yet mastered) for both adults and students.

There is a willingness to move beyond the most obvious solutions and responses to problems and seek other explanations and opportunities. They let go of treasured but non-working approaches when faced with data indicating their lack of success. When the knowledge of the group falls short, they seek external expertise.

Accountable Communities do not collaborate on everything. They are very selective and are known to push back against principals who have gone overboard on collaboration. Ironically, these groups are marked as much by what they don’t collaborate about! Some have described this as “relentless focus” on matters of instruction and learning (see box above).

If school leaders want to maximize the power of PLCs, they need to not just support, but monitor and coach. Otherwise, we will have a few great teams, fewer great schools and many students performing below our hopes.

References


This article was adapted from “The Skillful Leader II: Confronting Conditions That Undermine Learning” (2008).

Conversations in Schools that Improve Student Achievement

A quick guide that provides criteria and specific language for collegial conversations focused on student outcomes.
Conversations in Schools that Improve Student Achievement

Schools that make the most learning gains for students have leaders who ensure the frequency and quality of professional conversations. Conversations among adults about teaching and learning permeate the building. That means they happen at all times of day, in formal and informal settings, and all the adults involve themselves.

The conversations:

- are substantive
- use recent information about student performance
- spring from questions that assume responsibility and capacity to improve student learning
- are non-defensive
- enable people to know things about each other as people
- reach out to Professional Knowledge
- lead to action

Listen in as you walk around the building....meetings, teachers’ lounge, hallways. If you hear conversations like this, you can be sure the school is improving student results.

“Substantive” means they are talking concretely about teaching and learning itself, and the issues, decisions and actions teachers are taking in daily practice with specific students.

“Based on recent information” means teachers bring specific and timely evidence about how students are doing to the table. It could be from yesterday’s work as well as quarterly assessments, writing samples, test scores: whatever will illuminate where students are and what they need next.

“Spring from questions” means the conversations are asking questions about the connection between teacher action and student results with an assumption that it is our job to improve student results, and that we are able to do so.

“Non-defensive” means that when students don’t learn, teachers face the evidence squarely and accept responsibility for their part. They don’t get hung-up in guilt or blame of themselves or of the students. Thus they are always working on how to adjust their actions to improve student results.

“Each other as people” means that staff members come to know one another as individuals who have life histories and interests and commitments.

“Reaching out to Professional Knowledge” means that these conversations do not happen in a vacuum. The teachers are aware that there is a vast reservoir of Professional Knowledge created by peers over the past century; and they need to bring this Professional Knowledge into their planning and their problem solving in order to maximize student success.

“Lead to action” means the conversations go beyond being interesting and satisfying for the participants. They lead to changes in instruction that benefit students. These changes can be seen, heard, and measured in their positive results.
Purpose

The Network for College Success believes multiple forms of data can be powerful tools for school improvement when they are used to trace causes, seek solutions, and guide change. Data-driven conversations require careful facilitation to ensure a safe and supportive environment wherein educators take ownership of their outcomes. It is important for Success Team members to be open to honest reflection about successes and struggles.

How & When to Use

All Success Team conversations should be rooted in data, so Team Leads must select protocols that support the effective facilitation of these conversations. The protocols in Tool Set C are frequently used in Network for College Success partner schools. Your team should establish a regular routine for using protocols as part of your data conversations to improve student outcomes.

Using protocols might feel forced at first try, but trust the process. The protocol is structured to create a safe environment for all.
The Freshman Success Framework is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting Conditions</td>
<td>• Engages in regular, calendared Success Team meetings to 1) analyze data and 2) develop, monitor, and adjust interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>• Reviews incoming class performance data to develop early and targeted supports for students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Develops, implements, tracks, and evaluates Tier 2 interventions, making adjustments when appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reviews actionable student-level data in order to provide appropriate support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

**Team Lead**
- Setting Conditions: With principal and data technician, establishes Success Team meeting calendar that includes regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment
- Implementation: Establishes team meeting conditions conducive to the successful execution of Success Team duties
- Implementation: Works with data technician to bring actionable student-level data at regular intervals

**Principal**
- Setting Conditions: Provides and protects team meeting calendar, with regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment
- Implementation: Provides timely access to freshman success-related data, such as point-in-time On-Track data
- Implementation: Reviews and interrogates interim freshman success-related data in light of Success Team goals, and strategizes with team leadership around next steps
ATLAS-Looking at Data Protocol

A protocol to guide conversation when data is the focal point. The structured approach of a protocol, with clear norms and expectations for conversation, creates a safe space for all participants. This protocol supports equity of voice and allows all members to describe the data, make inferences, and share implications for future work.
Looking at Data

Learning from Data is a tool to guide groups of teachers discovering what students, educators, and the public understand and how they are thinking. The tool, developed by Eric Buchovecky, is based in part on the work of the Leadership for Urban Mathematics Project and the Assessment Communities of Teachers Project. The tool also draws on the work of Steve Seidel and Evangeline Harris-Stefanakis of Project Zero at Harvard University. Revised November 2000 by Gene Thompson-Grove. Revised August 2004 for Looking at Data by Dianne Leahy.

1. Getting Started
   - The facilitator reminds the group of the norms.
   - The educator providing the data set gives a very brief statement of the data and avoids explaining what she/he concludes about the data if the data belongs to the group rather than the presenter.
   Note: Each of the next 4 steps should be about 10 minutes in length. It is sometimes helpful for the facilitator to take notes.

2. Describing the Data (10 minutes)
   - The facilitator asks: “What do you see?”
   - During this period the group gathers as much information as possible from the data.
   - Group members describe what they see in data, avoiding judgments about quality or interpretations. It is helpful to identify where the observation is being made — e.g., “On page one in the second column, third row...”
   - If judgments or interpretations do arise, the facilitator should ask the person to describe the evidence on which they are based.
   - It may be useful to list the group’s observations on chart paper. If interpretations come up, they can be listed in another column for later discussion during Step 3.

3. Interpreting the Data (10 minutes)
   - The facilitator asks: “What does the data suggest?” Followed by — “What are the assumptions we make about students and their learning?”
   - During this period, the group tries to make sense of what the data says and why. The group should try to find as many different interpretations as possible and evaluate them against the kind and quality of evidence.
   - From the evidence gathered in the preceding section, try to infer: what is being worked on and why?
   - Think broadly and creatively. Assume that the data, no matter how confusing, makes sense to some people; your job is to see what they may see.
   - As you listen to each other’s interpretations, ask questions that help you better understand each other’s perspectives.
4. **Implications for Classroom Practice** (10 minutes)
   - The facilitator asks: “What are the implications of this work for teaching and assessment?” This question may be modified, depending on the data.
   - Based on the group’s observations and interpretations, discuss any implications this work might have for teaching and assessment in the classroom. In particular, consider the following questions:
     — What steps could be taken next?
     — What strategies might be most effective?
     — What else would you like to see happen? What kinds of assignments or assessments could provide this information?
     — What does this conversation make you think about in terms of your own practice? About teaching and learning in general?
     — What are the implications for equity?

5. **Reflecting on the ATLAS-Looking at Data** (10 minutes)
   **Presenter Reflection:**
   - What did you learn from listening to your colleagues that was interesting or surprising?
   - What new perspectives did your colleagues provide?
   - How can you make use of your colleagues’ perspectives?

   **Group Reflection:**
   - What questions about teaching and assessment did looking at the data raise for you?
   - Did questions of equity arise?
   - How can you pursue these questions further?
   - Are there things you would like to try in your classroom as a result of looking at this data?

6. **Debrief the Process** (5 minutes)
   - How well did the process work?
   - What about the process helped you to see and learn interesting or surprising things?
   - What could be improved?
**ATLAS - Looking At Data Protocol**

**Instructions**
This is an example of the questions that would stem from each of the ATLAS Protocol steps. It can also serve as a template for note-taking. For each of the four phases of the ATLAS protocol, jot down additional questions that can be raised to elicit deeper analysis and reflection from participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACTS</th>
<th>INTERPRETATIONS &amp; WONDERINGS</th>
<th>IMPLICATIONS</th>
<th>NEXT STEPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>(What do we see?)</em></td>
<td><em>(What does the data suggest?)</em></td>
<td><em>(What does this mean for our work?)</em></td>
<td><em>(So what are we going to do?)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do we see in terms of:</td>
<td>What does the data suggest about:</td>
<td>What does this mean for our work in terms of:</td>
<td>From all the implications, what would be the high leverage next steps we can take toward improvement?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Performance in core courses vs electives?</td>
<td>• Academic rigor of the courses?</td>
<td>• Students who are nearly off track?</td>
<td>(Limit the next steps to no more than 3, especially if the whole team is owning them)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Historical performance over time in courses? (if provided in graph)</td>
<td>• Student attendance patterns?</td>
<td>• Students who are off track?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Entire grade level vs special populations? (if student lists are provided)</td>
<td>• The effectiveness of our Tier 2 intervention on targeted students?</td>
<td>• Students who are failing more than 3 classes?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Boys’ performance? Girls’ performance?</td>
<td>• Execution of the modifications and accommodations in student IEPs?</td>
<td>• Our needs as teachers to successfully meet the directives in student IEPs and/or ELL learning plans?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The proportion of students with B’s or better vs those with D’s and F’s?</td>
<td>• Execution of learning plans for our ELLs?</td>
<td>• Improving student access to the concepts and skills in our courses?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Proximity to our annual/quarterly On-Track benchmark? (if point-in-time On-Track percentage is shared)</td>
<td>• Our tenacity in regularly updating grades? Are these grades a true reflection of where students are academically?</td>
<td>• Adjusting our Tier 2 intervention?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Change in performance of students targeted for intervention?</td>
<td>• The quantity and types of opportunities given for students to succeed?</td>
<td>• Ensuring grades are as current as possible so that our actions are addressing real-time need?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of off track students who have averages within the 40 – 59% range?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wagon Wheel Tool for Data Analysis

A tool that allows for the triangulation and assessment of multiple variables and data points.
Wagon Wheel Tool for Data Analysis

Steps in using the wagon wheel:

- Assign key variables to each spoke on the wheel.
- Establish a scale for each spoke, with the highest performance on the inner rim of the circle. Label individual spokes with their own scale.
- Plot performance data along spokes, color coding to distinguish units being compared (classrooms, schools, departments, grade levels, budgets, or even certification areas).
- Connect the lines for each unit if comparisons are made between units.
- Identify the pattern of performance against selected performance standards.
### Wagon Wheel Tool for Data Analysis

**Analyze to Prioritize**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Inference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strengths/Celebrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstacles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prioritizing**

List your most urgent needs and/or largest obstacles:

1. 
2. 
3. 

**List Your Obstacles:**

Using the template below, list your largest obstacle in the rectangle. Brainstorm all possible causes and list on the lines. Review your causes and circle only the ones which you can impact.
Success Team in Action: North-Grand High School Video

A video that provides a snapshot of a Success Team holding an accountable conversation using a structured protocol.

Click here to view >>
Engaging Students in On-Track Conversations

Contents
- Ideas for Engaging Students to Stay
- On Track
- On-Track Unit Plan

Purpose
Success Teams are responsible for creating multiple opportunities to engage students in On-Track conversations. These opportunities can include school-wide events and Freshman Seminar or Advisory programs. Tool Set D provides examples on how one NCS partner school approached student engagement around On-Track goals.

How & When to Use
Success Teams can use Tool Set D to generate their own ideas for the work. It is important to distribute activities and responsibilities among team members to avoid burnout.

Success Team conversations focused on supporting incoming freshmen should happen in the spring so the team is ready to maximize opportunities, such as freshman orientation, later in the summer to engage students.
Engaging Students in On-Track Conversations

Connections to Framework

The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on the below actions of a Success Team stemming from the Freshman Success Framework.

### Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting Conditions</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Develops effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and clear purpose, and building norms and action-oriented agendas</td>
<td>• With principal and Team Lead, establishes foundational knowledge, sets purpose, and creates freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Shares Success Team roles and responsibilities conducive to freshman success work</td>
<td>• Engages in regular, calendared Success Team meetings to 1) analyze data and 2) develop, monitor, and adjust interventions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Develops, implements, tracks, and evaluates Tier 2 interventions, making adjustments when appropriate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communication</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Maintains strengths-based and action-oriented communication to support students</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Celebrates student and adult successes around On-Track and student connection goals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Engages faculty in frequent communication on student progress and successful strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Creates, implements, and evaluates instructional strategies around student engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Tool Set also highlights the actions stemming from the Framework for the Principal and Team Lead in support of the Success Team work.

### Team Lead

- **Setting Conditions**: Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team
- **Setting Conditions**: With principal and data technician, establishes Success Team meeting calendar that includes regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment
- **Setting Conditions**: With principal and Success Team, sets freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection, and develops benchmarks to monitor progress

### Principal

- **Setting Conditions**: Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers
- **Setting Conditions**: Provides and protects team meeting calendar, with regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment
- **Implementation**: Reviews and interrogates interim freshman success-related data in light of Success Team goals, and strategizes with team leadership around next steps
Ideas for Engaging Students to Stay On Track

A menu of engagement activities aligned with the school calendar to support timely and relevant student engagement in On-Track efforts.
## Ideas for Engaging Students to Stay On Track

### Summer Prior to Entering Ninth Grade

Begin exposing incoming freshmen to the concept of On-Track through transition activities. Use current students to deliver this information whenever possible! Possible activities include:

- Pre-Orientation/High School Investigation Day
- Freshman Connection
- Department teacher phone calls and/or individual meetings
- Back-to-School Orientation in August

### Quarter 1

Get into the specifics about what freshmen must do to be considered On Track. Example activities:

- Grade-level assembly during 1st week of school, market as “On-Track pep rally”
- Classroom guidance lessons (On-Track Unit)
- Have students evaluate their first 5-week progress report. Using On-Track criteria, students evaluate whether they are On Track or off track. Can be done through any class, advisory period, or during a guidance lesson.
- Post pictures of On-Track students in lunchroom or high-traffic area
- Tie 1st quarter awards to On-Track status
- All freshman teachers should use On-Track language in their classes and relate students’ performance in their classes to being On Track or off track
- Small group meetings with off track students, led by upperclassmen
## Ideas for Engaging Students to Stay On Track

### Quarters 2-3

Don’t let the fire burn out from 1st quarter! Students will pick up on it and begin to think that it’s not important anymore. Continue the On-Track talk throughout the 2nd and 3rd quarters. Example activities:

- On-Track breakfast club
- Field trips only for On-Track students
- Facilitate healthy department competition, such as a pizza party for the department with the most On-Track students
- Small group meetings for marginally off track students, led by upperclassmen
- One-on-one meetings for severely off track students, led by counselors, teachers, or administrators
- Grade-level meetings that center around On-Track trends for the entire grade
- Department/or advisory activities that help students to track their own data, such as attendance rate, number of D’s/F’s, detentions, etc.
- Gradebook checks that occur once weekly during a class (rotate which class throughout school year, so time is not taken from the same class each time)
- Pass out FAQs or myths/facts that clear up any misconceptions about being off track
Ideas for Engaging Students to Stay On Track

Quarter 4

During the final quarter, help each student understand what he/she must do in order to get back On Track. This is often very time-consuming because it is so highly individualized and may vary from student to student. Dividing students among the Success Team and using adult mentors can help alleviate the burden.

• Small group or one-on-one meetings with off track students. At the end of the meeting, students should be able to articulate exactly what they need to do to get back On Track.

• It is important to communicate to students that they can ALWAYS get back On Track, no matter how off track they may currently be. It may take more work for some students, but it is always possible.

• Culminating On-Track field trip or reward that students can work toward

• Final On-Track awards given at end-of-year ceremony

• Expose students to their sophomore year On-Track “to-do” list
On-Track Unit Plan

An instructional unit created and implemented by the guidance department of a Network for College Success partner school. Teachers can modify the unit for use during seminar and/or advisory classes.
# On-Track Unit Plan

## Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>To familiarize freshmen with the concept of “On-Track” status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>First-semester freshmen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ASCA* Standards Addressed | A:A1.5 – Identify attitudes and behaviors which lead to successful learning  
A:B2.3 – Develop and implement an annual plan of study to maximize academic ability and achievement |
| # of Sessions | Three Total  
Session #1: What Does “On-Track” Mean?  
Session #2: What is my 4-Year Plan?  
Session #3: Am I On Track? |
| Time Required | 45 minutes each |
| Evaluation Tools | Pre- and post-tests |

*American School Counselor Association*
### On-Track Unit Plan

#### Session #1: What Does “On-Track” Mean?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals &amp; Objectives</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 1:</strong> Introduce students to the concept of On-Track</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Objective 1: Students will learn the definition of “On-Track”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Objective 2: Students will explore the credit requirements for graduation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Objective 3: Students will identify the “checkpoints” that occur throughout the year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 2:</strong> Develop an awareness of the importance of staying On Track throughout high school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Objective 1: Students will explore the relationship between freshman On-Track status and graduating from high school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Objective 2: Students will brainstorm and discuss possible consequences of falling off track in freshman year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Objective 3: Students will explore the relationship between attendance and On-Track status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASCA* Standards Addressed</th>
<th>A:A1.5 – Identify attitudes and behaviors which lead to successful learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Required</th>
<th>45 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials Needed</th>
<th>What Does “On-Track” Mean? PowerPoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Tools</th>
<th>Pre- and post-tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
On-Track Unit Plan
Session #1: What Does “On-Track” Mean? (cont.)

1. Complete pre-test with students (5 min.)
2. Begin PowerPoint
   a. Making It to College activity and time for processing (8-9 min.)
   b. On-Track lesson (5 min.)
   c. Is This Student On Track? group activity (5 min.)
   d. Attendance lesson (5 min.)
   e. Activity and share-out (5-6 min.)
   f. Check points and wrap-up (5 min.)
3. Complete post-test with students (5 min.)
# On-Track Unit Plan

## Session #2: What is my 4-Year Plan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals &amp; Objectives</th>
<th>Goal 1: To develop a 4-year individual plan of study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Objective 1: Students will use the transcript evaluation form to review the course requirements for graduation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Objective 2: Students will explore the relationship between creating a 4-year plan and staying On Track</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Objective 3: Students will apply their knowledge of the graduation requirements to map out courses for the entire four years of high school</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ASCA* Standards Addressed

A:B2.3 – Develop and implement an annual plan of study to maximize academic ability and achievement

## Time Required

45 minutes

## Materials Needed

• Copies of the transcript evaluation/4-year plan of study form
• Small bag of candy

## Evaluation Tools

Pre- and post-tests

---

*American School Counselor Association*
On-Track Unit Plan

Session #2: What is my 4-Year Plan?

Lesson Plan

1. Complete pre-test with students (5 min.)
2. Pop-quiz for candy (5 min.)
   a. What does the concept of On-Track mean? (On-Track means you are performing in a manner that will allow you to graduate in four years. Must earn at least 5 credits by June of freshman year and can fail no more than one semester of one core course.)
   b. An On-Track freshman is how many times more likely to graduate in four years than an off track freshman? (3.5 times)
   c. How many credits do you need to graduate from high school? (24 credits)
   d. If you pass all of your classes freshman year, how many credits can you earn by the end of the year? (6 credits)
3. Pass out a transcript evaluation form to each student. Explain how it illustrates the graduation requirements, elective courses, and non-credit requirements. Discuss courses open to freshmen, sophomores, juniors, and seniors. (5 min.)
4. Instruct students to fill out their tentative 4-year plans on the transcript evaluation form. Explain that these plans are not set in stone and may change from year to year. Ask students why having a plan can help them stay On Track to Graduate. (25 min)
5. Complete post-test with students (5 min.)
## On-Track Unit Plan

### Session #3: Am I On Track?

*(To be completed after 1st semester transcripts are available)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals &amp; Objectives</th>
<th>Goal 1: To assist students in identifying and evaluating their On-Track status after the 1st semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Objective 1: Students will use the transcript evaluation form to evaluate their first transcripts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Objective 2: Each student will determine his/her On-Track status and develop a plan to get On Track or remain On Track for the 2nd semester</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASCA* Standards Addressed</th>
<th>A:A1.5 – Identify attitudes and behaviors which lead to successful learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A:B2.3 – Develop and implement an annual plan of study to maximize academic ability and achievement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Required</th>
<th>45 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials Needed</th>
<th>• Students’ completed 4-year plans/transcript evaluation forms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Each student’s transcript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Am I On Track? worksheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• “On Track” guide poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• A few pieces of candy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Tools</th>
<th>Pre- and post-tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
On-Track Unit Plan

Session #3: Am I On Track?
(To be completed after 1st semester transcripts are available)

Lesson Plan

1. Complete pre-test with students (5 min.)
2. Pop-quiz for candy (1 min.)
   a. What does the concept of On-Track mean? (On-Track means you are performing in a manner that will allow you to graduate in four years. Must earn at least 5 credits by June of freshman year and can fail no more than one semester of one core course.)
   b. If you pass all of your classes, how many credits can you earn by the end of 1st semester? (3 credits)
3. Define “transcript.” (A document that shows all the classes you passed in high school, your grades, GPA, and any special awards, activities, or honors that you received. Many colleges use it to make admissions decisions.) Pass out each student’s transcript. Discuss how to read a transcript – point out the following: identifying information, class rank, courses passed/failed, credits, service learning hours, and GPA. (10 min.)
4. Pass out each student’s transcript evaluation form/4-year plan that he/she completed during the last lesson. Instruct students to fill out the transcript evaluation form, checking off each 1st semester class that they passed. Pointing out the “Am I On Track?” poster, have students write on the form whether they are On Track and why. (10 min.)
5. Complete post-test with students (5 min.)
Purpose
Success Teams should regularly assess their progress to determine strengths and areas in need of growth. Assessment should not be limited to the performance of the team as a unit. It should include the performance of the Team Lead and administrators responsible for supporting the team.

How & When to Use
Success Teams should build time into their routines for assessment, reflection, and action planning. The Network for College Success encourages quarterly informal assessments. This allows the team to pause and celebrate successes. Regular team assessment can also reveal areas of concern that may require the team to set additional goals or take a new approach to the work.

Success Teams should approach assessment as a collaborative process grounded in a clear set of criteria or expectations for team performance. The Freshman Success Inventory is based on the NCS Freshman Success Framework (see the Framework in the About section of this Toolkit). The Inventory allows each team member to reflect on and respond to the criteria, after which the results are compiled so the entire team can determine a final performance level. Based on the data, teams are encouraged to set quarterly goals and action plans.

The video on Hancock High School showcases a high-functioning Success Team engaging in a solutions-oriented conversation about their students.
The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success. The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.

This Tool Set focuses on every behavior and action in the Framework. For more details on the Framework, please refer to the About section.

## Freshman Success Team in Action: Ways of Doing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Team Lead</th>
<th>Success Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting Conditions</strong></td>
<td>- Selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers.</td>
<td>- Identifies team leadership (Team Lead, data technician, social-emotional learning specialist, etc.) and communicates expectations for their engagement with Success Team.</td>
<td>- Sets goals for On-Track and student connection with the Success Team and team leadership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Provides and protects team meeting calendars, with regular and sufficient time for 5 data analysis and 2 intervention development, monitoring, and intervention.</td>
<td>- Provides the scaffolding for School-wide Education and teacher feedback, class-level expectations, and/or data-driven decisions.</td>
<td>- With principal and Success Team, sets freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection, and develops benchmarks to monitor progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implementation</strong></td>
<td>- Acquires foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to achieve success.</td>
<td>- With principal and data technician, establishes success meeting calendar that includes regular and sufficient time for 5 data analysis and 2 intervention development, monitoring, and intervention.</td>
<td>- Develops effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and other purpose, building norms and action-oriented agendas, and data-driven decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Engages Teachers and facilitates data-driven instructional decision making.</td>
<td>- Shares Success Team roles and responsibilities conductive to freshman success work.</td>
<td>- Shares Success Team data and responsibilities conductive to freshman success work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Supports teacher development and constructive feedback around instructional practice.</td>
<td>- Reviews incoming class performance and student success-related data, such as point and team success-related data in order to provide necessary support.</td>
<td>- Based on available data, refers student to appropriate level of support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication</strong></td>
<td>- Designs and facilitates data-driven instructional decision making that promotes student success.</td>
<td>- Reviews and interrogates interim intervention development, tracking, and monitoring data to develop early and targeted intervention strategies.</td>
<td>- Revises and updates Tier 1-3 data to provide initial support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Engages and empowers Teachers to design and implement instructional strategies that promote student success.</td>
<td>- Reviews actionable student-level data at regular intervals.</td>
<td>- Adjusts Tier 2 interventions, making data-driven decisions to support students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instruction</strong></td>
<td>- Provides learning opportunities on instructional practices that support students’ successful transition to high school.</td>
<td>- Discusses and strategizes with team leadership expectations for their engagement with Success Team.</td>
<td>- Conducts joint parent/student conferences.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Notes

- The **Freshman Success Framework** is the foundation for effective school practice on On-Track and student success.
- The Network for College Success has seen the greatest and most sustainable gains for freshmen when schools develop high-functioning educator professional learning communities, which we call Success Teams.
- This Tool Set focuses on every behavior and action in the Framework. For more details on the Framework, please refer to the About section.
Freshman Success Inventory

A tool to collaboratively assess the current practices across three main areas – the Success Team, the Team Lead, and the Administration – using four performance levels (Embedded, Practicing, Emerging, and Not Yet Practicing).
Freshman Success Inventory

Rating descriptions

- **Embedded**- This practice is so ingrained in our work that it is a distinguishing feature of the culture of freshman success in our school (way of being)
- **Practicing**- This is a regular practice in our school (way of doing)
- **Emerging**- This practice is in its beginning stages in our school
- **Not Yet Practicing**- We have not begun this practice/we are planning to implement this practice in our school

*Rate your team’s current implementation level for each of the indicators below.*

### Guiding Beliefs for Students (Principal, Team Lead, Success Team)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guiding Beliefs for Students</th>
<th>Embedded</th>
<th>Practicing</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Not Yet Practicing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We believe children and adults can learn and achieve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We believe relationships are essential to student success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We believe high and future-minded expectations must be held for all students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We believe transition plans assist student acclimation to school culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We believe, opportunities for students to demonstrate success are varied and plentiful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Freshman Success Inventory

## Administration (Principal or Assistant Principal)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting Conditions</th>
<th>Embedded</th>
<th>Practicing</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Not Yet Practicing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration selects, programs, sets purpose, and provides foundational knowledge on freshman success work for core set of grade-level teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration identifies team leadership (Team Lead, data technician, social-emotional learning specialist, etc.) and communicates expectations for their engagement with Success Team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration sets goals for On-Track and student connection with the Success Team and team leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration provides and protects team meeting calendar, with regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration provides time for General Education and Special Education teachers, counselor(s), data technician, student advocate or dean, and/or other administrator(s) to attend regularly scheduled meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation: Administration provides timely access to freshman success-related data, such as point-in-time On-Track data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration provides professional development and training opportunities on the transition to high school, data strategy, and social-emotional learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration reviews and interrogates interim freshman success-related data in light of Success Team goals, and strategizes with team leadership around next steps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration holds teachers accountable for implementing equitable grading practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Freshman Success Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration (Principal or Assistant Principal) (cont.)</th>
<th>Embedded</th>
<th>Practicing</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Not Yet Practicing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMUNICATION:</strong> Administration provides space for freshman success-related communication on a regular basis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration explicitly supports Success Team efforts to communicate a culture of success for all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INSTRUCTION:</strong> Administration communicates a clear vision for instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration supports teacher development through proactive observation and constructive feedback around instructional practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration provides learning opportunities on classroom practices that support students’ successful transition to high school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Freshman Success Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Success Team Lead</th>
<th>Embedded</th>
<th>Practicing</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Not Yet Practicing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SETTING CONDITIONS:</strong> I acquire foundational knowledge on the importance of freshman course performance as well as tools and strategies to lead the Success Team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With principal and data technician, I establish Success Team meeting calendar that includes regular and sufficient time for 1) data analysis and 2) intervention development, monitoring, and adjustment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With principal and Success Team, I set freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection, and develop benchmarks to monitor progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPLEMENTATION:</strong> I develop action-oriented meeting agendas that consistently address freshman success goals generally and intervention development, tracking, and evaluation specifically</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I establish team meeting conditions conducive to the successful execution of Success Team duties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I work with the data technician to bring actionable student-level data at regular intervals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMUNICATION:</strong> I regularly communicate strategies and progress toward freshman success goals to Success Team, administration, parents, and students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I advocate for resources to support our freshman success efforts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I coordinate freshman success assemblies, parent nights, and celebrations toward On-Track and student connection goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Freshman Success Inventory

## Success Team Lead (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Embedded</th>
<th>Practicing</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Not Yet Practicing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INSTRUCTION:</strong> I seek out instructional and intervention resources, readings, and tools to improve teacher and Success Team practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I design and facilitate data-driven discussion, problem solving, and sharing around grading and instructional strategies for the Success Team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Success Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Embedded</th>
<th>Practicing</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Not Yet Practicing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SETTING CONDITIONS:</strong> We develop effective meeting strategies, such as establishing a mission statement and clear purpose, building norms, action-oriented agendas, and data-driven decisions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We share Success Team roles and responsibilities conducive to freshman success work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With principal and Team Lead, we establish foundational knowledge, set purpose, and create freshman success goals for On-Track and student connection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We engage in regular, calendared Success Team meetings to 1) analyze data; 2) develop, monitor, and adjust interventions; and 3) discuss and implement instructional strategies that promote student success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Success Team includes General Education and Special Education teachers, counselor(s), data technician, student advocate or dean, and/or administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Freshman Success Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Success Team (cont.)</th>
<th>Embedded</th>
<th>Practicing</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Not Yet Practicing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMPLEMENTATION: We review incoming class performance data to develop early and targeted supports for students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We develop, implement, track, and evaluate Tier 2 interventions, making adjustments when appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on available data, we refer students to appropriate level of intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We review actionable student-level data (Tiers 1 - 3) in order to provide appropriate support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNICATING A CULTURE OF SUCCESS: We maintain strengths-based and action-oriented communication to support students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We conduct joint parent/student conferences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We celebrate student and adult successes around On-Track and student connection goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We engage faculty in frequent communication on student progress and successful strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Freshman Success Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Success Team (cont.)</th>
<th>Embedded</th>
<th>Practicing</th>
<th>Emerging</th>
<th>Not Yet Practicing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLASSROOM-LEVEL STUDENT SUPPORT STRUCTURES: We use data and research to create, implement, and evaluate instructional strategies around student engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We provide multiple and varied opportunities for students to exhibit mastery or be assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We utilize transparent and equitable grading practices that communicate grade-level expectations and student achievement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Success Analysis Protocol

A protocol to analyze the development of a best practice so lessons can be applied to future work.
Success Analysis Protocol
For Leadership Teams

Developed in the field by educators.

Roles
A timekeeper/facilitator

The facilitator’s role is to help the group to keep focused on how this practice is different from other team practices. The analysis of what makes this practice so successful is the purpose of the protocol.

“Best Practice” is defined as a process that proved to be highly effective in achieving the intended outcome.

1. Discuss as a team and then write a short description of the one “Best Practice” of your team this year. Note what it is about the practice that made it so successful. Be sure to answer the question, “What made this experience different from other team experiences?” Identify a person to report on the team’s success and the analysis of what made it successful. (20 minutes)

2. The Reporter shares their team’s “Best Practice” and why it was so successful. (3 minutes)

3. The other team asks clarifying questions about the details of the “best practice”. (3 minutes)

4. The group does an analysis of what they heard about the presenter’s success and offers additional insights about how this practice is different than other team practices. Probing questions are appropriate and the presenter’s participation in the conversation is encouraged. (3-5 minutes)

5. The presenter responds to the group’s analysis of what made this experience so successful. (1 minute)

6. The other team’s Reporter shares their “Best Practice” and what made it so successful. Repeat steps 3-5. (10-12 minutes)

7. Debrief the protocol as a whole group. Possible questions: What worked well? How might we apply what we learned to other team work? How might students use this process to reflect on their work? What adaptations to this protocol might improve the process? (5 minutes)
Facilitating Effective Adult Collaboration and Conversation

Success Team in Action: Hancock High School Video

A video that provides a snapshot of a Success Team successfully facilitating an accountable conversation about student outcomes.

Click here to view >>